Bill No. CS/HB 1143 (2017)

Amendment No.

	CHAMBER ACTION
	Senate House
1	Representative Moraitis offered the following:
2	
3	Substitute Amendment for Amendment (462747) (with title
4	amendment)
5	Remove line 14 and insert:
6	Section 2. Effective July 1, 2018, subsection (14) of
7	section 161.101, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
8	161.101 State and local participation in authorized
9	projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion
10	control
11	(14) The intent of the Legislature in preserving and
12	protecting Florida's sandy beaches pursuant to this act is to
13	direct beach erosion control appropriations to the state's most
3	392887
	Approved For Filing: 4/21/2017 6:48:38 PM

Page 1 of 10

Amendment No.

severely eroded beaches, and to prevent further adverse impact 14 15 caused by improved, modified, or altered inlets, coastal 16 armoring, or existing upland development. In establishing annual project funding priorities, the department shall seek formal 17 18 input from local coastal governments, beach and general 19 government interest groups, and university experts. The department shall adopt by rule a scoring system to determine 20 annual project funding priorities. The scoring system must 21 22 consist of the following criteria equally weighted within the 23 following specified tiers <del>criteria to be considered by the</del> 24 department in determining annual funding priorities shall 25 include:

Tier 1 must account for 20 percent of the total score 26 (a) 27 and consist of the tourism-related return on investment and the 28 severity of erosion conditions, the threat to existing upland 29 development, and recreational and/or economic impact of the 30 project. The return on investment of the project is the ratio of the tourism-related tax revenues for the most recent year to the 31 32 amount of state funding requested for the proposed project. The 33 economic impact of the project is the ratio of the tourism-34 related tax revenues for the most recent year to all county tax 35 revenues for the most recent year. The department must calculate these ratios using state sales tax and tourism development tax 36 37 data of the county having jurisdiction over the project area. If multiple counties have jurisdiction over the project area, the 38 392887

Approved For Filing: 4/21/2017 6:48:38 PM

Page 2 of 10

Amendment No.

39	department must assess each county individually using these
40	ratios. The department shall calculate the mean average of these
41	ratios to determine the final overall assessment for the
42	multicounty project benefits.
43	(b) <u>Tier 2 must account for 45 percent of the total score</u>
44	and consist of the following criteria:
45	<u>1.</u> The availability of federal matching dollars,
46	considering federal authorization, the federal cost-share
47	percentage, and the status of the funding award; $\cdot$
48	2. The storm damage reduction benefits of the project
49	based on the following considerations:
50	a. The current conditions of the project area, including
51	any recent storm damage impact, as a percentage of volume of
52	sand lost since the most recent beach nourishment event or most
53	recent beach surveys. If the project area has not been
54	previously restored, the department must use the historical
55	background erosion rate;
56	b. The overall potential threat to existing upland
57	development, including public and private structures and
58	infrastructure, based on the percentage of vulnerable shoreline
59	within the project boundaries; and
60	c. The value of upland property benefiting from the
61	protection provided by the project and its subsequent
62	maintenance. A property must be within one-quarter mile of the
63	project boundaries to be considered under the criterion
	392887
	Approved For Filing: 4/21/2017 6:48:38 PM

Page 3 of 10

Bill No. CS/HB 1143 (2017)

Amendment No.

64	specified in this subparagraph; and
65	3. The cost-effectiveness of the project based on the
66	yearly cost per volume per mile of proposed beach fill
67	placement. The department shall also consider the following when
68	assessing cost-effectiveness pursuant to this subparagraph:
69	a. The existence of projects with proposed structural or
70	design components to extend the beach nourishment interval;
71	b. Existing beach nourishment projects that reduce upland
72	storm damage costs by incorporating new or enhanced dune
73	structures or new or existing dune restoration and revegetation
74	projects;
75	c. Proposed innovative technologies designed to reduce
76	project costs; and
77	d. Regional sediment management strategies and
78	coordination to conserve sand source resources and reduce
79	project costs.
80	(c) <u>Tier 3 must account for 20 percent of the total score</u>
81	and consist of the following criteria: The extent of local
82	government sponsor financial and administrative commitment to
83	the project, including a long-term financial plan with a
84	designated funding source or sources for initial construction
85	and periodic maintenance.
86	1.(d) Previous state commitment and involvement in the
87	project, considering previously funded phases, the total amount
88	of previous state funding, and previous partial appropriations
	l 392887
	Approved For Filing: 4/21/2017 6:48:38 PM

Page 4 of 10

Bill No. CS/HB 1143 (2017)

Amendment No.

89	for the proposed project;
90	2. The recreational benefits of the project based on:
91	a. The accessible beach area added by the project; and
92	b. The percentage of linear footage within the project
93	boundaries that is zoned:
94	(I) As recreational or open space;
95	(II) For commercial use; or
96	(III) To otherwise allow for public lodging
97	establishments; -
98	(e) The anticipated physical performance of the proposed
99	project, including the frequency of periodic planned
100	nourishment.
101	<u>3.(f)</u> The extent to which the <del>proposed</del> project mitigates
102	the adverse impact of improved, modified, or altered inlets on
103	adjacent beaches <u>; and</u> .
104	(g) Innovative, cost-effective, and environmentally
105	sensitive applications to reduce erosion.
106	(h) Projects that provide enhanced habitat within or
107	adjacent to designated refuges of nesting sea turtles.
108	(i) The extent to which local or regional sponsors of
109	beach erosion control projects agree to coordinate the planning,
110	design, and construction of their projects to take advantage of
111	identifiable cost savings.
112	4.(j) The degree to which the project addresses the
113	state's most significant beach erosion problems <u>based on the</u>
	392887
	Approved For Filing: 4/21/2017 6:48:38 PM

Page 5 of 10

Amendment No.

114	ratio of the linear footage of the project shoreline to the
115	cubic yards of sand placed per mile per year.
116	(d) Tier 4 must account for 15 percent of the total score
117	and consist of the following criteria:
118	1. Increased prioritization of projects that have been on
119	the department's ranked project list for successive years and
120	that have not previously secured state funding for project
121	implementation;
122	2. Environmental habitat enhancement, recognizing state or
123	federal critical habitat areas for threatened or endangered
124	species which may be subject to extensive shoreline armoring or
125	recognizing areas where extensive shoreline armoring threatens
126	the availability or quality of habitat for such species. Turtle-
127	friendly designs, dune and vegetation projects for areas with
128	redesigned or reduced fill templates, proposed incorporation of
129	best management practices and adaptive management strategies to
130	protect resources, and innovative technologies designed to
131	benefit critical habitat preservation may also be considered;
132	and
133	3. The overall readiness of the project to proceed in a
134	timely manner considering the project's readiness for the
135	construction phase of development, the status of required
136	permits, the status of any needed easement acquisition, the
137	availability of local funding sources, and the establishment of
138	an erosion control line. If the department identifies specific
3	392887
	Approved For Filing: 4/21/2017 6:48:38 PM

Page 6 of 10

Amendment No.

143

139 reasonable and documented concerns that the project will not 140 proceed in a timely manner, the department may choose not to 141 include the project in the annual funding priorities submitted 142 to the Legislature.

144 <u>If</u> In the event that more than one project qualifies equally 145 under the provisions of this subsection, the department shall 146 assign funding priority to those projects <u>shown to be most</u> that 147 <del>are</del> ready to proceed.

Section 3. Subsection (20) of section 161.101, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

150 161.101 State and local participation in authorized 151 projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion 152 control.-

153 (20) The department shall maintain active project <u>lists</u>, 154 <u>updated at least quarterly</u>, <del>listings</del> on its website by fiscal 155 year in order to provide transparency regarding those projects 156 receiving funding and the funding amounts  $\tau$  and to facilitate 157 legislative reporting and oversight. In consideration of this 158 intent:

(a) The department shall notify the Executive Office of
the Governor and the Legislature regarding any significant
changes in the funding levels of a given project as initially
requested in the department's budget submission and subsequently
included in approved annual funding allocations. The term

Approved For Filing: 4/21/2017 6:48:38 PM

Page 7 of 10

Amendment No.

164 "significant change" means <u>a project-specific change or</u> 165 <u>cumulative changes that exceed the project's original allocation</u> 166 <u>by \$500,000 or that exceed those changes exceeding</u> 25 percent of 167 the <del>a</del> project's original allocation.

168 1. Except as provided in subparagraph 2., if there is 169 surplus funding, the department must provide a notification and supporting justification shall be provided to the Executive 170 171 Office of the Governor and the Legislature to indicate whether 172 surplus additional dollars are intended to be used for inlet 173 management projects pursuant to s. 161.143 or for beach 174 restoration and beach nourishment projects, offered for 175 reversion as part of the next appropriations process, or used 176 for other specified priority projects on active project lists.

177 <u>2. For surplus funds for projects that do not have a</u>
178 <u>significant change, the department may use such funds for the</u>
179 <u>same purposes identified in subparagraph 1. The department shall</u>
180 <u>post the uses of such funds on the project listing web page of</u>
181 <u>its website. No other notice or supporting justification is</u>
182 <u>required before the use of surplus funds for a project that does</u>
183 not have a significant change.

(b) <u>The department shall prepare</u> a summary of specific
project activities for the current fiscal year, their funding
status, and changes to annual project lists for the current and
<u>preceding fiscal year</u>. shall be prepared by The department shall
include the summary and included with the department's

392887

Approved For Filing: 4/21/2017 6:48:38 PM

Page 8 of 10

Bill No. CS/HB 1143 (2017)

Amendment No.

189 submission of its annual legislative budget request.

Funding for specific projects on annual project lists 190 (C) 191 approved by the Legislature must remain available for such projects for 18 months. A local project sponsor may at any time 192 193 release, in whole or in part, appropriated project dollars by 194 formal notification to the department. The department, which 195 shall notify the Executive Office of the Governor and the 196 Legislature of such release and. Notification must indicate in the notification how the project dollars are recommended 197 198 intended to be used after such release.

Section 4. Except as otherwise provided in this act, thisact shall take effect July 1, 2017.

TITLE AMENDMENT

204 Remove lines 2-4 and insert:

205 An act relating to coastal management; establishing 206 the Southeast Florida Coral Reef Ecosystem 207 Conservation Area; amending s. 161.101, F.S.; revising 208 the criteria to be considered by the Department of 209 Environmental Protection in determining and assigning annual funding priorities for beach management and 210 erosion control projects; specifying tiers for such 211 criteria; requiring tiers to be given certain weight; 212 213 requiring the department to update active project

392887

201 202

203

Approved For Filing: 4/21/2017 6:48:38 PM

Page 9 of 10

Bill No. CS/HB 1143 (2017)

Amendment No.

214	lists on its website; redefining the term "significant
215	change"; revising the department's reporting
216	requirements; specifying allowable uses for certain
217	surplus funds; revising the requirements for a
218	specified summary; requiring that funding for certain
219	projects remain available for a specified period;
220	providing effective dates.

392887

Approved For Filing: 4/21/2017 6:48:38 PM

Page 10 of 10