By Senator Braynon

35-00019-17

1

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act for the relief of Brian Pitts; directing the
3	Division of Administrative Hearings to appoint an
4	administrative law judge or special master to
5	determine a basis for equitable relief for the purpose
6	of compensating Mr. Pitts for the wrongful acts or
7	omissions of the State of Florida or officials
8	thereof; requiring a report to the Legislature;
9	authorizing compensation to Mr. Pitts upon a
10	determination by an administrative law judge;
11	providing an appropriation to compensate Mr. Pitts for
12	injuries and damages sustained; providing a limitation
13	on attorney fees and costs; directing that certain
14	court orders and judgments be declared null and void;
15	directing that the clerk of the court for the Supreme
16	Court and for the sixth judicial circuit remove access
17	to specified cases; directing the Department of Law
18	Enforcement to remove access to criminal records
19	related to Mr. Pitts and to ensure the compliance,
20	execution, and enforcement of specified provisions;
21	specifying the limited circumstances under which Mr.
22	Pitts may represent himself or others in judicial or
23	administrative proceedings; directing the Department
24	of Law Enforcement to investigate certain illegal acts
25	committed by certain persons; authorizing the
26	Governor, the President of the Senate, or the Speaker
27	of the House of Representatives to sever portions of
28	this act under certain circumstances; providing an
29	effective date.
30	

31 WHEREAS, this state has clearly recognized the practice of 32 law by lay persons since at least 1980 as declared in *The*

Page 1 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

201744___

35-00019-17 201744 33 Florida Bar v. Moses, 380 So. 2d 412, 416-418 (Fla. 1980), and 34 in The Florida Bar re Advisory Opinion on Nonlawyer 35 Representation in Securities Arbitration, 696 So. 2d 1178, 1180-36 1181, 1183-1184 (Fla. 1997), the Legislature and judiciary 37 having concurrent jurisdiction to regulate such, and 38 WHEREAS, Brian Pitts has exercised this privilege since 39 2001 in Pinellas County, and his practice was later enjoined by the Florida Supreme Court in case number SC02-247, in a final 40 order dated November 6, 2003. As stated in the order, 41 42 "respondent Brian Pitts is enjoined from engaging in the 43 practice of law in the State of Florida as specified in the referee's report. Specifically, respondent is hereby enjoined 44 45 from engaging in any of the following activities: (1) appearing in any Florida court as a representative of a party, giving 46 47 legal advice in a Florida case, or otherwise participating in any Florida litigation on behalf of any party unless otherwise 48 49 authorized by Florida statutes, court rule, case law, 50 administrative rule, or the Rules Regulating The Florida Bar . . 51 . ." See The Florida Bar v. Pitts, 861 So. 2d 432 (Fla. 52 2003) (No. SC02-247, November 6, 2003), 53 www.floridasupremecourt.org, and 54 WHEREAS, since the inception of Mr. Pitts' practice, Mr. 55 Pitts contends that the Second District Court of Appeal, the 56 Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida serving Pasco and Pinellas Counties, the State Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial 57

58 Circuit of Florida, and The Florida Bar have, without cause, 59 continued to deprive Mr. Pitts of the privilege of practicing 60 law as prescribed by the Legislature and Florida Supreme Court, 61 subjecting him to civil and criminal proceedings and penalties

Page 2 of 16

35-00019-17

62 on an ongoing basis, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts opines that the Florida Supreme Court, in its 2003 final order in case number SC02-247, has subjected him to entrapment and needlessly and unjustly avoided and failed to exercise its constitutional duty upon his many requests to clarify or amend the final order or to promulgate court rules through The Florida Bar following original proceedings brought or suggested by Mr. Pitts to correct the matter, and

70 WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts believes that the courts, The Florida 71 Bar, and the State Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial 72 Circuit of Florida have engaged in a course of misconduct and 73 colluded against Mr. Pitts in cases SC02-247, SC06-1279, CRCAB-74 65835CFANO, CRCAB-90407CFANO, CRC07-12964CFANO, CTC07-03965MMANO, CTC03-01885MMANO, CTC03-01887MMANO, and CTC03-75 76 09855MMANO from 2001 to 2012 and that such misconduct has 77 resulted in his wrongful and unlawful incarceration in the 78 Pinellas County Jail for a total of nearly 1 year, and

79 WHEREAS, the purpose of this course of misconduct was, in 80 Mr. Pitts' opinion, to retaliate against him for not being a 81 member of The Florida Bar despite being otherwise lawfully 82 authorized to represent certain individuals that he assisted in 83 legitimate legal matters and, by way of his detainment, to 84 thwart his pending pro se actions for relief from the collusion 85 by civil, appellate, or original proceedings directed to or from the above criminal cases, and 86

87 WHEREAS, appearing pro se in many of his cases, Mr. Pitts 88 was complimented by several judges of the Sixth Judicial Circuit 89 for his exceptional degree of technical and performance 90 competence that would be expected of any trained and experienced

Page 3 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

201744 ____

35-00019-17 201744 91 member of The Florida Bar, yet he was informed by express or 92 implied communication that he would not receive the relief 93 requested in any given proceeding unless represented by a member 94 of The Florida Bar, as a matter of camaraderie, and 95 WHEREAS, although Mr. Pitts appeared pro se in these cases and other actions seeking relief from such collusion, he was at 96 97 times represented by appointed counsel; however, such proceedings proved to be futile because, Mr. Pitts contends, the 98 99 proceedings were staged by the courts and the State Attorney's 100 Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida to be illusory, 101 and 102 WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts contends that the courts failed to abide 103 by binding precedent and stare decisis, where applicable, as 104 well as Florida Rules of Court, as evidenced by the series of 105 filings in each case by Mr. Pitts, or his court-appointed 106 counsel, hence depriving Mr. Pitts of procedural and substantive 107 due process, equal protection of the law, self-representation, 108 and representation by counsel under the United States 109 Constitution, and 110 WHEREAS, the Second District Court of Appeal declared in Denson v. State, 711 So. 2d 1225, 1230 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998) that 111 112 "appellate judges take an oath to uphold the law and the 113 constitution of this state. The citizens of this state properly 114 expect these judges to protect their rights. When reviewing an 115 appeal with a preserved issue, if we discover that a person has 116 been subjected to a patently illegal sentence to which no objection was lodged in the trial court, neither the 117

118 constitution nor our own consciences will allow us to remain 119 silent and hope that the prisoner, untrained in the law, will

Page 4 of 16

	35-00019-17 201744
120	somehow discover the error and request its correction. If three
121	appellate judges, like a statue of the 'see no evil, hear no
122	evil, speak no evil' monkeys, declined to consider such serious,
123	patent errors, we would jeopardize the public's trust and
124	confidence in the institution of courts of law." Compare Bedford
125	v. State, 633 So. 2d 13, 14 (Fla. 1994), and
126	WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts contends that the judges who presided in
127	his cases have deliberately and intentionally, in concert with
128	the Florida Supreme Court justices, failed to abide by these
129	rules of law as to Mr. Pitts' cases on appeal or by original
130	proceedings brought and maintained by him or his counsel, and
131	WHEREAS, it has become evident, in Mr. Pitts' opinion, that
132	The Florida Bar, the State Attorney's Office for the Sixth
133	Judicial Circuit of Florida, and the judges and justices
134	involved at each level of Mr. Pitts' cases all have a personal
135	and private, rather than public, interest at issue in deterring
136	Mr. Pitts from engaging in the authorized practice of law as
137	prescribed in this state, and
138	WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts believes that such determent is due to a
139	matter of camaraderie among those of the legal profession and an
140	interest in protecting it by any means from lawful competition,
141	where applicable, and
142	WHEREAS, this determent demonstrates a lack of neutrality,

WHEREAS, this determent demonstrates a lack of neutrality, proper motives, and discretion which deprives Mr. Pitts of the required process and means of justice or resolution as normally expected of esteemed persons in their official capacities, and

146 WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts believes that the Pinellas County 147 Sheriff's Office further participated in the concerted effort of 148 the courts, The Florida Bar, and the State Attorney's Office for

Page 5 of 16

35-00019-17 201744 149 the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida by illegally incarcerating 150 him in the Pinellas County Jail during the periods of January 151 2003 through April 2004 and March 12, 2010, through July 4, 152 2010, refusing him administrative alternative sentencing without 153 cause, and subjecting him to living conditions and circumstances in violation of Florida Model Jail Standards (2.15)(c); (4.12); 154 155 (4.13); (4.15); (5.08)(a), (c)(1)-(8), and (j); (6.02); 156 (9.06) (b); (9.08); (9.10); (10.01); (11.12); (11.16); 157 (12.03) (d) - (g), (i); (12.06); Appendix A; and ss. 951.03 and 158 951.033(3), Florida Statutes, and 159 WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts also contends that the Pinellas County 160 Sheriff's Office further participated in the concerted effort of the courts, The Florida Bar, and the State Attorney's Office for 161 162 the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida by extending his sentence 163 an additional 50 days of detention in violation of Inmate 164 Handbook XI. A., Florida Model Jail Standard (4.16), and ss. 165 951.21(1) and 921.16(1), Florida Statutes, which subjected him 166 to cruel and unusual punishment, false imprisonment, and a 167 denial of due process and equal protection of the law. See 168 Miller v. Carson, 599 F.2d 742 (5th Cir. 1979); Miller v. Carson, 563 F.2d 757 (5th Cir. 1977); Miller v. Carson, 563 F.2d 169

170 741 (5th Cir. 1977); Miller v. Carson, 401 F. Supp. 835 (M.D.
171 Fla. 1975); Miller v. Carson, 392 F. Supp. 515 (M.D. Fla. 1975);
172 Solomos v. Jenne, 776 So. 2d 953 (Fla. 4th DCA 2000); Douthit v.
173 Jones, 619 F.2d 527 (5th Cir. 1980), and

WHEREAS, such conditions and circumstances of the jail are reflected in a *St. Petersburg Times* article dated July 5, 2010, and titled "Thousands of Pinellas jail inmates released without a judge ever setting bail," which is complemented by a series of

Page 6 of 16

	35-00019-17 201744
178	articles released by the Orlando Sentinel, including "Florida's
179	suspect jails: The state's hands-off approach to inspecting
180	jails leaves them vulnerable," dated April 8, 2010; "Jail-
181	standards chief defends system of checks," dated May 15, 2010;
182	"If all Central Florida jails rate an A, is it deserved?" dated
183	May 15, 2010; "Beef up jail oversight: Florida jails need tough
184	oversight, not coddling," dated May 18, 2010; and other
185	articles, and
186	WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts contends that such conduct was a clear
187	abuse of judicial, executive, and administrative authority as to
188	the state court system and local government, including the State
189	Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida and
190	the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office, which resulted in a public
191	embarrassment to this state because such authorities knew that
192	there was not any basis in fact or law for their unlawful acts
193	against him, and
194	WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts believes that his good name and
195	reputation have been damaged; he has been deprived of due
196	process, the ability to conduct a lawful business, freedom of
197	speech, property, liberty, and equal protection of the law; he
198	has not benefited from constitutional protections against
199	unlawful trusts by public officers and employees under oath of
200	office and double jeopardy protections as to criminal
201	proceedings and sanctions; and he has suffered mental anguish
202	and emotional distress as the result of the intentional
203	misconduct and gross negligence of the courts, the State
204	Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, The
205	Florida Bar, and the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office relating
206	to his practice of law as a nonlawyer in this state, and,

Page 7 of 16

35-00019-17 201744 further, there is no state-action exception to federal antitrust 207 208 laws, which were violated in the subject cases, and 209 WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts has suffered, and continues to suffer, 210 significant monetary damage by virtue of lost income, property, and time, expenses, fees, fines, costs, and restitution 211 212 resulting from the civil and criminal proceedings relating to 213 his alleged unauthorized or unlicensed practice of law, and 214 WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts frequently appears before the Legislature to instruct, advise, inform, and advocate for or 215 216 against proposed legislation covering a broad spectrum of topics 217 and subject matter in fact and law with an exceptional degree of 218 technical competence that would be expected of any trained and experienced member of The Florida Bar, and 219 220 WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that no system of 221 justice is impervious to human error, and 222 WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that any system of 223 justice may sometimes yield imperfect results that may have 224 tragic consequences, and 225 WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal 226 obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its actions and act 227 on its authority to correct a wrong when those actions have 228 resulted in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and 229 WHEREAS, the filing of this claim bill is in accord with 230 the holdings of the Florida Supreme Court regarding legislative 231 claim bills. See Circuit Court of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. 232 Dep't of Natural Res., 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 233 1976) ("Absent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity 234 . . . this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"); Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 235

Page 8 of 16

35-00019-17 201744 236 (Fla. 1985) ("[W]e agree with the Department of Transportation's 237 assertion that a judgment in this case was not a prerequisite to 238 Gerard's filing a claims bill in the legislature."), and 239 WHEREAS, the First District Court of Appeal in Jetton v. 240 Jacksonville Electric Authority, 399 So. 2d 396, 397 (Fla. 1st 241 DCA 1981), stated that although the Legislature has placed 242 limits on recovery, "claimants remain free to seek legislative 243 relief bills, as they did during days of complete sovereign 244 immunity," and 245 WHEREAS, the Florida Supreme Court in Dickinson v. Bradley, 246 298 So. 2d 352, 354 (Fla. 1974), held that "any claim bill is 247 restricted to less than the general public and its purpose is to 248 discharge the state's moral obligation to any individual or 249 other entity whom or which the legislature recognizes as being 250 entitled to such. . . . The legislature may enact a claim bill 251 for what would be a tort if a private party was involved just as 252 effectively as for what would constitute a contractual debt," 253 and 254 WHEREAS, the Legislature intends that any compensation made 255 pursuant to this act be the sole compensation provided by the 256 state for any and all present and future claims arising out of 257 the facts presented in this act, NOW, THEREFORE, 258 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 259 260 261 Section 1. The facts stated in the preamble to this act are 262 found and declared to be true, and all judicial and administrative remedies were exhausted as of September 9, 2003-263 April 30, 2004; September 30, 2005; May 21, 2007; September 7, 264

Page 9 of 16

	35-00019-17 201744
265	2007; December 12, 2008; September 14, 2009; February 22, 2010;
266	March 11-July 4, 2010; and March 30, 2012, respectively.
267	Section 2. The Division of Administrative Hearings shall
268	appoint an administrative law judge, or a special master shall
269	be appointed, to conduct a hearing to determine a basis for
270	equitable relief for the purpose of compensating Brian Pitts for
271	any wrongful act or omission of the State of Florida, the State
272	Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, and
273	the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office regarding investigations
274	involving Mr. Pitts, the civil and criminal proceedings relating
275	to Mr. Pitts' alleged unlicensed or unauthorized practice of
276	law, and his incarcerations totaling nearly 12 months from 2001
277	to 2012, if not longer.
278	Section 3. (1) The administrative law judge or special
279	master shall determine by a preponderance of the evidence
280	whether the State of Florida, the State Attorney's Office for
281	the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, or the Pinellas County
282	Sheriff's Office committed a wrongful act or omission and
283	whether a basis for equitable relief exists, and if it so finds,
284	the administrative law judge or special master shall award Mr.
285	Pitts an amount of up to \$7 million, but not less than \$1
286	million, to be paid proportionately by the parties that wronged
287	him and to be paid in a lump sum or in payments over a period of
288	no more than 10 years.
289	(2) The administrative law judge or special master shall
290	report his or her determination to the President of the Senate
291	and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by July 1, 2017.
292	The Chief Financial Officer is directed to draw a warrant in
293	satisfaction of the relief awarded by the administrative law

Page 10 of 16

	35-00019-17 201744
294	judge, special master, or Legislature, as provided in this act,
295	and to pay the warrant out of the Administrative Trust Fund or
296	State Courts Revenue Trust Fund within the state courts system
297	and the State Attorneys Revenue Trust Fund to Brian Pitts.
298	Pinellas County shall pay the warrant out of its general revenue
299	fund or by other means it has provided to pay valid claims
300	against it relating to the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office and
301	as to its share of the total award to Mr. Pitts.
302	(3) This award is intended to provide the sole compensation
303	for all present and future claims arising out of the factual
304	situation described in this act which resulted in unlawful or
305	unconstitutional acts committed against Mr. Pitts in connection
306	with allegations, judgments, and convictions of the unlicensed
307	or unauthorized practice of law and his incarcerations totaling
308	nearly 12 months, if not longer, from 2001 through 2012. The
309	total amount paid for attorney fees, lobbying fees, costs, and
310	other similar expenses relating to this claim may not exceed 25
311	percent of the amount awarded under this act.
312	(4) All final orders, judgments, decrees, and convictions,
313	and orders or liens pertaining to fees, fines, costs, and
314	restitution, rendered in cases SC06-1279, SC09-195 and SC09-
315	2243, CRCAB-90407CFANO, CRCAB-65835CFANO, CRC07-12964CFANO,
316	CTC07-03965MMANO, CTC03-09855MMANO, CTC03-01885MMANO, and CTC03-
317	01887MMANO in which Mr. Pitts is the respondent or defendant are
318	null and void by this act by virtue of the doctrine of
319	separation of powers because the courts failed to recognize the
320	Legislature's lawful and valid enactments, in addition to the
321	courts' own lawful and valid case precedent, rules, and orders,
322	authorizing lay representation as expressed in The Florida Bar

Page 11 of 16

	35-00019-17 201744
323	<i>v. Moses</i> , 380 So. 2d 412, 416-418 (Fla. 1980); by virtue of
324	inherent authority of this Legislature as expressed in Florida
325	House of Representatives v. Crist, 999 So. 2d 601, 611 (Fla.
326	2008), Trianon Park Condominium Ass'n v. City of Hialeah, 468
327	So. 2d 912, 918, 919 (Fla. 1985); by virtue of checks and
328	balances exercised by this Legislature as expressed in State Ex
329	Rel. Young v. Duval County, 79 So. 692, 697 (Fla. 1918), in
330	which the court found, "[a] clear violation of the
331	constitutional provisions dividing the powers of government into
332	departments should be checked and remedied." As the court found
333	<u>in State v. City of Stuart, 120 So. 335, 346 (Fla. 1929), ``[t]he</u>
334	general rule is that the Legislature is supreme in the
335	legislative field, which is the most powerful branch of
336	government, so long as it does not violate any of the provisions
337	of the organic law. There is to our minds no justifiable
338	exception of any class of legislation from this all-pervasive
339	and fundamental principle." Finally, by virtue of the cases
340	involving Mr. Pitts, the courts failed to comply with the
341	mandates of s. 20.02(1), Florida Statutes, which states that
342	"[t]he judicial branch has the purpose of determining the
343	constitutional propriety of the policies and programs and of
344	adjudicating any conflicts arising from the interpretation or
345	application of the laws."
346	(5) The clerk of the court for the Florida Supreme Court,
347	as to cases SC06-1279, SC09-195, and SC09-2243, and the clerk of
348	the court for the Sixth Judicial Circuit, as to cases CRCAB-
349	90407CFANO, CRCAB-65835CFANO, CRC07-12964CFANO, CTC07-
350	03965MMANO, CTC03-09855MMANO, CTC03-01885MMANO, and CTC03-
351	01887MMANO, all pertaining to Mr. Pitts, are hereby directed to
I	

Page 12 of 16

250	35-00019-17 201744
352	remove from public and private access all dockets, records,
353	documents, and recorded orders or liens related to those cases
354	and transmit them to the Department of Law Enforcement to
355	fulfill the duties required under section 6 of this act. The
356	Department of Law Enforcement is hereby directed to remove from
357	public and private access all record history and information of
358	a criminal nature concerning Mr. Pitts. This record history and
359	information include, but are not limited to, fingerprints, felon
360	registration, and all other matters concerning the case numbers
361	cited in this subsection. These records, information, or
362	documents may not be used by or accessed for any purpose by
363	anyone unless access to those records is required by federal
364	authorities or for investigations conducted under section 6 of
365	this act.
366	(6) The Department of Law Enforcement is directed to ensure
367	the compliance, execution, and enforcement of subsections (4)
368	and (5) of this section and section 6, and shall provide
369	protective services to Mr. Pitts ensuring his rights,
370	privileges, and safety under sections 4, 5, and 6 of this act.
371	Section 4. In accordance with the Florida Supreme Court's
372	final order in case number SC02-247 and the exception contained
373	in clause (1) of that order, unless otherwise authorized by
374	Florida Statutes, court rule, case law, administrative rule, or
375	the rules regulating The Florida Bar, thereby authorizing Mr.
376	Pitts to practice law in this state, the Legislature authorizes
377	Mr. Pitts to practice law in this state under the following
378	designations, titles, rules, decisions, or acts in the capacity
379	as a lay counselor or lay representative:
380	(1) Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, relating to a qualified
	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>

Page 13 of 16

	35-00019-17 201744
381	representative.
382	(2) Chapter 44, Florida Statutes, relating to a designated
383	representative.
384	(3) Chapter 709, Florida Statutes, relating to an attorney-
385	in-fact under a durable power of attorney, when coupled with an
386	interest in any personal or property claim, election, right, or
387	interest.
388	(4) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court
389	relating to representation in real property management.
390	(5) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court
391	relating to a nonlawyer using approved forms.
392	(6) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court
393	relating to representation in county or small claims civil
394	proceedings.
395	(7) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court
396	relating to third-party standing representation.
397	(8) Rule 5-15, Rules of the Supreme Court Relating to
398	Admission to the Bar.
399	(9) Judicial discretion under the inherent authority
400	doctrine.
401	(10) Federal law, state law, local rule, statute, local
402	law, or any other court or administrative decision or order
403	under federal, state, or local law and authority.
404	Section 5. Any appearance or public testimony given by Mr.
405	Pitts on bills or matters before the Legislature, wherever held
406	or convened throughout this state, does not constitute the
407	practice of law. In all circumstances Mr. Pitts retains the
408	right to represent himself at any time he has valid standing
409	supported by law. If Mr. Pitts is the subject of civil,

Page 14 of 16

	35-00019-17 201744
410	administrative, or criminal proceedings, he retains the right to
411	represent himself without a lawyer in court and in
412	administrative actions or cases.
413	Section 6. Due to the ongoing conduct from 2001 to 2012
414	against Mr. Pitts as described in the preamble of this act, the
415	Legislature directs the Department of Law Enforcement, assisted
416	by Mr. Pitts, to investigate these acts committed by:
417	(1) The Florida Supreme Court justices involved for
418	violations of ss. 914.22(2)(f) or (4)(f), Florida Statutes, and
419	18 U.S.C. 1512, relating to their final ruling rendered on
420	February 22, 2010, in case SC06-1279, which resulted in the
421	incarceration of Mr. Pitts on the eve of the 2010 Legislative
422	Session while proceedings on SB 58 were pending, and in Mr.
423	Pitts' cases relating to motions, reviews, and original
424	proceedings for violations of ss. 542.21(2), 775.15(12)(b),
425	777.04(2) and (3), 836.05, 838.015, 838.016, 838.022, 839.13(1),
426	839.24, 843.03, 843.0855(2) and (3), 876.10, 895.03, and 918.13,
427	Florida Statutes; 15 U.S.C. 1, 2, and 3; and 18 U.S.C. 201, 241,
428	242, 1951, and 1962.
429	(2) The Second District Court of Appeal judges assigned to
430	Mr. Pitts' cases on motions, reviews, and original proceedings;
431	the Sixth Judicial Circuit judges; and the state attorneys
432	involved in violations of ss. 542.21(2), 775.15(12)(b),
433	777.04(2) and (3), 836.05, 838.015, 838.016, 838.022, 839.13(1),
434	839.24, 843.03, 843.0855(2) and (3), 876.10, 895.03, and 918.13,
435	Florida Statutes; 15 U.S.C. 1, 2, and 3; and 18 U.S.C. 201, 241,
436	242, 1951, and 1962.
437	(3) The Florida Bar and its representatives, who pursued
438	charges of unlicensed practice of law against Mr. Pitts, for

Page 15 of 16

	35-00019-17 201744
439	their violations of ss. 542.21(2), 777.04(2) and (3), 836.05,
440	838.015, 838.016, 839.13(1), 895.03, and 918.13, Florida
441	Statutes; 15 U.S.C. 1, 2, and 3; and 18 U.S.C. 201, 241, 242,
442	1951, and 1962.
443	(4) The Pinellas County Sheriff's Office for violations of
444	ss. 775.15(12)(b), 839.13(1), 843.03, 843.0855(2) and (3),
445	876.10, 950.09, and 951.14, Florida Statutes, and 18 U.S.C. 201,
446	241, or 242.
447	
448	The Department of Law Enforcement shall exercise all authority
449	granted to it under general law to investigate criminal
450	violations under this act and shall refer any evidence of such
451	crimes to the appropriate state attorney for prosecution.
452	Failure of the Department to Law Enforcement to investigate
453	these criminal violations and refer any evidence of such
454	violations to the appropriate officials is a misdemeanor of the
455	first degree under s. 775.15(12)(b). Charges arising out of the
456	criminal investigation shall be brought before a grand jury
457	impaneled in Leon County within 1 year after passage of this
458	act.
459	Section 7. The Governor, the President of the Senate, or
460	the Speaker of the House of Representatives may sever in whole
461	or in part any section of this act, excluding this section,
462	which remaining parts shall be in full force and effect upon
463	becoming law. Notwithstanding severance, Brian Pitts shall
464	retain the right or privilege during future legislative sessions
465	to request the relief severed in whole or in part by virtue of
466	this section until fully remedied.
467	Section 8. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 16 of 16