The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepa	ared By: Th	e Professional S	taff of the Committe	e on Health Policy	,	
BILL:	SB 674						
INTRODUCER:	Senator Bean						
SUBJECT:	Public Records/Nonviable Birth Records						
DATE:	March 13,	2017	REVISED:				
ANALYST		STAFF DIRECTOR		REFERENCE		ACTION	
1. Stovall		Stovall		HP	Pre-meeting		
2.				GO			
3.				AP			

I. Summary:

SB 674 creates a public records exemption for information relating to cause of death and the parentage, marital status, and medical information in all nonviable birth records.

The bill includes a constitutionally required public necessity statement. The exemption will stand repealed on October 2, 2022, pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act unless it is reenacted.

This bill requires a two-thirds vote from each chamber for passage.

The bill has no fiscal impact.

The bill takes effect on July 1, 2017, contingent upon SB 672 or similar legislation becoming a law.

II. Present Situation:

Public Records Law

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business. This applies to the official business of any public body, officer or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.

-

¹ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

 $^{^{2}}$ Id.

In addition to the Florida Constitution, the Florida Statutes provides that the public may access legislative and executive branch records.³ Chapter 119, F.S., constitutes the main body of public records laws, and is known as the Public Records Act.⁴ The Public Records Act states that:

it is the policy of this state that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person. Providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.⁵

According to the Public Records Act, a public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted. The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted public records as being "any material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate or formalize knowledge of some type." A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.

The Legislature may create an exemption to public records requirements. An exemption must pass by a two-thirds vote of the House and the Senate. In addition, an exemption must explicitly lay out the public necessity justifying the exemption, and the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption. A statutory exemption which does not meet these criteria may be unconstitutional and may not be judicially saved. 2

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is "confidential and exempt" or "exempt." Records designated as "confidential and exempt" may be released by the records custodian only under the circumstances defined by the Legislature.

³ The Public Records Act does not apply to legislative or judicial records. *Locke v. Hawkes*, 595 So. 2d 32 (Fla. 1992). Also see *Times Pub. Co. v. Ake*, 660 So. 2d 255 (Fla. 1995). The Legislature's records are public pursuant to s. 11.0431, F.S. Public records exemptions for the Legislatures are primarily located in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S.

⁴ Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes.

⁵ Section 119.01(1), F.S.

⁶ Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines "public record" to mean "all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency." Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" to mean as "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

⁷ Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc. Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

⁸ Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

⁹ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹⁰ *Id*.

¹¹ *Id*.

¹² Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. New-Journal Corp., 724 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 1999). See also Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc., 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004).

¹³ If the Legislature designates a record as confidential, such record may not be released to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. *WFTV*, *Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So. 2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

Records designated as "exempt" are not required to be made available for public inspection, but may be released at the discretion of the records custodian under certain circumstances. 14

Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act (referred to hereafter as the "OGSR") prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public records or open meetings exemptions. ¹⁵ The OGSR provides that an exemption automatically repeals on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment; in order to save an exemption from repeal, the Legislature must reenact the exemption. ¹⁶

The OGSR provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary. An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes *and* the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- It allows the state or its political subdivision to effectively and efficiently administer a program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption; 18
- Releasing sensitive personal information would be defamatory or would jeopardize an
 individual's safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only
 personal identifying information is exempt;¹⁹ or
- It protects trade or business secrets.²⁰

The OGSR also requires specified questions to be considered during the review process.²¹ In examining an exemption, the OGSR asks the Legislature to carefully question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption.

If, in reenacting an exemption, the exemption is expanded, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.²² If the exemption is reenacted without substantive changes or if the exemption is narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote

- 1. What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- 2. Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- 3. What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- 4. Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- 5. Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- 6. Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

¹⁴ Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So. 2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

¹⁵ Section 119.15, F.S. Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S., provides that an exemption is considered to be substantially amended if it is expanded to include more information or to include meetings. The OGSR does not apply to an exemption that is required by federal law or that applies solely to the Legislature or the State Court System pursuant to s. 119.15(2), F.S.

¹⁶ Section 119.15(3), F.S.

¹⁷ Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

¹⁸ Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.

¹⁹ Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.

²⁰ Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.

²¹ Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. The specified questions are:

²² FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(c).

for passage are *not* required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless otherwise provided for by law.²³

Nonviable Birth Records

SB 672 (2017) creates the "Grieving Families Act" which enables a parent to obtain, in certain situations, a certificate of nonviable birth following a miscarriage. The bill defines a "nonviable birth" as an unintentional, spontaneous fetal demise occurring after the completion of the 9th week of gestation but prior to the 20th week of gestation of a pregnancy that has been verified by a health care practitioner.

In response to a parent's request, certain health care practitioners and facilities must submit information, as determined by rule by the Department of Health, Bureau of Vital Statistics (BVS), to the BVS in order to register a nonviable birth. This information will be used to issue a certificate of nonviable birth upon the parent's request. Only a parent named on the nonviable birth registration may request the BVS to issue a certificate of nonviable birth. However, once the certificate has been issued, any person may request a copy of that certificate pursuant to a public records request.

The certificate of nonviable birth must contain:

- The date of the nonviable birth.
- The county in which the nonviable birth occurred.
- The name of the fetus, as indicated on the registration of nonviable birth. If a name was not provided on the original or amended registration and the parent chooses not to provide a name, the certificate will use "baby boy," "baby girl," or "baby" if the sex is unknown, and the last name of the parents.
- A statement on the front of the certificate: "This certificate is not proof of a live birth."

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill creates a public records exemption for information relating to cause of death and the parentage, marital status, and medical information in all nonviable birth records. This is similar to an existing exemption relating to the information in fetal death records, which is republished in this bill.

The underlying substantive bill, SB 672, makes available pursuant to a public records request, a copy of a previously issued certificate of nonviable birth. A certificate of nonviable birth includes the last name of the parents. Pursuant to this bill, that parentage information will need to be redacted from a copy of a certificate that has previously been issued to a parent. This bill may also limit the names of the parents from appearing on the original certificate of nonviable birth as discussed more fully under related issues in this analysis.

The exemption will stand repealed on October 2, 2022, pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act unless it is reenacted.

²³ Section 119.15(7), F.S.

The bill includes a constitutionally required public necessity statement. The public necessity statement provides that the exemption is needed to protect the privacy rights of a woman who experiences a nonviable birth. Furthermore, the public disclosure of such information may discourage a woman from seeking medical care from a licensed health care practitioner or health care facility.

The bill takes effect on July 1, 2017, contingent upon SB 672 or similar legislation becoming a law.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Voting Requirement

Article I, Section 24(c) of the Florida Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of each chamber for public records exemptions to pass.

Public Necessity Statement

Article I, Section 24(c) of the Florida Constitution requires a public necessity statement for a newly created or expanded public-records exemption. The Florida Constitution provides that an exemption must state with specificity the public necessity of the exemption. The public necessity statement provides that the exemption is needed to protect the privacy rights of a woman who experiences a nonviable birth. Furthermore, the public disclosure of such information may discourage a woman from seeking medical care from a licensed health care practitioner or health care facility.

Breadth of Exemption

Article I, Section 24(c) of the Florida Constitution requires a newly created public records exemption to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The bill exempts only the parentage, marital status, and medical information included in nonviable birth records. This bill appears to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the public necessity for this public records exemption.

C. Trust Funds F	Restrictions:
------------------	---------------

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None

C. Government Sector Impact:

None

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

The linked bill number, SB 672, needs to be inserted on line 51.

VII. Related Issues:

This bill provides that the parentage in all nonviable birth records is confidential and exempt from the public records laws. However, the underlying substantive bill, SB 672, provides for the issuance of a certificate of nonviable birth pursuant to a parent's request. Under SB 672, a certificate of nonviable birth is required to include the last name of the parents. It might be appropriate to include an exception in this bill for the certificate of nonviable birth issued to the parents to include the parentage to give full effect to the intent in SB 672.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 382.008 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.