1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to legislative redistricting and 3 congressional reapportionment; creating s. 97.029, F.S.; requiring a court to provide for an expedited 4 5 hearing and ruling in a challenge to state legislative 6 or congressional district boundaries; providing that 7 candidate qualifying, nomination, and election for 8 certain offices must proceed using current district 9 boundaries if revisions to districts are not made as 10 of a certain date; specifying public oversight 11 procedures that a court is encouraged to follow when 12 drafting a remedial redistricting plan; providing for 13 construction; providing an effective date. 14 WHEREAS, uncertainty regarding the boundaries of state 15 16 legislative and congressional districts can create confusion 17 among candidates and voters, with candidates uncertain as to

18 which districts they should run in and how they should allocate 19 finite campaign resources, and voters uncertain as to which 20 district they reside in or the polling place to which they are 21 assigned, and

22 WHEREAS, with each redistricting of state legislative 23 districts and each reapportionment of congressional districts, 24 supervisors of elections are tasked with the timely and 25 intricate process of redrawing precinct lines and reassigning

Page 1 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2017

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

voters to new polling places, and WHEREAS, finalizing the boundaries of state legislative and congressional districts shortly before an election hampers the ability of supervisors of elections and other election officials to effectively administer an election, and WHEREAS, in recent rulings relating to challenges to district boundaries, courts have recognized the legal and logistical difficulties associated with implementing revised district boundaries within an abbreviated timeframe, as well as the financial cost of holding a special election to implement new districts, NOW, THEREFORE, Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: Section 1. Section 97.029, Florida Statutes, is created to read: 97.029 Challenges to state legislative or congressional districts.-(1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial, representative, or congressional districts of the state is challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priority over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditiously as possible. If the challenge is still pending when the qualifying (2)

Page 2 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2017

2017

51	period for persons seeking nomination or election to state or
52	multicounty district office, other than the office of state
53	attorney or the public defender, begins pursuant to s.
54	99.061(1), candidate qualifying, nomination, and election for
55	the offices in the plan subject to the challenge must proceed
56	using the districts that are in place on the 71st day before the
57	primary election. If a court orders revisions to senatorial,
58	representative, or congressional districts on or after the 71st
59	day before the primary election, the revised districts shall
60	govern beginning with the subsequent primary and general
61	elections in the next even-numbered year.
62	(3) If a court orders revisions to congressional districts
63	after the qualifying period for persons seeking nomination or
64	election to federal office has concluded at noon of the 116th
65	day before the primary election, candidates for the United
66	States House of Representatives must requalify in accordance
67	with the revised congressional districts during the qualifying
68	period from noon on the 71st day before the primary election to
69	noon on the 67th day before the primary election.
70	(4) In the event that a court drafts a remedial
71	redistricting plan as a result of a successful challenge to the
72	validity of boundaries of senatorial, representative, or
73	congressional districts, the court is encouraged to use the
74	following procedures in drafting the remedial plan in order to
75	maintain public oversight:
	Dogo 2 of 4

Page 3 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

FLORIDA	. HOUSE	OF REP	RESENTA	TIVES
---------	---------	--------	---------	-------

district boundaries in the remedial plan. (b) Record and maintain minutes of meetings on the remedial plan which are closed to the public. (c) Provide a mechanism for the public to submit and comment on alternative maps. (d) Offer an opportunity for the public to review and comment on any proposed map before the remedial plan is finalized. (e) Maintain all e-mails and documents related to the drafting of the remedial plan. (f) This section does not supersede or impair the procedures governing the judicial review of apportionment as set forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution. section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.
79 remedial plan which are closed to the public. 80 (c) Provide a mechanism for the public to submit and 81 comment on alternative maps. 82 (d) Offer an opportunity for the public to review and 83 comment on any proposed map before the remedial plan is 84 finalized. 85 (e) Maintain all e-mails and documents related to the 86 drafting of the remedial plan. 87 (5) This section does not supersede or impair the 88 procedures governing the judicial review of apportionment as set 89 forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.
 (c) Provide a mechanism for the public to submit and (c) Provide a mechanism for the public to submit and (d) Offer an opportunity for the public to review and (d) Offer an opportunity for the public to review and (e) Maintain all e-mails and documents related to the (finalized. (e) Maintain all e-mails and documents related to the (f) This section does not supersede or impair the (f) This section does not supersede or apportionment as set (f) forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.
81 <u>comment on alternative maps.</u> 82 <u>(d) Offer an opportunity for the public to review and</u> 83 <u>comment on any proposed map before the remedial plan is</u> 84 <u>finalized.</u> 85 <u>(e) Maintain all e-mails and documents related to the</u> 86 <u>drafting of the remedial plan.</u> 87 <u>(5) This section does not supersede or impair the</u> 88 <u>procedures governing the judicial review of apportionment as set</u> 89 <u>forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.</u>
 (d) Offer an opportunity for the public to review and comment on any proposed map before the remedial plan is finalized. (e) Maintain all e-mails and documents related to the drafting of the remedial plan. (5) This section does not supersede or impair the procedures governing the judicial review of apportionment as set forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.
comment on any proposed map before the remedial plan is finalized. (e) Maintain all e-mails and documents related to the drafting of the remedial plan. (5) This section does not supersede or impair the procedures governing the judicial review of apportionment as set forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.
84 <u>finalized.</u> 85 <u>(e) Maintain all e-mails and documents related to the</u> 86 <u>drafting of the remedial plan.</u> 87 <u>(5) This section does not supersede or impair the</u> 88 <u>procedures governing the judicial review of apportionment as set</u> 89 <u>forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.</u>
 (e) Maintain all e-mails and documents related to the drafting of the remedial plan. (5) This section does not supersede or impair the procedures governing the judicial review of apportionment as set forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.
86 drafting of the remedial plan. 87 (5) This section does not supersede or impair the 88 procedures governing the judicial review of apportionment as set 89 forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.
 87 (5) This section does not supersede or impair the 88 procedures governing the judicial review of apportionment as set 89 forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.
88 procedures governing the judicial review of apportionment as set 89 forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.
89 forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.
90 Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.
Page 4 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.