Amendment No. 2

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE	E ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Health & Human Services Committee

Representative Burton offered the following:

4 5

1

2

3

Amendment (with title amendment)

6

Between lines 230 and 231, insert:

7

Section 6. Subsection (2) of section 39.01, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

9

39.01 Definitions.-

1112

results in any physical, mental, or sexual abuse, injury, or harm that causes or is likely to cause the child's physical,

13

mental, or emotional health to be significantly impaired. \underline{Abuse}

(2) "Abuse" means any willful act or threatened act that

14

of a child also includes when a new child is born into a family

15 16 during the course of an open dependency case where a parent or caregiver has been determined to not have protective capacity to

054795 - h1079-line230-2.docx

safely care for the children in the home and has not substantially complied with the case plan towards successful reunification or met conditions for return of the children into the home. Abuse of a child includes acts or omissions. Corporal discipline of a child by a parent or legal custodian for disciplinary purposes does not in itself constitute abuse when it does not result in harm to the child.

Section 7. Paragraph (d) is added to subsection (1) of section 39.6012, Florida Statutes, to read:

- 39.6012 Case plan tasks; services.-
- (1) The services to be provided to the parent and the tasks that must be completed are subject to the following:
- (d) Parents must provide accurate contact information to the department or the contracted case management agency, and update as appropriate, and make proactive contact with the department of the contracted case management agency at least every 14 calendar days to provide information on the status of case plan task completion, barriers to completion, and plans toward reunification.

Section 8. Subsections (6) and (7) of section 39.6013, Florida Statutes, are renumbered as subsections (7) and (8), respectively, and a new subsection (6) is added to that section to read:

39.6013 Case plan amendments.-

054795 - h1079-line230-2.docx

AGE.-

(6) When determining whether to amend the case plan, the	<u> </u>
court must consider the length of time the case has been open	<u>, </u>
level of parental engagement to date, number of case plan tas:	KS
complied with, child's type of placement and attachment, and	
potential for successful reunification.	
Section 9. Subsection (5) of section 36.621, Florida	
Statutes, is amended to read:	
39.621 Permanency determination by the court	
(5) At the permanency hearing, the court shall determine	∋:
(a) Whether the current permanency goal for the child is	S
appropriate or should be changed;	
(b) When the child will achieve one of the permanency	
goals; and	
(c) Whether the department has made reasonable efforts	to
finalize the permanency plan currently in effect; $\underline{ ext{and}}$	
(d) Whether the frequency, duration, manner, and level	of_
engagement of the parent or legal guardian's visitation with	the
child meets the case plan requirements.	
Section 10. Paragraph (d) of subsection (2) of section	
39.701, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:	
39.701 Judicial Review.—	
(2) REVIEW HEARINGS FOR CHILDREN YOUNGER THAN 18 YEARS ()F

054795 - h1079-line230-2.docx

(d) Orders.-

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

- Based upon the criteria set forth in paragraph (c) and the recommended order of the citizen review panel, if any, the court shall determine whether or not the social service agency shall initiate proceedings to have a child declared a dependent child, return the child to the parent, continue the child in out-of-home care for a specified period of time, or initiate termination of parental rights proceedings for subsequent placement in an adoptive home. Amendments to the case plan must be prepared as prescribed in s. 39.6013. If the court finds that the prevention or reunification efforts of the department will allow the child to remain safely at home or be safely returned to the home, the court shall allow the child to remain in or return to the home after making a specific finding of fact that the reasons for the creation of the case plan have been remedied to the extent that the child's safety, well-being, and physical, mental, and emotional health will not be endangered.
- 2. The court shall return the child to the custody of the parents at any time it determines that they have substantially complied with the case plan, if the court is satisfied that reunification will not be detrimental to the child's safety, well-being, and physical, mental, and emotional health.
- 3. If, in the opinion of the court, the social service agency has not complied with its obligations as specified in the written case plan, the court may find the social service agency in contempt, shall order the social service agency to submit its

054795 - h1079-line230-2.docx

plans for compliance with the agreement, and shall require the social service agency to show why the child could not safely be returned to the home of the parents.

- 4. If, at any judicial review, the court finds that the parents have failed to substantially comply with the case plan to the degree that further reunification efforts are without merit and not in the best interest of the child, on its own motion, the court may order the filing of a petition for termination of parental rights, whether or not the time period as contained in the case plan for substantial compliance has expired.
- 5. Within 6 months after the date that the child was placed in shelter care, the court shall conduct a judicial review hearing to review the child's permanency goal as identified in the case plan. At the hearing the court shall make findings regarding the likelihood of the child's reunification with the parent or legal custodian. In making such findings, the court shall consider the level of the parent or legal guardian's compliance with the case plan and demonstrated change in protective capacities compared to that necessary to achieve timely reunification within 12 months after the removal of the child from the home. The court shall also consider the frequency, duration, manner, and level of engagement of the parent or legal custodian's visitation with the child in compliance with the case plan. If the court makes a written

054795 - h1079-line230-2.docx

Amendment No. 2

finding that it is not likely that the child will be reunified with the parent or legal custodian within 12 months after the child was removed from the home, the department must file with the court, and serve on all parties, a motion to amend the case plan under s. 39.6013 and declare that it will use concurrent planning for the case plan. The department must file the motion within 10 business days after receiving the written finding of the court. The department must attach the proposed amended case plan to the motion. If concurrent planning is already being used, the case plan must document the efforts the department is taking to complete the concurrent goal.

6. The court may issue a protective order in assistance, or as a condition, of any other order made under this part. In addition to the requirements included in the case plan, the protective order may set forth requirements relating to reasonable conditions of behavior to be observed for a specified period of time by a person or agency who is before the court; and the order may require any person or agency to make periodic reports to the court containing such information as the court in its discretion may prescribe.

134 135

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

136

137

Remove line 20 and insert: 138

054795 - h1079-line230-2.docx

Published On: 2/14/2018 8:06:43 PM

TITLE AMENDMENT

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. CS/HB 1079 (2018)

Amendment No. 2

139

140

141142

143

144

145146

147

from specified employment; amending s. 39.01, F.S.; revising the
definition of "harm"; amending s. 39.6012, F.S.; requiring
parents to make proactive contact with case managers at regular
intervals; amending s. 39.6013, F.S.; requiring the court to
consider certain case details before amending a case plan;
amending s. 39.621, F.S.; requiring the court, during permanency
hearings, to determine case plan compliance; amending s. 39.701,
F.S.; requiring the court, during judicial review hearings, to
determine case plan compliance; providing an effective

054795 - h1079-line230-2.docx