	Prepared By	: The Pro	fessional Staff of	the Committee on	Banking and	Insurance	
BILL:	CS/SB 150						
INTRODUCER:	Banking and Insurance Committee and Senator Lee						
SUBJECT:	Motor Vehicle Insurance						
DATE:	January 12,	2018	REVISED:				
ANAL	YST	STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION	
Knudson		Knuds	on	BI	Fav/CS		
				AHS			
				AP			

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 150 repeals the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law (No-Fault Law), which requires every owner and registrant of a motor vehicle in this state to maintain \$10,000 Personal Injury Protection (PIP) coverage. The bill enacts financial responsibility requirements for damages for liability on account of accidents arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle in the amount of:

- Beginning January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2020, \$20,000 for bodily injury (BI) or death of one person in any one crash, and subject to that limit for one person, \$40,000 for bodily injury or death of two or more people in any one crash.
- Beginning January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2022, \$25,000 for bodily injury or death of one person in any one crash, and subject to that limit for one person, \$50,000 for bodily injury or death of two or more people in any one crash.
- Beginning January 1, 2023, and thereafter \$30,000 for bodily injury or death of one person in any one crash, and subject to that limit for one person, \$60,000 for bodily injury or death of two or more people in any one crash.

The bill retains the \$10,000 financial responsibility requirement for property damage.

The bill replaces the PIP coverage mandate with a medical payments (MedPay) coverage mandate of \$5,000. Medical payments coverage under the bill provides reimbursement for 100 percent of covered medical losses, whereas PIP reimburses only 80 percent of covered medical losses. Medical payments coverage will provide reimbursement for all of the following

medically necessary treatments if the injured individual initially receives treatment within 14 days after the motor vehicle accident:

- Emergency transport and treatment provided by a provider licensed under ch. 401, F.S.
- Emergency services and care provided by a hospital licensed under ch. 395, F.S.
- Emergency services and care and related hospital inpatient services rendered by a physician or dentist that are provided in a facility licensed under ch. 395, F.S.
- Hospital inpatient services other than emergency services and care.
- Hospital outpatient services other than emergency services and care.
- Physician services and care provided by a physician licensed under ch. 458, F.S., or ch. 459, F.S., or a chiropractic physician licensed under ch. 460, F.S., or dental services and care provided by a dentist licensed under ch. 466, F.S.

The repeal of the No-Fault Law eliminates the limitations on recovering pain and suffering damages from PIP insureds which currently require bodily injury that causes death or significant and permanent injury.

The repeal of the No-Fault Law, the financial responsibility requirements for bodily injury, and the requirement to maintain medical payments coverage take effect January 1, 2019.

II. Present Situation:

Under the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law (No-Fault Law),¹ owners or registrants of motor vehicles are required to purchase personal injury protection (PIP) insurance which compensates persons injured in accidents regardless of fault.² Policyholders are indemnified by their own insurer. The intent of no-fault insurance is to provide prompt medical treatment without regard to fault.³ This coverage also provides policyholders with immunity from liability for economic damages up to the policy limits and limits tort suits for non-economic damages (pain and suffering) below a specified injury threshold.⁴ In contrast, under a tort liability system, the negligent party is responsible for damages caused and an accident victim can sue the at-fault driver to recover economic and non-economic damages.

Florida drivers are required to purchase both PIP and property damage liability (PD) insurance.⁵ The personal injury protection must provide a minimum benefit of \$10,000 for bodily injury to any one person who sustains an emergency medical condition, which is reduced to a \$2,500 limit for medical benefits if a treating medical provider determines an emergency medical condition did not exist.⁶ PIP coverage provides reimbursement for 80 percent of reasonable medical expenses,⁷ 60 percent of loss of income,⁸ and 100 percent of replacement services,⁹ for bodily injury sustained in a motor vehicle accident, without regard to fault. The property damage

⁹ Id.

¹ Sections 627.730-627.7405, F.S.

² Section 627.733, F.S.

³ See s. 627.731, F.S.

⁴ Section 627.737, F.S.

⁵ See ss. 324.022, F.S. and 627.733, F.S.

⁶ Section 627.736(1), F.S.

⁷ Section 627.736(1)(a), F.S.

⁸ Section 627.736(1)(b), F.S.

liability coverage must provide a \$10,000 minimum benefit. A \$5,000 death benefit is also provided.¹⁰

PIP Medical Benefits

The 2012 Legislature revised the provision of PIP medical benefits under the No-Fault Law, effective January 1, 2013.¹¹ To receive PIP medical benefits, insureds must receive initial services and care within 14 days after the motor vehicle accident.¹² Initial services and care are only reimbursable if lawfully provided, supervised, ordered or prescribed by a licensed physician, licensed osteopathic physician, licensed chiropractic physician, licensed dentist, or must be rendered in a hospital, a facility that owns or is owned by a hospital, or a licensed emergency transportation and treatment provider.¹³ Follow-up services and care requires a referral from such providers and must be consistent with the underlying medical diagnosis rendered when the individual received initial services and care.¹⁴

PIP medical benefits have two different coverage limits, based upon the severity of the medical condition of the individual. An insured may receive up to \$10,000 in medical benefits for services and care if a physician, osteopathic physician, dentist, physician's assistant or advanced registered nurse practitioner has determined that the injured person had an emergency medical condition.¹⁵ An emergency medical condition is defined as a medical condition manifesting itself by acute symptoms of sufficient severity that the absence of immediate medical attention could reasonably be expected to result in serious jeopardy to patient health, serious impairment to bodily functions, or serious dysfunction of a body organ or part.¹⁶ If a provider who rendered treatment or services determines that the insured did not have an emergency medical condition, the PIP medical benefit limit is \$2,500.¹⁷ Massage and acupuncture are not reimbursable, regardless of the type of provider rendering such services.¹⁸

The \$5,000 PIP death benefit is provided in addition to medical and disability benefits, effective January 1, 2013. Previously, the death benefit was the lesser of the unused PIP benefits, up to a limit of \$5,000.

Medical Fee Limits for PIP Reimbursement

Section 627.736(5), F.S., authorizes insurers to limit reimbursement for benefits payable from PIP coverage to 80 percent of the following schedule of maximum charges:

- For emergency transport and treatment (ambulance and emergency medical technicians), 200 percent of Medicare;
- For emergency services and care provided by a hospital, 75 percent of the hospital's usual and customary charges;

¹⁰ Section 627.736(1)(c), F.S.

¹¹ Chapter 2012-197, L.O.F. (CS/CS/HB 119)

¹² Section 627.736(1)(a), F.S.

¹³ Section 627.736(1)(a)1., F.S.

¹⁴ Section 627.736(1)(a)2., F.S.

¹⁵ Section 627.736(1)(a)3., F.S.

¹⁶ Section 627.732(16), F.S.

¹⁷ Section 627.736(1)(a)4., F.S.

¹⁸ Section 627.736(1)(a)5., F.S.

- For emergency services and care and related hospital inpatient services rendered by a physician or dentist, the usual and customary charges in the community;
- For hospital inpatient services, 200 percent of Medicare Part A;
- For hospital outpatient services, 200 percent of Medicare Part A;
- For services supplies and care provided by ambulatory surgical centers and clinical laboratories, 200 percent of Medicare Part B;
- For durable medical equipment, 200 percent of the Durable Medical Equipment Prosthetics/Orthotics and Supplies fee schedule of Medicare Part B;
- For all other medical services, supplies, and care, 200 percent of the participating physicians fee schedule of Medicare Part B; and
- For medical care not reimbursable under Medicare, 80 percent of the workers' compensation fee schedule. If the medical care is not reimbursable under either Medicare or workers' compensation then the insurer is not required to provide reimbursement.

The insurer may not apply any utilization limits that apply under Medicare or workers' compensation.¹⁹ Also, the insurer must reimburse a health care provider rendering services under the scope of his or her license, regardless of any restriction under Medicare that restricts payments to certain types of health care providers for specified procedures. Medical providers are not allowed to bill the insured for any excess amount when an insurer limits payment as authorized in the fee schedule, except for amounts that are not covered due to the PIP coinsurance amount (the 20 percent copayment) or for amounts that exceed maximum policy limits.²⁰

CS/CS/HB 119 revised the PIP medical fee schedule in an effort to resolve alleged ambiguities that led to conflicts and litigation between claimants and insurers. The bill clarified the reimbursement levels for care provided by ambulatory surgical centers and clinical laboratories and for durable medical equipment. The bill also provided that Medicare fee schedule in effect on March 1 is applicable for the remainder of that year.²¹ Insurers are authorized to use Medicare coding policies and payment methodologies of the Centers for Medicare and Medicare Services, including applicable modifiers, when applying the fee schedule if they do not constitute a utilization limit.²² The bill also requires insurers to include notice of the fee schedule in their policies.²³

Attorney Fees

Section 627.428, F.S., requires an insurer to pay the insured's or beneficiary's reasonable attorney fees upon a judgment against the insurer and in favor of the insured or named beneficiary under an insurance policy, and applies to disputes under the No-Fault Law.²⁴ CS/CS/HB 119 amended provisions related to attorney fee awards in No-Fault disputes. The bill prohibits the application of attorney fee multipliers.²⁵ The bill also requires that the attorney fees

¹⁹ Section 627.736(5)(a)3., F.S.

²⁰ Section 627.736(5)(a)4., F.S.

²¹ Section 627.736(5)(a)2., F.S.

²² Section 627.736(5)(a)3., F.S.

²³ Section 627.736(5)(a)5., F.S.

²⁴ Section 627.736(8), F.S.

²⁵ See id.

awarded must comply with prevailing professional standards, not overstate or inflate the number of hours reasonably necessary for a case of comparable skill or complexity, and represent legal services that are reasonable to achieve the result obtained.²⁶ The offer of judgment statute, s. 768.79, F.S., is applied to No-Fault cases, providing statutory authority for insurers to recover fees if the plaintiff's recovery does not exceed the insurer's settlement offer by a statutorily specified percentage.²⁷

Mandatory Rate Filings and Data Call

CS/CS/HB 119 required the Office of Insurance Regulation to contract with a consulting firm to calculate the expected savings from the act.²⁸ The OIR retained Pinnacle Actuarial Resources, Inc., which released an August 20, 2012, report estimating an indicated statewide average savings in PIP premiums of 14 percent to 24.6 percent and an average overall motor vehicle insurance premium reduction ranging from 2.8 percent to 4.9 percent.²⁹ The report noted that if insurers' current PIP rates were inadequate they would likely offset the savings from CS/CS/HB 119 against their indicated PIP rates. By October 1, 2012, each insurer writing private passenger automobile PIP insurance was required to submit a rate filing providing at least a 10 percent reduction of its PIP rate or explain in detail its reasons for failing to achieve those savings. The Legislature required a second mandatory rate filing due January 1, 2014, that provided at least a 25 percent reduction of the insurer's July 1, 2012, PIP rate or explained in detail its reasons for failing to achieve those savings.

The Office of Insurance Regulation performed a comprehensive PIP data call on January 1, 2015, that analyzed the impact of the act's reforms on the PIP insurance market. The top 25 personal lines automobile insurers³⁰ generally failed to achieve a 25 percent rate reduction and instead reduced PIP rates an average of 13.6 percent.³¹ Rates were only reduced an average of 0.1 percent for a full auto insurance premium consisting of PIP, property damage, bodily injury, uninsured motorists, collision and comprehensive coverages.³² The OIR noted that though the post-HB 119 rate filings were on the low end of 2012 Pinnacle report, prior to CS/CS/HB 119 the statewide average approved rate changes were a 46.3 percent increase in PIP rates, and a 12.9 percent rate increase for full auto insurance.³³

Rate filings by top 25 auto insurers from January 1, 2015, to January 18, 2017, reversed the entirety of the rate reductions achieved post HB 119, resulting in average premiums higher than those charged before CS/CS/HB 119 became law.³⁴ Generally, motor vehicle insurance rates increased nationally. The United States Department of Labor calculates that the consumer price index for motor vehicle insurance (U.S. city average for urban consumers) increased 8.2

²⁶ See id.

²⁷ See id.

²⁸ Section 15, Ch. 2012-197, L.O.F.

²⁹ Pinnacle Actuarial Resources, Inc., Impact Analysis of HB 119, (Aug. 20, 2012).

³⁰ On an earned premium basis.

³¹ Office of Insurance Regulation, *Report on Review of the Data Call Pursuant to HB 119 – Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Protection (PIP) Insurance*, Pg. 43 (January 1, 2015).

³² See id.

³³ See id. on pg. 41.

³⁴ See Office of Insurance Regulation, *Florida Personal Auto Market Presented to The Florida Senate Committee on Banking and Insurance*, pg. 3 (January 24, 2017).

percent³⁵ from October 2016, to October 2017, with followed a 6.7 percent³⁶ increase from October 2015 to October 2016. The number of crashes and crashes involving injuries reported to the Florida Department of Highway Safety has increased in recent years. The number of crashes (346,326) and injury crashes (143,981) from January 1, 2017, through November 28, 2017, exceeds the number of crashes for the entire year of 2013 (317,355 crashes with 140,241 being injury crashes).³⁷

Motor Vehicle Insurance Fraud

Motor vehicle insurance fraud is a long-standing problem in Florida. In November 2005, the Senate Banking and Insurance Committee issued a report entitled Florida's Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law, which was a comprehensive review of Florida's No-Fault system. The report noted that fraud was at an "all-time" high at the time, noting that there were 3,942 PIP fraud referrals received by the Division of Insurance Fraud during the 3 fiscal years beginning in 2002 and ending in 2005. That 3-year amount was nearly doubled by the 7,240 PIP fraud referrals received by the division during the 2014/2015 fiscal year.³⁸ Given this fact, the following description from the 2005 report is an accurate description of the current situation regarding motor vehicle insurance fraud:

"Florida's no-fault laws are being exploited by sophisticated criminal organizations in schemes that involve health care clinic fraud, staging (faking) car crashes, manufacturing false crash reports, adding occupants to existing crash reports, filing PIP claims using contrived injuries, colluding with dishonest medical treatment providers to fraudulently bill insurance companies for medically unnecessary or non-existent treatments, and patient-brokering..."

Fraudulent claims are a major cost-driver and result in higher motor vehicle insurance premium costs for Florida policyholders. CS/CS/HB 119 contained numerous provisions designed to curtail PIP fraud. A health care practitioner found guilty of insurance fraud under s. 817.234, F.S., loses his or her license for 5 years and may not receive PIP reimbursement for 10 years. Insurers are provided an additional 60 days (90 total) to investigate suspected fraudulent claims, however, an insurer that ultimately pays the claim must also pay an interest penalty.³⁹ All entities seeking reimbursement under the No-Fault Law must obtain health care clinic licensure except for hospitals, ambulatory surgical centers, entities owned or wholly owned by a hospital, clinical facilities affiliated with an accredited medical school and practices wholly owned by a physician, dentist, or chiropractic physician or by such physicians and specified family members.⁴⁰ The bill also defined failure to pay PIP claims within the time limits of s. 627.736(4)(b), F.S., as an unfair and deceptive practice.

³⁵ United States Department of Labor, *Economic News Release Consumer Price Index Summary: Table 2* (November 15, 2017) <u>https://www.bls.gov/news.release/cpi.t02.htm</u> (last accessed November 29, 2017).

³⁶ United States Department of Labor, *Economic News Release Consumer Price Index Summary: Table 2* (November 17, 2016) <u>https://www.bls.gov/news.release/archives/cpi_11172016.htm</u> (last accessed November 29, 2017).

³⁷ See Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles, Florida Integrated Report Exchange System Quick Statistics at <u>https://firesportal.com/Pages/Public/QuickStats.aspx</u> (last accessed on November 29, 2017).

³⁸ Florida Department of Financial Services, *Division of Insurance Fraud Annual Report Fiscal Year 2014 – 2015*, pg. 28 <u>http://www.myfloridacfo.com/Division/DIFS/resources/documents/2014-15_Annual-Report.pdf</u> (last accessed on December 4, 2017).

³⁹ Section 627.736(4)(i), F.S.

⁴⁰ Section 627.736(5)(h), F.S.

Financial Responsibility Law

Florida's financial responsibility law requires proof of ability to pay monetary damages for bodily injury and property damage liability arising out of a motor vehicle accident or serious traffic violation.⁴¹ The owner and operator of a motor vehicle need not demonstrate financial responsibility, i.e., obtain BI and PD coverages, until *after the accident*.⁴² At that time, a driver's financial responsibility is proved by the furnishing of an active motor vehicle liability policy. The minimum amounts of liability coverages required are \$10,000 in the event of bodily injury to, or death of, one person, \$20,000 in the event of injury to, or death of, two or more persons, and \$10,000 in the event of damage to property of others, or \$30,000 combined BI/PD policy.⁴³ The driver's license and registration driver who fails to comply with the security requirement to maintain PIP and PD insurance coverage is subject to suspension.⁴⁴ A driver's license and registration g liability policy and by paying a fee to the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles.⁴⁵

Review of Auto Insurance Systems

Two auto insurance systems are utilized throughout the country: the tort system and the no-fault system, with certain variations. Thirty-eight states utilize the tort system in which the at-fault party is liable for damages (medical, economic, property damage and pain and suffering) to other parties in the accident.⁴⁶ Parties seeking redress for their injuries do so from the at-fault driver, and must prove negligence on the part of that individual. Nine of the 38 tort states, known as "add-on" states, require auto insurers to offer PIP coverage, but unlike no-fault states, do not restrict the right to pursue a liability claim or lawsuit.⁴⁷ Benefits are generally either offered in a PIP coverage form similar to that in no-fault states or as additional wage replacement benefits to medical payments coverage. Three tort add-on states require the purchase of PIP coverage; six do not, but require insurers to offer PIP coverage.

Twelve states (including Florida) have a no-fault system and mandate first party PIP coverage for medical benefits, wage loss, and death benefits, with a limitation on pain and suffering lawsuits.⁴⁸ All 12 jurisdictions take different approaches to no-fault legislation in that coverage amounts, deductibles, mandated coverages, tort thresholds for pain and suffering claims and the use of fee schedules or treatment protocols, vary widely among these entities. Each state has either a "verbal" or "monetary" threshold regarding the seriousness of a person's injuries that must be met prior to the filing of a tort suit for noneconomic damages against an at-fault driver. Florida and the four most populous no-fault states use a verbal threshold, which is a statutory

⁴⁸ Hawaii, Kansas, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, and Utah are the other No-Fault states.

⁴¹ See ch. 324, F.S.

⁴² Section 324.011, F.S.

⁴³ Section 324.022, F.S.

⁴⁴ Section 324.0221(2), F.S.

⁴⁵ Section 324.0221(3), F.S.

⁴⁶ Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

⁴⁷ Arkansas, Delaware, Maryland, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin.

description of the severity of an injury. The seven remaining no-fault states have monetary thresholds ranging from \$1,000 to \$5,000. Three of the 12 no-fault states (Kentucky, New Jersey and Pennsylvania) are known as "choice" states and offer consumers a choice between purchasing PIP coverage or traditional tort liability coverage which does not include PIP benefits.

Auto Coverage Requirements

Forty-eight states require car owners to buy a minimum amount of bodily injury liability (BI) and property damage liability (PD) insurance coverage before they can legally drive their vehicles.⁴⁹ Further, all states have financial responsibility laws which require persons involved in auto accidents (or serious traffic infractions) to furnish proof of BI and PD liability insurance. The minimum coverage amounts vary among the states. Florida has a requirement for bodily liability coverage for persons subject to the Financial Responsibility Law of \$10,000 per person, \$20,000 per accident, and \$10,000 in the event of damage to property of others, or a \$30,000 combined (BI/PD) single limit. A Florida driver is not required to maintain BI coverage until he or she is involved in a crash or convicted of certain traffic offenses. The following chart shows the required motor vehicle insurance coverages in each state and the minimum coverages for bodily injury liability coverage and property damage coverage.

ST	Ins. Req.	Min. Bl/PD	ST	Ins. Req.	Min. Bl/PD	ST	Ins. Req.	Min. Bl/PD
AL	BI/PD	25/50/25	LA	BI/PD	15/30/25	OH	BI/PD	25/50/25
AK	BI/PD	50/100/25	ME	BI/PD/UM	50/100/25	OK	BI/PD	25/50/25
AZ	BI/PD	15/30/10	MD	BI/PD/PIP/UM	30/60/15	OR	BI/PD/PIP/UM	25/50/25
AR	BI/PD/PIP	25/50/25	MA	BI/PD/PIP/UM	20/40/5	PA	BI/PD/PIP	15/30/5
CA	BI/PD	15/30/5	MI	BI/PD/PIP	20/40/10	RI	BI/PD	25/50/25
СО	BI/PD	25/50/15	MN	BI/PD/PIP/UM	30/60/10	SC	BI/PD/UM	25/50/25
СТ	BI/PD/UM	20/40/10	MS	BI/PD	25/50/25	SD	BI/PD/UM	25/50/25
DE	BI/PD/PIP	15/30/10	MO	BI/PD/UM	25/50/10	ΤN	BI/PD	25/50/15
FL	PIP/PD	10/20/10	MT	BI/PD	25/50/20	ТΧ	BI/PD	30/60/25
GA	BI/PD	25/50/25	NE	BI/PD/UM	25/50/25	UT	BI/PD/PIP	25/65/15
HI	BI/PD/PIP	20/40/10	NV	BI/PD	15/30/10	VT	BI/PD/UM	25/50/10
ID	BI/PD	25/50/15	NH	None	25/50/25	VA	BI/PD/UM	25/50/20
IL	BI/PD/UM	25/50/20	NJ	BI/PD/PIP/UM	15/30/5	WA	BI/PD	25/50/10
IN	BI/PD	25/50/25	NM	BI/PD	25/50/10	WV	BI/PD/UM	25/50/25
IA	BI/PD	20/40/15	NY	BI/PD/PIP/UM	35/50/10	WI	BI/PD/UM	25/50/10
KS	BI/PD/PIP	25/50/25	NC	BI/PD/UM	30/60/25	WY	BI/PD	25/50/20
KY	BI/PD/PIP	25/50/10	ND	BI/PD/PIP/UM	25/50/25	DC	BI/PD/PIP/UM	25/50/10

State Motor Vehicle Insurance Requirements

⁴⁹ New Hampshire does not require auto insurance if the driver complies with alternative financial responsibility requirements. Florida only requires BI coverage after a driver is involved in a crash.

Tort-Based Motor Vehicle Insurance Jurisdictions

In a tort-based liability system, auto injury claimants seek payment from the at-fault driver for both economic and non-economic damages from dollar one. A tort-based system represents a more traditional legal philosophy of holding persons responsible for injuries caused by their negligent operation of a vehicle. In theory, this encourages safer operation of automobiles and is generally viewed by the public as consistent with the concept of personal responsibility.

If Florida repeals PIP and mandates BI coverage, it will be important for drivers to appreciate coverage applications under the tort system. For the most common type of accident (with one party at-fault), the at-fault party's BI coverage would pay for injuries to the not at-fault driver, unless the at-fault party was uninsured. If the at-fault party is uninsured (or underinsured), the not at-fault party would utilize his/her UM coverage, if purchased, to pay for injuries sustained in an accident. The at-fault party's PD coverage would compensate for physical damages to the not at-fault driver's vehicle. If the not-at-fault party has Med Pay coverage, it can be used to cover his or her own medical expenses, which could then be subrogated into the BI claim by the not at-fault driver's insurer.

With respect to the at-fault party, that driver's own health insurance, if available, would cover his or her own expenses. Med Pay coverage, if purchased, would pay for his/her medical expenses up to the Med Pay limits, at which point health insurance would apply. In the event the at-fault party did not have health insurance, then the medical costs would not be reimbursed and the individual would be responsible for these costs or such costs would be assumed by the health care provider.

For single car accidents, the driver of the vehicle is presumed to be the at-fault party and therefore will be essentially in the same situation as the at-fault party described above. Occupants in the vehicle can sue the driver of the vehicle for their injuries and are in a similar circumstance to the not at-fault party's situation, previously described. Family members are precluded from suing the driver because of the intra-family exclusion due to the fact that only non-family occupants can pursue a tort claim. Pedestrians who are injured in an accident are in a similar situation as the not at-fault party.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Repeal of the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law

Section 1 repeals ss. 627.730-627.7405, F.S., which constitute the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law.

Many of the provisions of the No-Fault Law are retained in s. 627.7265, F.S., as detailed below. Two of the most significant provisions not retained are the tort exemption in s. 627.737, F.S., which prohibits tort actions to recover pain and suffering damages from PIP insureds unless death or significant and permanent injury causes such damages, and coverage for disability and death benefits under PIP.

Section 2 repeals s. 627.7407, F.S., which explained how the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law was to be applied after being reinstated by ch. 2007-324, Laws of Florida.

Mandatory Bodily Injury Liability Coverage Requirements

Chapter 324, F.S., requires the owners and operators of motor vehicles to demonstrate the ability to respond in damages for liability because of crashes arising out of the use of a motor vehicle.⁵⁰ This requirement is usually met through the purchase of motor vehicle insurance.

Sections 12 and 13 amend s. 324.021, F.S., and s. 324.022, F.S., to require every owner or operator of a motor vehicle registered in this state to maintain the ability to respond in damages for liability on account of accidents arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle in the amount of:

- Beginning January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2020, \$20,000 for bodily injury or death of one person in any one crash, and subject to that limit for one person, \$40,000 for bodily injury or death of two or more people in any one crash.
- Beginning January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2022, \$25,000 for bodily injury or death of one person in any one crash, and subject to that limit for one person, \$50,000 for bodily injury or death of two or more people in any one crash.
- Beginning January 1, 2023, and thereafter, \$30,000 for bodily injury or death of one person in any one crash, and subject to that limit for one person, \$60,000 for bodily injury or death of two or more people in any one crash.

The bill retains current law that requires drivers to maintain the ability to respond in damages of \$10,000 for damage to or the destruction of other's property in a crash.

Financial responsibility may be met through motor vehicle insurance that provides BI and PD coverage in at least the minimum amounts required to meet responsibility or through insurance that provides BI and PD with a combined single coverage limit that equals the BI requirement for more than one person plus the PD requirement. From January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2020, minimum combined single limit will be \$50,000 and will subsequently increase to \$60,000 on January 1, 2021, and \$70,000 on January 1, 2023.

Required Provisions in Motor Vehicle Liability Policies

Section 21 amends s. 324.151, F.S., which requires motor vehicle liability insurance policies that serve as proof of financial responsibility to contain certain provisions. The bill requires policies issued to the owner of a motor vehicle registered in this state to insure all named insureds and any operator using the vehicle with the permission of the owner of the vehicle insured by the policy from liability resulting from the use of the motor vehicle referenced in the policy.

⁵⁰ Owners and operators of motor vehicles may satisfy financial responsibility requirements by alternate means, such as depositing security with the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles pursuant to s. 324.161, F.S., or qualifying as a self-insurer pursuant to s. 324.171, F.S.

Meeting Financial Responsibility through a Certificate of Self-Insurance

Section 16 amends s. 324.031, F.S., which allows owners and operators of motor vehicles that are not for-hire vehicles to prove financial responsibility by providing evidence of holding a motor vehicle liability policy. Two alternatives are also available under the statute. A person may prove financial responsibility by furnishing a certificate of self-insurance that shows a deposit of cash with a financial institution, or furnishing a certificate of self-insurance issued by the DHSMV based on demonstrating sufficient net unencumbered worth.

A certificate of self-insurance showing a deposit of cash must, beginning January 1, 2019, require a certificate of deposit equal to the number of vehicles owned times \$50,000, to a maximum of \$200,000. As of January 1, 2021, the deposit must equal the number of vehicles owned times \$60,000, to a maximum of \$240,000. On January 1, 2023, and thereafter, the deposit must equal the number of vehicles owned times \$70,000, to a maximum of \$280,000. Current law requires a deposit equal to the number of vehicles times \$30,000, to a maximum of \$120,000. The bill also requires all persons using this method to maintain excess coverage of the amount deposited. Current law does not require this of natural persons, and requires the excess coverage of a \$10,000/\$20,000/\$10,000 BI/PD or a \$30,000 combined single limit. The bill retains current law that the excess coverage must have limits of at least \$125,000/\$250,000/\$50,000 BI/PD or a \$300,000 BI/PD combined single limit. Under **Section 22** of the bill, the proof of a certificate of deposit must be provided annually, and must be from a financial institution insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the National Credit Union Administration.

The second alternative method is obtaining a certificate of self-insurance issued by the DHSMV. Section 23 amends s. 324.171, F.S., to provide that a certificate of self-insurance from the DHSMV pursuant to this section may be obtained by a private individual with private passenger vehicles by demonstrating sufficient net unencumbered worth of at least \$80,000 beginning January 1, 2019; at least \$100,000 beginning January 1, 2021; and at least \$120,000 beginning January 1, 2023, and thereafter. Current law requires a net unencumbered worth of at least \$40,000. A person other than a natural person may obtain a certificate of self-insurance from the DHSMV by possessing a net unencumbered worth of at least \$80,000 for the first motor vehicle and \$40,000 for each additional vehicle beginning on January 1, 2019; a net unencumbered worth of at least \$100,000 for the first motor vehicle and \$50,000 for each additional motor vehicle beginning January 1, 2021; and a net unencumbered worth of at least \$120,000 for the first motor vehicle and \$60,000 for each additional motor vehicle beginning January 1, 2023. The bill retains current law that authorizes the DHSMV to promulgate by rule an alternative net worth requirement for persons other than natural persons. Current law requires a net unencumbered worth of \$40,000 for the first motor vehicle and \$20,000 for each additional motor vehicle.

Garage Liability Insurance Requirement

Section 7 amends s. 320.27, F.S., which requires the licensure of motor vehicle dealers. The bill increases the garage liability insurance requirement, requiring a combined single limit policy that provides BI liability and PD liability coverage with a limit of:

• At least \$50,000 beginning January 1, 2019, and continuing through December 31, 2020.

- At least \$60,000 beginning January 1, 2021, and continuing through December 31, 2022.
- At least a \$70,000 beginning January 1, 2023, and thereafter.

Current law only requires at least \$25,000 in such coverage and also requires PIP. The section also corrects a cross reference in the exemption from this requirement for salvage motor dealers.

Section 8 amends s. 320.771, F.S., and applies the same garage liability insurance requirement to recreational vehicle dealers.

Financial Responsibility Requirement for For-Hire Vehicles

Section 17 amends s. 324.032, F.S., which provides the financial responsibility requirements for for-hire passenger vehicles. The bill retains current law requiring the owner or lessee to meet the financial responsibility requirement and also retains the minimum limits of coverage, which are \$125,000/\$250,000 of BI and \$50,000 of PD. The bill amends current law by specifying the coverage must be purchased by an insurer that is a member of the Florida Insurance Guaranty Association.

Section 41 amends s. 627.7275, F.S., to require all motor vehicle insurance policies delivered or issued in Florida for a motor vehicle registered or principally garaged in this state to include BI liability coverage and PD liability coverage as required by s. 324.022, F.S., and MedPay coverage as required by s. 627.7265, F.S.

Mandatory Medical Payments Coverage⁵¹

Medical Payments Coverage Benefits

Section 39 creates s. 627.7265, F.S., which requires the inclusion of medical payments coverage of at least \$5,000 in each motor vehicle liability insurance policy used to meet the financial responsibility requirements of s. 324.031, F.S.

Medical payments coverage protects the named insured, resident relatives, all passengers and operators of the insured vehicle, and all persons struck by the motor vehicle while not occupying a self-propelled motor vehicle. Medical payments coverage must provide reimbursement of medically necessary medical, surgical, X-ray, dental, and rehabilitative services, including prosthetic devices, and ambulance, hospital, and nursing services. The coverage also includes a death benefit of at least \$5,000.

MedPay provides reimbursement of 100 percent of covered medical care and services, which differs from PIP, which provides reimbursement for 80 percent of such services and care. Deductibles are prohibited. Massage and acupuncture are not reimbursable under MedPay coverage, nor is treatment provided by a licensed massage therapist or licensed acupuncturist. MedPay benefits are generally primary except that workers' compensation benefits are primary and MedPay must reimburse the state Medicaid program for any benefits it pays.

⁵¹ Footnotes in the Effect of Proposed Changes section of this analysis refer to the statutory citations contained in CS/SB 150, and not current law.

The bill retains within MedPay the PIP requirement that an individual seeking reimbursement must receive initial services and care within 14 days of the motor vehicle accident from specified medical providers.⁵² The following medically necessary treatment, services and care are reimburseable under MedPay:

- Emergency transport and treatment by a provider licensed under ch. 401, F.S.
- Emergency services and care provided by a hospital licensed under ch. 395, F.S.
- Emergency services and care as defined in s. 395.002, F.S., provided in a facility licensed under ch. 395, F.S., and rendered by a physician or dentist, and related hospital inpatient services rendered by a physician or dentist.
- Hospital inpatient services, other than emergency services and care.
- Hospital outpatient services, other than emergency services and care.
- Physician services and care provided by a physician licensed under ch. 458, F.S., or ch. 459, F.S., or a chiropractic physician licensed under ch. 460, F.S., or dental services and care provided by a dentist licensed under ch. 466, F.S.

Medical Fee Schedule for MedPay Reimbursement

Medical payments coverage reimbursement contains a medical fee schedule that is similar to the fee schedule for PIP.⁵³ The primary difference is that whereas PIP reimbursed 80 percent of charges made under the fee schedule, MedPay reimburses 100 percent of such charges. The fee schedule allows insurers to limit reimbursement to the following:

- Emergency services transport and treatment by licensed medical transportation service 200 percent of Medicare.
- Emergency services and care provided by a licensed hospital 75 percent of a hospital's usual and customary charges.
- Emergency services and care and related hospital inpatient services provided by a licensed physician or dentist, if rendered in a facility licensed under ch. 395, F.S., (hospitals, ambulatory surgical centers, and mobile surgical facilities) usual and customary charges in the community.
- Hospital inpatient services other than emergency services and care 200 percent of the Medicare Part A prospective payment applicable to the specific hospital providing the inpatient services.
- Hospital outpatient services other than emergency services and care 200 percent of the Medicare Part A Ambulatory Payment Classification for that particular hospital.

The bill does not retain the provisions in the fee schedule that limits reimbursement for all other medical supplies, services, and care to 200 percent of the participating physician's fee schedule of Medicare Part B, and details the reimbursement amounts for the following:

- Services, supplies, and care provided by ambulatory surgical centers and clinical laboratories 200 percent of Medicare Part B.
- Durable medical equipment 200 percent of the Durable Medical Equipment Prosthetics/Orthotics and Supplies fee schedule of Medicare Part B.

⁵² A licensed physician, licensed dentist, licensed chiropractor, by a person or entity licensed under part III of ch. 401, F.S. Initial services and care may also be provided in a licensed hospital, or in a facility that owns or is wholly owned by a licensed hospital.

⁵³ See s. 627.7265(5)(a), F.S.

- Services, supplies, or care not reimbursable under Medicare Part B 80 percent of the maximum reimbursement under workers' compensation.
- Services, supplies, or care that are not reimbursable under Medicare or workers' compensation no reimbursement.

Failure to include this provision means that no fee schedule will apply to services and care provided by a licensed physician, a licensed chiropractic physician, or for dental services and care provided by a dentist licensed under ch. 466, F.S., unless those services and care are specified in the fee schedule.

The bill specifies the applicable fee schedules under Medicare and workers' compensation that are the basis of the MedPay fee schedule. Insurers may not limit the number of treatments or impose other utilization limits that apply under Medicare or workers' compensation.

Providers of medical care may not balance bill insureds when reimbursement is limited by the fee schedule, except for amounts not covered because of MedPay policy limits.

Insurers that limit reimbursement under the fee schedule must include a notice with the insurance policy at the time of issuance or renewal that the insurer may limit payment pursuant to the fee schedule. A policy form approved by OIR satisfied this requirement.

The bill also specifies that an insurer may pay charges that are for an amount less than the amount allowed under the fee schedule.

Requirements for Billing and Payment of MedPay Claims

MedPay retains some provisions in the PIP statute related to payment of medical claims. These include the grounds for an insurer not paying a claim.⁵⁴ Some billing requirements are retained, including requiring providers of medical services to bill insurers for specified services, though the time frames for doing so are not retained,⁵⁵ and using specified forms for billing.⁵⁶

Insurance Fraud Related to MedPay Claims

The bill retains provisions in the PIP law related to insurance fraud. An insurer may bring a civil action against any person convicted of insurance fraud associated with a MedPay claim, and may recover punitive damages, attorney fees and costs.⁵⁷ Insurers must send a fraud advisory notice to MedPay claimants informing them of potential monetary rewards for providing information related to insurance fraud and that claimants should report any solicitation of persons injured in a motor vehicle crash for the purpose of filing a MedPay claim or lawsuit to the Department of Financial Services.⁵⁸ Claims generated as a result of patient brokering are not reimbursable.⁵⁹

⁵⁴ See s. 627.7265(5)(b), F.S.

⁵⁵ See s. 627.7265(5)(c), F.S.

⁵⁶ See s. 627.7265(5)(d), F.S.

⁵⁷ See s. 627.7265(6), F.S.

⁵⁸ See s. 627.7265(7), F.S.

⁵⁹ See s. 627.7265(8), F.S.

Uninsured and Underinsured Motor Vehicle Insurance Coverage

Section 40 amends s. 627.727, F.S., which governs uninsured and underinsured motor vehicle insurance coverage. The bill deletes subsection (7), which current law specifies that UM coverage does not include damages in tort for pain, suffering, mental anguish, and inconvenience unless the injury or disease is of sufficient severity under "verbal threshold" s. 627.737(2), F.S. Under PIP an injured person's injuries exceed a certain severity threshold, that person cannot recover "pain and suffering" damages from the at-fault driver's bodily injury coverage. Personal injury protection is considered a no-fault coverage because the injured person trades a limitation on the ability to recover pain and suffering damages for the ability to get PIP benefits even if the injured person is at fault in the accident. Uninsured motorist coverage generally provides the policyholder with benefits if the at-fault driver does not have sufficient bodily injury coverage. Current law does not allow the recovery of uninsured motorist benefits for pain and suffering damages unless the injury surpasses the "verbal threshold" because an injured person cannot recovery bodily injury coverage for pain and suffering damages unless the injury is sufficiently severe. The bill repeals the "verbal threshold" contained in the No-Fault Law, thus this corresponding provision is also repealed.

Commercial Motor Vehicle Coverage Requirements

Section 44 amends s. 627.7415, F.S., to increase the minimum levels of combined BI liability and PD liability coverage that commercial motor vehicles must have.

A commercial motor vehicle that weighs 26,000 pounds or more but less than 35,000 pounds must have coverage of no less than \$50,000 per occurrence beginning January 1, 2019; of no less than \$60,000 per occurrence beginning January 1, 2021; and of no less than \$70,000 per occurrence beginning January 1, 2023, and thereafter. Current law requires \$50,000 of coverage.

A commercial motor vehicle that weighs 35,000 pounds or more but less than 44,000 pounds must have coverage of no less than \$100,000 per occurrence beginning January 1, 2019; of no less than \$120,000 per occurrence beginning January 1, 2021; and of no less than \$140,000 per occurrence beginning January 1, 2023, and thereafter. Current law requires \$100,000 of coverage.

Technical and Conforming Changes

Section 3 amends s. 316.646, F.S., which requires drivers to maintain and be able to display proof of security demonstrating compliance with financial responsibility requirements. The bill specifies that any person required by s. 324.022, F.S., to maintain liability security for operating a motor vehicle must have proof of security in his or her immediate possession and deletes references to PIP and amended sections of law.

Section 4 amends s. 318.18(2), F.S., regarding nonmoving traffic violations to conform cross references.

Section 5 amends s. 320.02, F.S., which contains the requirements to register a motor vehicle. The bill amends the section to require proof of motor vehicle insurance that meets the minimum limits of bodily injury liability, property damage liability, and medical payments coverage.

Section 6 amends s. 320.0609, F.S., regarding transfer and exchange of registration license plates to eliminate a reference to PIP.

Section 9 amends s. 322.251, F.S., regarding notice of cancellation, suspension, or revocation of a driver's license to repeal references to the No-Fault Law.

Section 10 amends s. 322.34, F.S., deleting a reference to the No-Fault Law.

Section 11 amends s. 324.011, F.S., which provides the purpose of ch. 324, F.S., to specify that under the chapter all owners or operators of a motor vehicle required to be registered in this state must establish, maintain and show proof of financial responsibility. Currently, financial responsibility requirements only apply after an operator is involved in a crash or convicted of certain traffic offenses.

Section 14 amends s. 324.0221, F.S., which requires insurers to report motor vehicle insurance cancellations to the DHSMV, to remove references to PIP and insert references to MedPay coverage and BI liability coverage.

Section 15 corrects cross references in s. 324.023, F.S., which requires drivers who plead guilty or nolo contendere to a charge of driving under the influence to meet additional liability insurance requirements.

Section 18 amends s. 324.051, F.S., regarding crash reports, to refer to motor vehicle liability policies rather than automobile liability policies.

Section 19 amends s. 324.071, F.S., to provide stylistic changes to provisions governing the reinstatement of a suspended license.

Section 20 amends s. 324.091, F.S., which requires owners and operators involved in a crash or conviction case to furnish evidence of liability insurance, by deleting references to automobile liability policy while retaining references to a motor vehicle liability policy.

Section 24 amends s. 324.251, F.S., to revise the short title of ch. 324, F.S., to the "Financial Responsibility Law of 2019" and state it will be effective at 12:01 a.m., on January 1, 2019. Currently the chapter is the "Financial Responsibility Law of 1955."

Section 25 revises the definition of a "clinic" contained in s. 400.9905, F.S., of the Health Care Clinic Act, to replace references to PIP coverage and the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law with references to MedPay or bodily injury coverage.

Sections 26 and 27 amend s. 400.991, F.S., and s. 400.9935, F.S., of the Health Care Clinic Act to remove references to PIP and the No-Fault Law and insert references to MedPay coverage.

Section 28 revises the definition of a "third party benefit" in s. 409.901, F.S., for purposes of Medicaid to refer to MedPay rather than PIP coverage.

Section 29 amends s. 409.910(11), F.S., to specify that the Agency for Health Care Administration may recoup the total amount of medical assistance provided by Medicaid from motor vehicle insurance coverage benefits provided to a Medicaid beneficiary. Current law refers to PIP.

Section 30 amends s. 456.057, F.S., regarding patient records, to correct a cross-reference.

Section 31 amends s. 456.072, F.S., which allows the Department of Health to discipline licensees for submitting claims for PIP reimbursement when treatment was not rendered or that is intentionally upcoded, to instead refer to MedPay coverage.

Section 32 amends s. 626.9541(1)(i) and (o), F.S., regarding unfair insurance trade practices related to motor vehicle insurance. The bill deletes the unfair trade practice in paragraph (i) for failing to pay claims within statutory time periods required under the No-Fault Law to conform to the repeal of those time frames by the bill. The section makes a technical amendment to paragraph (o) to reference MedPay coverage rather than PIP in the prohibitions against the unfair insurance trade practice of increasing premium or cancelling a motor vehicle insurance policy solely because the insured was involved in a motor vehicle accident without having information the insured was substantially at fault.

Section 33 amends s. 626.989, F.S., to revise the "fraudulent insurance acts" detailed in the section to refer to MedPay coverage rather than the No-Fault Law.

Section 34 amends s. 627.06501, F.S., regarding insurance discounts for completing a driver improvement course, to delete a reference to PIP and insert a reference to MedPay.

Sections 35 and 36 amend s. 627.0652, F.S., and s. 627.0653, F.S., relating to insurance discounts for motor vehicle coverage, by replacing references to PIP with references to MedPay coverage.

Section 37 amends s. 627.4132, F.S., regarding the general prohibition against stacking of motor vehicle coverages, to refer to BI and PD instead of PIP or other coverage.

Section 38 amends s. 627.7263, F.S., which generally makes rental and leasing driver's insurance primary, to delete references to PIP and insert references to MedPay.

Section 42 amends s. 627.728, F.S., which governs cancellations of motor vehicle insurance policies, to delete a reference to PIP in the definition of "policy."

Section 43 amends s. 627.7295, F.S., to revise definitions relating to motor vehicle insurance contracts by deleting references to PIP and insert references to BI liability coverage and MedPay coverage.

Section 45 amends s. 627.8405, F.S., regarding prohibited acts of premium finance companies to replace a reference to a PIP/PD only policy with a reference to a policy that only provides BI/PD/MedPay.

Section 46 amends s. 627.915, F.S., which requires private passenger automobile insurers to annually report information to the office, to remove references to PIP.

Section 47 amends s. 628.909, F.S., which applies certain provisions of the Insurance Code to captive insurance companies, to delete references to the No-Fault Law.

Section 48 amends s. 705.184, F.S., which governs derelict or abandoned motor vehicles on the premises of public-use airports, to delete references to s. 627.736, F.S., which is repealed by the bill.

Section 49 amends s. 713.78, F.S., regarding liens for recovering, towing, or storing vehicles and vessels, to delete references to s. 627.736, F.S., which is repealed by the bill.

Section 50 amends s. 817.234, F.S., regarding false and fraudulent insurance claims, to delete references to PIP and replace them with references to MedPay coverage.

Application of Bill and Effective Date

Section 51 applies financial responsibility and medical payments coverage requirements created by the bill as follows:

- Effective January 1, 2019:
 - All Motor vehicle insurance policies issued or renewed may not include PIP.
 - All persons must maintain at least minimum security requirements, which is the ability to respond in damages for liability because of motor vehicle crashes in the amounts required by s. 324.021, F.S.
 - Any new or renewal motor vehicle insurance policy delivered or issued in this state must provide coverage that complies with minimum security requirements.
 - New and renewal motor vehicle insurance policies used to prove financial responsibility must also provide medical payments coverage.
 - An existing motor vehicle insurance policy that provide PIP and property damage liability coverage but do not meet the new bodily injury liability requirements is deemed to meet the bodily injury and MedPay requirements until the policy is renewed, nonrenewed or cancelled on or after January 1, 2019.
- Insurers must allow each insured who has a policy providing PIP which is effective before January 1, 2019, and whose policy does not meet minimum security requirements, to eliminate PIP coverage and obtain coverage providing minimum security requirements effective on or after January 1, 2019. Insurers may not impose additional fees solely to change coverage, but may charge an additional premium that is actuarially indicated.
- By September 1, 2018, each motor vehicle insurer shall provide notice that:
 - The Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law is repealed effective January 1, 2019, and that PIP coverage is no longer required or available for purchase.

- That effective January 1, 2019, a person subject to the financial security requirements of s. 324.022, F.S., must maintain medical payments coverage and applicable minimum security requirements for bodily injury liability and property damage liability.
- That a policyholder may obtain underinsured motorist coverage, which provides benefits to a policyholder entitled to recover bodily injury damages resulting from a motor vehicle accident with an uninsured or underinsured owner or operator of a motor vehicle.
- That a policy effective before January 1, 2019, is deemed to meet minimum security requirements until it is renewed, nonrenewed, or canceled.
- That a policyholder may change coverages to eliminate PIP protection and obtain coverage providing minimum security requirements.
- That if the policyholder has any questions, he or she should contact the person named at the telephone number provided in the notice.

This section is effective upon the act becoming a law.

Section 52 requires all suspensions for failure to maintain required security as required by law in effect before January 1, 2019, remain in full force and effect after the effective date of this act. A driver may reinstate a suspended driver's license or registration as provided under s. 324.0221, F.S.

Section 53 provides that except as otherwise expressly provided in the act and this section, which take effect upon this act becoming a law, the act is effective January 1, 2019.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

Bodily injury coverage is not a required coverage under Florida law unless a person is involved in a certain accidents causing bodily injury, convicted of certain offenses, or is otherwise required to maintain BI liability coverage in statute. Failure to maintain BI coverage, when required, can result in the suspension of a license or registration. The reinstatement fee under s. 324.071, F.S., for such suspension under current law is \$15. The bill retains this reinstatement fee for a license suspension based upon a crash report under s. 324.051(2), F.S.; a registration suspension under s. 324.072, F.S., based on a

license suspension pursuant to s. 322.26, F.S., or s. 322.27, F.S.; suspension of the operating privileges of a nonresident driver under s. 324.081, F.S.; or suspension of license and registration under s. 324.121, F.S., for failure to satisfy a judgment.

The bill also retains the current reinstatement fees under s. 324.0221, F.S., for a suspended license or registration for failure to maintain required insurance based on a report by an insurer. The reinstatement fee for such suspensions under s. 324.0221, F.S., is \$150 for a first reinstatement, while second and subsequent reinstatements within 3 years of the first reinstatement require fees of \$250 and \$500, respectively.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The report *Florida Office of Insurance Regulation: Review of Personal Injury Protection Legislation* provides actuarial estimates of the savings expected from repealing the No-Fault Law.⁶⁰ The charts in this section are taken from data in Appendix 2 and Appendix 3 of the report.

The following chart provides the statewide average premium for each auto insurance coverage under current law and premium estimates if the No-Fault Law is repealed and replaced with mandatory financial responsibility for bodily injury and mandatory medical payments coverage.

Coverage	Current Law 10/20/10 PIP/PD	Repeal PIP & Mandate 15/30/5 BI/MedPay	Repeal PIP & Mandate 25/50/5 BI/MedPay
Bodily Injury	\$329.22	\$462.32	\$469.37
PIP/MedPay	\$219.10	\$72.30	\$72.30
Uninsured Motorist	\$111.60	\$122.43	\$122.43
Property Damage	\$180.06	\$180.06	\$180.06
Comprehensive	\$102.03	\$102.03	\$102.03
Collision	\$267.50	\$267.50	\$267.50
TOTAL	\$1,209.51	\$1,206.94	\$1213.69

Average Annual Statewide Premium Paid by Coverage and Estimates of Average Statewide Premium⁶¹

The chart below provides select average countywide estimates of the change in what policyholders will annually pay in premiums for certain coverages if the No-Fault law is repealed and replaced with a mandate to carry \$5,000 in MedPay insurance coverage and a financial responsibility requirement for bodily injury. The 2016 PIP Study indicated

⁶⁰ Office of Insurance Regulation, *Review of Personal Injury Protection Legislation*, (Sept. 13, 2016). Available at <u>http://www.floir.com/siteDocuments/FLOIRReviewPIP20160913.pdf</u> (last viewed December 4, 2017).

⁶¹ The header on the chart details the current minimum mandatory coverage limit for PIP/PD and possible minimum mandatory coverage limits for BI/MedPay. The statewide average premiums are for the coverages at any policy limit, not the minimum required limits under state law.

that replacing the \$10,000 PIP requirement with a \$5,000 MedPay coverage requirement will lower the premium paid for first-party medical motor vehicle insurance coverage. This reduction is offset by increases in premium for bodily injury liability coverage and uninsured motorist's coverage.

County	Avg. MedPay 5	Avg. Bl 15/30	Avg. Bl 25/50	Avg. UM
Alachua	- \$84.83	+ \$101.03	+ \$106.15	+ \$8.88
Brevard	- \$94.07	+ \$113.84	+ \$119.60	+ \$9.66
Desoto	- \$121.87	+ \$107.98	+ \$113.44	+ \$9.30
Duval	- \$117.42	+ \$124.26	+ \$130.55	+ \$9.39
Escambia	- \$96.47	+ \$101.05	+ \$106.17	+ \$8.30
Hillsborough	- \$177.01	+ \$162.66	+ \$170.89	+ \$12.15
Leon	- \$82.88	+ \$102.79	+ \$107.99	+ \$8.36
Miami/Dade	- \$279.50	+ \$138.64	+ \$145.65	+ \$12.77
Orange	- \$161.52	+ \$131.99	+ \$138.67	+ \$9.94

The 2016 PIP Study estimated that health insurers will cover approximately \$469.7 million of current PIP loss if No-Fault is repealed.⁶² Health care providers will cover approximately \$32.8 million of current PIP losses. Injured claimants will cover approximately \$82.9 million in current PIP losses.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The DHSMV recommends the implementation of an education campaign to raise awareness of the changes to the insurance and financial responsibility laws.⁶³ This campaign would include, but is not limited to, the initial brand and campaign creation, mass media purchases (television, radio, social media, and online advertisements), printed materials for stakeholders (tax collectors, insurance companies, dealerships, and law enforcement partners), and potential mailing fees. The DHSMV estimates approximately \$5 million would be needed to successfully implement the campaign.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

Lines 1845 through 1848 specify that MedPay provides reimbursement for physician services and care provided by a physician or chiropractic physician with necessary licensure, and dental services and care provided by a dentist with necessary licensure. The MedPay fee schedule on lines 1901 through 1994 does not address such services.

The notice on lines 2877 through 2901 describing MedPay coverage should be amended to explain that MedPay provides reimbursement for services provided by physicians, chiropractic physicians and dentists.

⁶²Office of Insurance Regulation, *Florida Office of Insurance Regulation Review of Personal Injury Protection Legislation*, pg. 6 (September 13, 2016).

⁶³ Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles, 2018 Agency Legislative Bill Analysis SB 150 (January 4, 2018).

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 316.646, 318.18, 320.02, 320.0609, 320.27, 320.771, 322.251, 322.34, 324.011, 324.021, 324.022, 324.0221, 324.023, 324.031, 324.032, 324.051, 324.071, 324.091, 324.151, 324.161, 324.171, 324.251, 400.9905, 400.991, 400.9935, 409.901, 409.910, 456.057, 456.072, 626.9541, 626.989, 627.06501, 627.0652, 627.0653, 627.4132, 627.7263, 627.727, 627.7275, 627.728, 627.7295, 627.7415, 627.8405, 627.915, 628.909, 705.184, 713.78, and 817.234.

This bill creates section 627.7265 of the Florida Statutes.

This bill repeals the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 627.730, 627.731, 627.7311, 627.732, 627.733, 627.734, 627.736, 627.737, 627.739, 627.7401, 627.7403, 627.7405, and 627.7407.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Banking and Insurance on January 10, 2018:

The CS makes the following substantial changes:

- Reinstates existing law applying financial responsibility requirements (usually through maintaining motor vehicle insurance) to registrants of motor vehicles, rather than registrants and all operators (which would encompass all persons with a Florida driver's license).
- Deletes a provision requiring liability coverage to pay for legal fees imposed because of the insurer's defense of the claim.
- Deletes provisions expanding the statutory requirements for the scope of motor vehicle liability insurance.
- Deletes an unfair insurance trade practice related to failure to timely pay claims as required by the No-Fault Law.
- Deletes provisions in the underlying bill that would have retained provisions in the No-Fault Law within the MedPay insurance statute. The deletions include requirements for:
 - Billing and payment of claims.
 - Claimant compliance with MedPay claims investigations.
 - Prohibitions against certain acts by insurers.
 - Claimant demand letters and bringing claims in a single action.
 - Insurer subrogation rights.
- Deletes the fee schedule limits for services and care provided by a physician, chiropractor, or dentist.

- Reinstates the current \$15 fee to reinstate a driver's license or vehicle registration for specified offenses, rather than increasing the fee.
- B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.