Substance abuse affects millions of people in the U.S. each year. Drug overdoses have steadily increased and now represent the leading cause of accidental death in the U.S., the majority of which involve an opioid. In Florida, heroin caused 952 deaths, fentanyl caused 1,390 deaths, oxycodone caused 723 deaths, and hydrocodone caused 245 deaths in 2016. Opioid addiction has been recognized as a public health emergency on both a state and federal level. HB 21 addresses opioid abuse by expanding the use of the Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP), increasing regulation of prescribers and dispensers, and aligning state criminal statutes with federal law.

CS/CS/HB 21 limits the prescription for a Schedule II opioid to alleviate acute pain to a three-day supply, or a seven-day supply if deemed medically necessary by the prescriber. The bill excludes pain related to cancer, terminal illness, palliative care and serious traumatic injury from these prescribing limits. The bill requires Department of Health (DOH) to adopt rules establishing guidelines for prescribing controlled substances for acute pain. The bill also requires a health care practitioner authorized to review a patient’s PDMP history prior to prescribing or dispensing a controlled substance, with exemptions. The bill authorizes a prescribing practitioner who is approved to provide medically-assisted treatment for opioid addiction to dispense Schedule III substances for such purpose.

Currently, a pain management clinic must register with DOH unless it self-determines it is exempt from registration. The bill requires all clinics that claim an exemption from registration to obtain a certificate of exemption by January 1, 2019.

The PDMP, within DOH, monitors controlled substance prescribing and dispensing. Currently, pharmacies only report dispensing controlled substances listed in Schedule II, III, and IV to the PDMP. The bill expands the reporting requirement to include certain Schedule V substances and additional information not currently collected, such as the patient’s telephone number, certain information on the person picking up the controlled substance on behalf of the patient, and whether the prescription is new or a refill. The bill authorizes health care employees of the U.S. Department of Defense and the Indian Health Service who prescribe or dispense controlled substances to have direct access to the PDMP, and authorizes indirect access to the PDMP for district medical examiners under certain conditions. The bill authorizes DOH to share and exchange PDMP data with other states if certain conditions are met, and authorizes the PDMP to interface with a health care practitioner and facility electronic health record systems.

Chapter 893, F.S., the “Florida Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act,” (“Act”), creates criminal offenses related to the manufacture, distribution, preparation, and dispensing of controlled substances. The Act classifies such substances into five schedules, based on the substance’s “potential for abuse” and whether the substance has a currently accepted medical use. The bill aligns the state schedule of drugs with the federal schedule of drugs. The bill also makes it a crime to possess, purchase, deliver, or sell a tableting machine, encapsulating machine, or controlled substance counterfeiting material for the purpose of illegally manufacturing controlled substances. The bill increases the level of offense for health care practitioners who prescribe controlled substances that are unnecessary from a third-degree felony to a second-degree felony.

The bill has a significant, negative fiscal impact on DOH to implement required upgrades for the PDMP. The House proposed financial plan for Fiscal Year 2018-2019 will provide DOH $873,079 in recurring and $117,700 in nonrecurring General Revenue funds to update the PDMP. The bill will have an insignificant, positive fiscal impact on DOH from cost savings related to the investigation of pain management clinics. The bill will have a positive indeterminate impact on prison beds. The bill has no fiscal impact on local governments.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2018, except as otherwise expressly provided in the bill.
I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

Substance Abuse

Substance abuse refers to the harmful or hazardous use of psychoactive substances, including alcohol and illicit drugs.\(^1\) Substance abuse disorders occur when the chronic use of alcohol or drugs causes significant impairment, such as health problems, disability, and failure to meet major responsibilities at work, school, or home.\(^2\) Repeated drug use leads to changes in the brain’s structure and function that can make a person more susceptible to developing a substance abuse disorder.\(^3\) Brain imaging studies of persons with substance abuse disorders show physical changes in areas of the brain that are critical to judgment, decision making, learning and memory, and behavior control.\(^4\)

According to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, a diagnosis of substance abuse disorder is based on evidence of impaired control, social impairment, risky use, and pharmacological criteria.\(^5\) The most common substance abuse disorders in the United States are from the use of alcohol, tobacco, cannabis, stimulants, hallucinogens, and opioids.\(^6\)

Opioid Abuse

Opioids are psychoactive substances derived from the opium poppy, or their synthetic analogues.\(^7\) They are commonly used as pain relievers to treat acute and chronic pain. An individual experiences pain as a result of a series of electrical and chemical exchanges among his or her peripheral nerves, spinal cord, and brain.\(^8\) Opioid receptors occur naturally and are distributed widely throughout the central nervous system and in peripheral sensory and autonomic nerves.\(^9\) When an individual experiences pain, the body releases hormones, such as endorphins, which bind with targeted opioid receptors.\(^10\) This disrupts the transmission of pain signals through the central nervous system and reduces the perception of pain.\(^11\) Opioids function in the same way by binding to specific opioid
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receptors in the brain, spinal cord, and gastrointestinal tract, thereby reducing the perception of pain. Opioids include:

- Buprenorphine (Subutex, Suboxone)
- Codeine
- Fentanyl (Duragesic, Fentora)
- Heroin
- Hydrocodone (Vicodin, Lortab, Norco)
- Hydromorphone (Dilaudid, Exalgo)
- Meperidine
- Methadone
- Morphine
- Oxycodone (OxyContin, Percodan, Percocet)
- Oxymorphone
- Tramadol

Opioids are commonly abused, with an estimated 15 million people worldwide suffering from opioid dependence. Opioids can create a euphoric feeling because they affect the regions of the brain involved with pleasure and reward, which can lead to abuse. Continued use of these drugs can lead to the development of tolerance and psychological and physical dependence. This dependence is characterized by a strong desire to take opioids, impaired control over opioid use, persistent opioid use despite harmful consequences, a higher priority given to opioid use than to other activities and obligations, and a physical withdrawal reaction when opioids are discontinued. Approximately four to six percent of patients who misuse prescription opioids transition to heroin and 80 percent of people who use heroin first misused prescription opioids.

An overabundance of opioids in the body can lead to a fatal overdose. In addition to their presence in major pain pathways, opioid receptors are also located in the respiratory control centers of the brain. Opioids disrupt the transmission of signals for respiration in the identical manner that they disrupt the transmission of pain signals. This leads to a reduction, and potentially cessation, of an individual’s respiration. Oxygen starvation will eventually stop vital organs like the heart, then the brain, and can lead to unconsciousness, coma, and possibly death. Within three to five minutes without oxygen, brain damage starts to occur, soon followed by death. However, this does not occur instantaneously as people will commonly stop breathing slowly, minutes to hours after the drug or drugs were used.

---

14 Supra note 7.
16 Supra note 9.
17 Supra note 7.
21 Id. at 9.
22 Id. at 9.
An opioid overdose can be identified by a combination of three signs and symptoms referred to as the “opioid overdose triad”: pinpoint pupils, unconsciousness, and respiratory depression.\textsuperscript{23}

The drug overdose death rate involving opioids has increased by 200\% since 2000 and has now become the leading cause of accidental deaths in the United States.\textsuperscript{24} Nationwide, in 2016, more than there were 42,249 deaths that involved an opioid (licit or illicit),\textsuperscript{25} and 17,087 people died from overdoses involving prescription opioids.\textsuperscript{26} The most common drugs involved in such deaths were methadone, oxycodone, and hydrocodone.\textsuperscript{27} In 2016, in Florida, heroin caused 952 deaths, fentanyl caused 1,390 deaths, oxycodone caused 723 deaths, and hydrocodone caused 245 deaths.\textsuperscript{28}

National Public Health Emergency

In March 2017, President Trump established the President’s Commission on Combating Drug Addiction and Opioid Crisis (Commission). Its mission is to study the scope and effectiveness of the federal response to the drug and opioid crisis and to make recommendations to the President for improving that response. The members of the Commission include Governor Chris Christie, Governor Charlie Baker, Governor Roy Cooper, Congressman Patrick Kennedy, Professor Bertha Madras, and Florida Attorney General Pam Bondi.

On October 26, 2017, President Donald Trump announced the issuance of a Nationwide Public Health Emergency\textsuperscript{29} and a five-point strategy for combating the opioid crisis, including:\textsuperscript{30}

\begin{itemize}
 \item Improving access to prevention, treatment, and recovery services, including the full range of medication-assisted treatments;
 \item Targeting availability and distribution of overdose-reversing drugs;
 \item Strengthening our understanding of the crisis through better public health data and reporting;
 \item Providing support for cutting edge research on pain and addiction; and
 \item Advancing practices for pain management.
\end{itemize}

On November 1, 2017, the Commission released its final report and made recommendations for:\textsuperscript{31}

\begin{itemize}
 \item Reducing administrative burdens associated with accessing federal funding for opioid-related and substance use disorder-related activities in the states;
 \item Developing and providing training related to standards of care for opioid prescribers, alternatives to opioids, and screening for substance use and mental health risks in patients;
\end{itemize}
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• Enhancing the use of prescription drug monitoring programs;
• Treating opioid addiction, overdose reversal, and recovery; and
• Research and development.

Florida Public Health Emergency

On May 3, 2017, Governor Scott signed Executive Order 17-146. The executive order directs the State Health Officer and Surgeon General to declare a statewide public health emergency due to the opioid epidemic and to take any action necessary to protect the public health. It additionally directs the State Health Officer and Surgeon General to issue a standing order for opioid antagonists, such as naloxone, to ensure access to emergency responders. On May 2, 2017, the State Surgeon General and Secretary of DOH issued the Declaration of Public Health Emergency and Statewide Standing Order for Naloxone.

Since its initial issuance, the Governor has extended public health emergency declaration several times, the most recent extension was declared with Executive Order 17-329, issued on December 22, 2017, for 60 days.

CDC Guidelines for Prescribing Opioids

In March 2016, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) released a guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain. The guideline includes twelve recommendations focused on three principles:

• Non-opioid therapy is preferred for chronic pain outside of cancer, palliative, and end-of-life care;
• When prescribing opioids, prescribe the lowest possible effective dosage to reduce the risk of opioid use disorder and overdose; and
• Providers should always exercise caution when prescribing opioids and monitor all patients closely.

The CDC guideline also addressed acute pain, as long-term opioid use commonly begins with the treatment of acute pain. The CDC recommends that the initial prescription to treat acute pain be for the lowest effective dose of immediate-release (short acting) opioids and the quantity should be no greater than needed for the expected duration of pain severe enough to require opioids. The guideline advises three days or less is often sufficient and that more than seven days will rarely be needed.
The guideline additionally recommends that a health care practitioner review the patient’s history of controlled substance prescriptions using the state prescription drug monitoring program data. The data should be reviewed when starting opioid therapy, and periodically during opioid therapy. The guideline recommends reviews ranging from every prescription to once every three months.\textsuperscript{41}

Twenty-four states have enacted laws limiting opioid prescriptions.\textsuperscript{42} These limitations vary from a three-day supply to a fourteen-day supply. Other states have directed the establishment of guidelines or limitations on the prescribing of opioids.

\textbf{Laws Setting Limits on Certain Opioid Prescriptions}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Statutory Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>Statutory limit: 14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Statutory limit: 7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Statutory limit: 5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Statutory limit: 3-4 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV</td>
<td>Statutory limit: Morphine Milligram Equivalents (MME)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Direction or authorization to other entity to set limits or guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MD</strong></td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NC</strong></td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>No limits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2017, the CDC conducted a study of almost 1.3 million patient records to determine risks associated with long-term opioid abuse.\textsuperscript{43} The CDC found that the number of days for which the initial prescription is written has a profound effect on the probability of long-term use by a patient. Specifically, the probability of long-term use was:

\textsuperscript{41} Id.
One- and 3-year probabilities of continued opioid use among opioid-naïve patients, by number of days' supply* of the first opioid prescription — United States, 2006–2015

The probability of long-term opioid use increases most sharply in the first days, particularly after 5 days, and then again after 1 month. Approximately, 1 in 7 persons who received a refill or had a second opioid prescription authorized were still using opioids 1 year after the initial prescription.

**Controlled Substance Regulation**

Chapter 893, F.S., the Florida Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act (“the Act”), classifies controlled substances into five categories, called schedules. The Act creates criminal offenses related to the manufacture, distribution, preparation, and dispensing of the substances listed therein. The distinguishing factors between the different drug schedules are the potential for abuse of the substance and whether there is a currently accepted medical use for the substance.

The Controlled Substance Schedules are as follows:

- **Schedule I** substances have a high potential for abuse and currently have no accepted medical use in the United States, including substances such as cannabis and heroin.
- **Schedule II** substances have a high potential for abuse and have a currently accepted but severely restricted medical use in the United States, including substances such as raw opium, fentanyl, and codeine.
- **Schedule III** substances have a potential for abuse less than the substances contained in Schedules I and II and have a currently accepted medical use in the United States, including substances such as stimulants and anabolic steroids.
- **Schedule IV** substances have a low potential for abuse relative to substances in Schedule III and have a currently accepted medical use in the United States, including substances such as benzodiazepines and barbiturates.

---
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• Schedule V substances have a low potential for abuse relative to the substances in Schedule IV and have a currently accepted medical use in the United States, including substances such as mixtures that contain small quantities of opiates, narcotics, or stimulants.  

Under the Act, the unauthorized sale, manufacture, possession, delivery, or purchase of a controlled substance is subject to criminal penalties. The severity of the criminal penalty is dependent on several factors, including the schedule in which the controlled substance is categorized, the amount of controlled substance present and the location at which the illegal activity occurs.

The Federal Controlled Substances Act also classifies certain substances into schedules based on potential for abuse and whether there is a currently accepted medical use for it. In determining into which schedule a drug should be placed or whether a substance should be decontrolled or rescheduled, the Drug Enforcement Agency considers:

• The drug’s actual or relative potential for abuse.
• Scientific evidence of the drug’s pharmacological effect, if known.
• The state of current scientific knowledge regarding the substance.
• Its history and current pattern of abuse.
• The scope, duration, and significance of abuse.
• What, if any, risk there is to public health.
• The drug’s psychic or physiological dependence liability.
• Whether the substance is an immediate precursor of a substance already controlled.

Currently, the schedules in Florida’s Act do not align with the schedules in the federal Controlled Substances Act. Under the federal Controlled Substances Act, drugs have been newly scheduled or rescheduled, creating a situation in which the unauthorized sale, manufacture, possession, delivery, or purchase of a substance may be criminal under federal law but not under state law. Additionally, where there are discrepancies between the schedules, the severity of the criminal penalties may vary between state and federal law.

**Controlled Substance Prescribing for Chronic Pain in Florida**

As of January 1, 2012, every physician, podiatrist, or dentist, who prescribes controlled substances in the state to treat chronic nonmalignant pain, must register as a controlled substance prescribing practitioner and comply with certain practice standards specified in statute and rule. Before prescribing controlled substances to treat chronic nonmalignant pain, a practitioner must:

• Complete a medical history and a physical examination of the patient which must be documented in the patient’s medical record and include:
  o The nature and intensity of the pain;
  o Current and past treatments for pain;
  o Underlying or coexisting diseases or conditions;
  o The effect of the pain on physical and psychological function;
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• A review of previous medical records and diagnostic studies; and
• A history of alcohol and substance abuse;
• Develop a written plan for assessing the patient’s risk for aberrant drug-related behavior and monitor such behavior throughout the course of controlled substance treatment;
• Develop an written individualized treatment plan for each patient stating the objectives that will be used to determine treatment success; and
• Enter into a controlled substance agreement with each patient that must be signed by the patient or their legal representative and by the prescribing practitioner and include:
  o The number and frequency of prescriptions and refills;
  o A statement outlining expectations for patient’s compliance and reasons for which the drug therapy may be discontinued; and
  o An agreement that the patient’s chronic nonmalignant pain only be treated by a single treating practitioner unless otherwise authorized and documented in the medical record.

A prescribing practitioner must see a patient being treated with controlled substances for chronic nonmalignant pain at least once every three months, and must maintain detailed medical records relating to such treatment.61 Patients at special risk for drug abuse or diversion may require consultation with or a referral to an addiction medicine physician or a psychiatrist.62 The prescribing practitioner must immediately refer a patient exhibiting signs or symptoms of substance abuse to a pain-management physician, an addiction medicine specialist, or an addiction medicine facility.63

Continuing Education for Controlled Substance Prescribing

Compliance with continuing education (CE) requirements is a condition of renewal of license for health care practitioners. Boards, or DOH when there is no board, require each licensee to demonstrate competence by completing CE hours during each biennial licensure cycle. The number of required CEs varies by profession. The requirements for CEs may be found in ch. 456, F.S., professional practice acts, administrative rules, or a combination of these references. Failure to comply with CE requirements may result in disciplinary action against the licensee, in accordance with the disciplinary guidelines established by the applicable board or DOH, if there is no board.

Although statute and boards may mandate continuing education topics, only two health care practitioner types must complete CEs related to the prescribing of controlled substances. Physician assistants who prescribe controlled substances and advanced registered nurse practitioners must complete three hours of CEs each biennial renewal cycle on the safe and effective prescribing of controlled substances.64

Pain Management Clinic Regulation

Section 458.3265, F.S., within the medical practice act and s. 459.0137, F.S., within the osteopathic practice act regulate the registration, management, and inspections of pain-management clinics,65 and the allopathic and osteopathic physicians employed by such clinics.

Registration
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65 A pain-management clinic is a publicly or privately owned facility that advertises in any medium for any type of pain-management services or where in any month a majority of patients are prescribed opioids, benzodiazepines, barbiturates, or carisoprodol for the treatment of chronic nonmalignant pain. (Sections 458.3265(1)(a)1.c., F.S., and 459.0137(1)(a)1.c., F.S.)
A pain-management clinic must register with DOH unless:

- The clinic is licensed under ch. 395, F.S.;
- The majority of the physicians who provide services in the clinic primarily provide surgical services;
- The clinic is owned by a publicly held corporation whose shares are traded on a national exchange and whose total assets exceed $50 million in the most recent fiscal quarter;
- The clinic is affiliated with an accredited medical school;
- The clinic does not prescribe controlled substances for pain treatment;
- The clinic is owned by a corporate entity exempt from federal taxation under 26 U.S.C. s. 501(c)(3);
- The clinic is wholly owned and operated by one or more board eligible or board-certified anesthesiologists, physiatrists, rheumatologists, or neurologists;
- The clinic is wholly owned and operated by a physician multispecialty practice where one or more board eligible or board-certified medical specialists have both (1) completed certain fellowships in pain medicine or are board-certified in pain medicine by certain boards, and (2) perform interventional pain procedures of the type routinely billed using surgical codes.

A pain management clinic claiming an exemption from registration is not required to notify DOH that it meets a statutory exemption or demonstrate its eligibility for an exemption. Further, the determination of whether the pain management clinic is exempt from registration is made by the owner or management of the clinic. DOH only investigates the validity of a claimed exemption from registration if it receives a formal complaint.

Registration Requirements

Each location must be registered separately, regardless of whether it is operated under the same name or management as another clinic. Additionally, a change of ownership requires submission of a new registration application.

DOH must deny a pain-management clinic’s registration if:

- The clinic is neither fully owned by a physician or group of physicians licensed under ch. 458 or ch. 459, F.S.; nor health care clinic licensed under ch. 400, Part X.
- The clinic is owned by, has a contractual relationship with, or employs a physician:
  - Whose Drug Enforcement Administration number has ever been revoked;
  - Whose application for a license to prescribe, dispense, or administer a controlled substance has been denied by any jurisdiction; or
  - Who has been convicted of or pleaded guilty or nolo contendere to a felony for receipt of illicit and diverted drugs, including any Schedule I-V substance, anywhere in the United States.

---
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72 Sections 458.3265(1)(e), F.S., and 459.0137(1)(e), F.S. DOH may grant an exemption to such denial for felony convictions if more than 10 years have elapsed since adjudication.
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DOH must revoke a pain-management clinic’s registration if any of the above reasons for denial substantially become applicable to a registered clinic. DOH may also revoke a clinic’s registration based on deficiencies discovered during the clinic’s annual inspection.

If a clinic’s registration is revoked or suspended, the clinic must stop operating, and the clinic must remove all identification that the location is a pain-management clinic. Additionally, the clinic must follow certain procedures to dispose of its medicinal drugs. A required five year cooling-off period prohibits anyone whose registration has been revoked from applying for a permit to operate a pain-management clinic. If a clinic’s registration is suspended, that suspension may not exceed one year.

When the pain management clinic registration was first required in 2010, there were 921 pain management clinics. At the end of Fiscal Year 2016-2017, there were 259. It is unknown if the reduction in the number of pain management clinics is attributable to closure or to a self-determination that the pain management clinic was exempt from registration.

**Prescription Drug Monitoring Program**

Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs) are state-run electronic databases used to track the prescribing and dispensing of certain controlled prescription drugs to patients. PDMPs are designed to monitor this information for suspected abuse or diversion and provide prescribers and pharmacists with critical information regarding a patient’s controlled substance prescription history. As of July 2017, 49 states and the District of Columbia have an operational PDMP database.

Chapter 2009-197, Laws of Fla., established Florida’s PDMP within the Department of Health (DOH), and is codified in s. 893.055, F.S. The PDMP uses an electronic database system to monitor the prescribing and dispensing of certain controlled substances. The PDMP database became operational in September of 2011, when it began receiving prescription data from pharmacies and dispensing practitioners. Health care practitioners began accessing the PDMP database on October 17, 2011.

---
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From July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, in-state prescribers issued 36,196,500 controlled substance prescriptions to 6,869,616 Florida residents. Of those controlled substance prescriptions, 15,372,742 were for opioids.

**PDMP Reporting Requirements**

Dispensers of controlled substances listed in Schedule II, III, or IV of the Florida Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act must report specified information to the PDMP database:

- The name of the prescribing practitioner, the practitioner’s federal Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) registration number, the practitioner’s National Provider Identification (NPI) or other appropriate identifier, and the date of the prescription;
- The date the prescription was filled and the method of payment, such as cash by an individual or third-party payment;
- The full name, address, and date of birth of the person for whom the prescription was written;
- The name, national drug code, quantity, and strength of the controlled substance dispensed;
- The full name, federal DEA registration number, and address of the pharmacy, other location, or other practitioner from which the controlled substance was dispensed;
- The name of the pharmacy or practitioner, other than a pharmacist, dispensing the controlled substance and the practitioner’s NPI; and
- Other appropriate identifying information as determined by DOH rule.

Florida does not require the dispenser Schedule V drugs to the PDMP. Schedule V carry a low risk of physical or psychological dependence and consists primarily of preparations containing limited quantities of certain narcotics, such as cough preparations containing codeine.

The time in which a dispenser must submit information to the PDMP varies across the nation. Florida requires dispensers to report dispensing a controlled substance to the PDMP by the close of the next business day. As indicated below, some states require the dispenser to submit data within 24 hours or no later than the next business day, others allow three days or more, and Oklahoma requires real-time reporting.

---

88 Supra note 86 at p. 14.
90 Section 893.055(3), F.S.; controlled substances listed in Schedule II, III, or IV can be found in s. 893.03(2)-(4), F.S.
91 Section 893.055(4), F.S. Pursuant to r. 64K-1.004(9), F.A.C., prescribers must submit the telephone number of the person for whom the prescription was written to the PDMP.
92 U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration, Diversion Control Division, Controlled Substance Schedules, available at https://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/schedules/ (last visited February 8, 2018). To qualify as a Schedule V substance, the cough preparation must contain less than 200 milligrams of codeine per 100 grams.
93 Id.
Exemptions from PDMP Reporting Requirements

The purpose of the PDMP is to track the dispensing of prescribed controlled substances to provide information to subsequent prescribing physicians and prevent the overprescribing and diversion of such substances. However, there are some circumstances in which there is inherently a low risk of controlled substances being overprescribed or diverted. The law exempts practitioners from having to report the dispensing of controlled substances in those circumstances. Specifically, the following acts are not required to be reported:

- A health care practitioner administering a controlled substance directly to a patient if the amount of the controlled substance is adequate to treat the patient during that particular treatment session;
- A pharmacist or health care practitioner administering a controlled substance to a patient or resident receiving care as a patient at a hospital, nursing home, ambulatory surgical center, hospice, or intermediate care facility for the developmentally disabled which is licensed in this state;
- A practitioner administering or dispensing a controlled substance in the health care system of the Department of Corrections;
- A practitioner administering a controlled substance in the emergency room of a licensed hospital;
- A health care practitioner administering or dispensing a controlled substance to a person under the age of 16;

---

95 Section 893.055(5). F.S.
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• A pharmacist or a dispensing practitioner dispensing a one-time, 72-hour emergency resupply of a controlled substance to a patient; and

• A rehabilitative hospital, assisted living facility, or nursing home dispensing a certain dosage of a controlled substance, as needed, to a patient while the patient is present and receiving care as ordered by the patient’s treating physician.

Access to PDMP Data

Direct Access

Direct access to the PDMP database is presently limited to a pharmacy, prescriber, or dispenser or the designee of a pharmacy, prescriber, or dispenser.96 A pharmacy, prescriber, or dispenser has access to information in the PDMP database that relates to a patient of that pharmacy, prescriber, or dispenser, as needed, for reviewing the patient’s controlled substance prescription history.97

Employees of the United States Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) who are authorized to prescribe controlled substances and hold an active, unrestricted license in another state have direct access to the PDMP.98 However, health care practitioners authorized to dispense controlled substance pursuant to employment with the VA do not have access to the PDMP unless they have an active, unrestricted Florida license.

The Department of Defense provides health care services to its members, retirees, and their dependents at military treatment facilities, 13 of which are located in Florida.99 Florida also has 20 major military installations.100 Military members, retirees, and their families may access health care services at either military treatment facilities, civilian health care providers, or both. Currently, health care practitioners serving military personnel, retirees, and their dependents in military treatment facilities do not have access to Florida’s PDMP unless they have an active, unrestricted Florida license.

The Indian Health Service (IHS) is an agency within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services that is responsible for providing federal health services to American Indians and Alaska Natives.101 There are at least four locations in Florida that provide health services to this population.102 IHS employees who prescribe or dispense controlled substances in these facilities do not have access to Florida’s PDMP unless they have an active, unrestricted Florida license.

The program manager103 and the program manager’s designated staff, may also directly access the PDMP.104 The program manager access is for program management or for management of the PDMP database and its system in furtherance of the program, which may include responding to requests from those with indirect access to the system.105

96 Section 893.055(7)(b), F.S.
97 Id.
99 See https://tricare.mil/mtf (last visited February 8, 2018).
103 The program manager is an employee of DOH who is designated to ensure the integrity of the PDMP in accordance with law (s. 893.055(1)(j), F.S.
104 Section 893.055(7)(b), F.S.
105 Id. See also 893.055(7)(c), F.S.
Indirect Access

In Florida, the following entities may indirectly access PDMP data:

- DOH and its relevant health care regulatory boards;
- The Attorney General to investigate Medicaid fraud cases involving prescribed controlled substances;
- A law enforcement agency during active investigations regarding potential criminal activity, fraud, or theft regarding prescribed controlled substances; and
- A patient, or the legal guardian or designated health care surrogate of an incapacitated patient, for verifying the accuracy of database information.\textsuperscript{106}

Entities with indirect access to the PDMP database may request information from the PDMP program manager that is otherwise confidential and exempt from public disclosure under s. 893.0551, F.S.\textsuperscript{107} Prior to release, the PDMP program manager must verify that the request is authentic and authorized with the requesting organization.\textsuperscript{108}

Department staff is also authorized to indirectly access the database to calculate performance measures in its annual report to the Legislature.\textsuperscript{109} Such information must be requested of the program manager, and may not include any identifying information of the patient, prescriber, or dispenser.\textsuperscript{110}

Use of PDMP Data

A total of 17,852 health care practitioners or 27.2 percent of licensed health care practitioners who are authorized to prescribe controlled substances, are registered to use the PDMP database.\textsuperscript{111} Pharmacists have the highest utilization rate of the PDMP; 59 percent of licensed pharmacists are registered to use the PDMP and 90.6 percent of pharmacists registered to use the PDMP have queried the database.\textsuperscript{112} Physicians have a lower utilization rate; 20.6 percent of licensed allopathic physicians and 38.8 percent of licensed osteopathic physicians are registered to use the PDMP and of those registered to use the PDMP, 70.5 percent and 78.4 percent, respectively, have queried the database.\textsuperscript{113}

Thirty-two states require that certain prescribers and/or dispensers register to use the state’s PDMP database:\textsuperscript{114}
Florida does not require health care practitioners to register to use the PDMP.

Thirty-six states mandate some use of the PDMP for prescribers, but the requirements vary by state.\textsuperscript{115} For example, nine states require a health care practitioner to consult the PDMP at each prescribing of a designated substance.\textsuperscript{116} Twelve states require a health care practitioner to consult the state’s PDMP for the initial prescription of controlled substance for the treatment of pain, and also requires the health care practitioner to subsequently check the PDMP after the initial prescription.\textsuperscript{117} Florida does not require prescribers to consult the database to review a patient’s prescription drug history prior to prescribing a controlled substance.


\textsuperscript{116} National Alliance for Model State Drug Laws, \textit{Mandated Use of State Prescription Monitoring Programs (PMPs): Highlights of Key State Requirements}, (June 30, 2017), available at http://www.namsdl.org/library/6735895A-CA6C-1D6B-B8064211764D65D0/ (last visited November 20, 2017). Some states require the PDMP be consulted for specific classes of drugs such as opioids, benzodiazepines, barbiturates, and/or carisoprodol and other states specify schedules of drugs (Arkansas, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, and Texas), such as all drugs in certain schedules (Alaska, Massachusetts, New York, South Carolina, and Wisconsin).

\textsuperscript{117} Id. These states include Arkansas, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Vermont, and West Virginia.
Mandated Use of PDMPs: 36 States with Specified Circumstances Requiring Provider Access

Interstate Sharing of PDMP Information

Interstate sharing of prescription drug information allows health care practitioners and law enforcement to prevent and detect prescription drug abuse that crosses jurisdictional boundaries. Each state that maintains a PDMP database must decide whether it will share the information maintained in its state’s PDMP database with other states or jurisdictions, as well as the terms for such access. Florida is one of three states with a PDMP that does not allow other states or jurisdictions to access its database. Florida, however, has one-way access agreements with Alabama and Kentucky to allow authorized Florida PDMP users access to each of these state’s PDMP databases. Forty-seven states authorize interstate PDMP data sharing.

---


119 Supra note 69.

Public Records Exemption for Information in the PDMP Database

Section 893.0551, F.S., makes personal patient information and certain information concerning health care practitioners contained in the PDMP database confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and Art. I, Sec. 24 of the Florida Constitution. The statute makes confidential and exempt identifying information, including, but not limited to, the name, address, telephone number, insurance plan number, government-issued identification number, provider number, Drug Enforcement Administration number, or any other unique identifying number of a patient, patient's agent, health care practitioner or practitioner as defined in s. 893.055, F.S., or an employee of the practitioner who is acting on behalf of and at the direction of the practitioner, a pharmacist, or a pharmacy, which is contained in the PDMP database.

Any agency or person that obtains information pursuant to s. 893.0551, F.S., must maintain the confidential and exempt status of that information.

---

121 The public records exemption was established in 2009 in conjunction with the PDMP. See s. 1, ch. 2009-197, Laws of Fla. Additionally, the public records exemption was reauthorized in 2014. See s. 1, ch. 2014-156, Laws of Fla.
122 Section 893.0551(2), F.S.
123 Section 893.0551(6), F.S. However, a law enforcement agency with lawful access to such information is permitted to disclose confidential and exempt information received from DOH to a criminal justice agency as part of an active investigation of a specific violation of law. Section 893.0551(4).
Criminal Penalties related to Controlled Substance Prescribing

It is a third-degree felony for a health care practitioner to provide a medically unnecessary controlled substance to a patient by fraud, misrepresentation, or other deception. A third-degree felony is punishable by up to 5 years’ imprisonment and a fine of up to $5,000.

Tableting Machines and Encapsulating Machines

In addition to criminalizing various drugs and substances, the federal Controlled Substances Act (CSA) criminalizes possession of certain machinery or equipment as part of its scheme to combat the illicit manufacturing and distribution of controlled drugs in the U.S. This includes possession of tableting and encapsulating machines.

Federal law defines “tableting machine” as any manual, semi-automatic, or fully automatic equipment which may be used for the compaction or molding of powdered or granular solids, or semi-solid material, to produce coherent solid tablets. Similarly, federal law defines “encapsulating machine” to mean any manual, semi-automatic, or fully automatic equipment which may be used to fill shells or capsules with any powdered, granular, semi-solid, or liquid material.

In general, tableting and encapsulating machines provide a streamlined, automated way to produce mass quantities of pharmaceutical drugs. A large-scale pharmaceutical tablet press can generate up to a million tablets per hour. Additionally, these machines allow the operator to print or reproduce a mark or label on a tablet or capsule. Consequently, an operator may copy the mark or label of other tablets or capsules to produce a counterfeit product, indistinguishable from the actual tablet or capsule produced by the manufacturer. Current state law defines a “counterfeit controlled substance” to mean:

- A controlled substance named or described in s. 893.03 which, or the container or labeling of which, without authorization bears the trademark, trade name, or other identifying mark, imprint, or number, or any likeness thereof, of a manufacturer other than the person who in fact manufactured the controlled substance; or
- Any substance which is falsely identified as a controlled substance named or described in s. 893.03.

The global tablet processing and packaging equipment market is estimated to be over a $5 billion industry by 2022. In recent years, the Department of Justice has made an effort to arrest and prosecute individuals for possessing and utilizing narcotics equipment in their efforts to combat the opioid crises.

---

124 Section 893.13(7), F.S.
125 Sections 775.082 and 775.083, F.S. A repeat offender may be subject to enhanced penalties under s. 775.084, F.S.
126 Tableting machines and encapsulating machines are also commonly known as “pill presses” and “capsule fillers” respectively. Federal Register, Proposed Rules, Vol. 81, No. 179, p. 63578 (September 15, 2016).
127 21 U.S.C. s. 1300.02(b)(30).
128 21 U.S.C. s. 1300.02(b)(11).
130 Section 831.31, F.S.
The CSA makes it unlawful for any person to knowingly or intentionally manufacture, distribute, export, or import a tableting machine or encapsulating machine while knowing, intending, or having reasonable cause to believe, that it will be used to manufacture a controlled substance. The CSA makes an exception for “regulated persons” who own, possess, or sell these machines. Specifically, a regulated person may use these machines as part of a regulated transaction with a regular customer. The CSA provides the following definitions for the purpose of the regulated person exception:

- **Regulated person**: A person who manufactures, distributes, imports, or exports a listed chemical, a tableting machine, or an encapsulating machine or who acts as a broker or trader for an international transaction involving a listed chemical, a tableting machine, or an encapsulating machine.

- **Regulated transaction**: Distribution, receipt, sale, importation, or exportation of, or an international transaction involving shipment of, a listed chemical, or if the Attorney General establishes a threshold amount for a specific listed chemical, a threshold amount of a listed chemical. This includes the distribution, importation, or exportation of a tableting or encapsulating machine.

- **Regular customer**: With respect to a regulated person, a customer with whom the regulated person has an established business relationship that is reported to the Attorney General.

Federal regulations require a regulated person to submit a detailed report of the import or export of a tableting or encapsulating machine. A tableting machine or encapsulating machine may not be imported or exported until a transaction identification has been filed by the Drug Enforcement Administration. A regulated person must submit a detailed report of all domestic regulated transactions involving a tableting machine or encapsulating machine.

Current state law does not criminalize the possession, purchase, delivery, or sale of tableting or encapsulating machines.

**Effect of Proposed Changes**

**Acute Pain Treatment with Opioids**

**Prescription Limits for Acute Pain Treatment**

The bill limits a prescription of Schedule II opioids to alleviate acute pain to a 3-day supply, codifying the CDC guideline for the treatment of acute pain. However, a health care practitioner may prescribe up to a 7-day supply if the physician determines it is medically necessary, indicates “acute pain exception” on the prescription, and documents the justification for deviating from the 3-day supply limit in the patient’s medical record. The bill defines acute pain as the normal, predicted, physiological, and time-limited response to an adverse chemical, thermal, or mechanical stimulus associated with surgery, trauma, or acute illness. This definition reflects the definition currently in rule for physicians.

---

133 21 U.S.C. s. 843(a).
134 21 U.S.C s. 830.
135 21 U.S.C. s. 802(38).
137 21 U.S.C. s. 802(36).
139 See rr. 64B8-9.013 and 64B15-14.005, F.A.C.
Prescriptions for Opioids for Nonacute Pain

The bill excludes pain related to the following conditions from the definition of acute pain:

- Cancer;
- A terminal condition;\(^{140}\)
- Pain treated with palliative care;\(^{141}\) and
- A traumatic injury with an Injury Severity Score of 9 or higher.\(^{142}\)

The prescribing limits established under the bill does not apply to these exceptions. If a prescriber writes a prescription for any Schedule II opioid that is not related to treating acute pain, the prescriber must indicate “nonacute pain” on the prescription. This requirement applies to prescriptions for chronic pain, as well as those for the conditions listed above as being excluded from the definition of acute pain. When prescribing a Schedule II opioid for a traumatic injury, the bill requires the prescribing practitioner to also prescribe an emergency opioid antagonist.

Standards of Practice for Acute Pain Treatment

The bill authorizes DOH to adopt rules establishing guidelines for prescribing controlled substances for acute pain, similar to guidelines established for the prescribing of controlled substances for chronic pain. Such rules must address:

- Evaluation of the patient;
- Creation and maintenance of a treatment plan;
- Obtaining informed consent and agreement for treatment;
- Periodic review of the treatment plan;
- Consultation;
- Medical record review; and
- Compliance with controlled substance laws and regulations.

Such rules must take into account the applicability of its guidelines in different practice settings. A health care practitioner who fails to follow the guidelines established by DOH is subject to disciplinary action against his or her license.

Continuing Education on Controlled Substance Prescribing

The bill requires a health care practitioner who is authorized to prescribe controlled substances to complete a board-approved 2-hour continuing education course, if not already required to complete such a course under his or her practice act.\(^{143}\) All health care practitioners registered with the United States Drug Enforcement Agency to prescribe controlled substances must complete the continuing education course by January 31, 2019, and at each subsequent licensure renewal. The course must address:

---

\(^{140}\) The bill defines “terminal condition” as a progressive disease or medical or surgical condition that causes significant functional impairment, is not considered by the treating physician to be reversible without the administration of life-sustaining procedures, and will result in death within 1 year after diagnosis if the condition runs its normal course.

\(^{141}\) The bill defines “palliative care” as the provision of relief for symptoms related an incurable, progressive illness or injury.

\(^{142}\) An Injury Severity Score is a statistical method for computing the severity of injuries sustained by a trauma patient. The score ranges from 1 to 75. See s. 395.4001(5), F.S.

\(^{143}\) Pursuant to s. 464.013(3)(b), F.S., an advanced registered nurse practitioner must complete at least 3 hours of continuing education hours on the safe and effective prescribing of controlled substances each biennial renewal cycle. Rules 64B8-30.005(6) and 64B15-6.0035(6), F.A.C., requires physician assistants who prescribe controlled substances to complete 3 hours of continuing education on the safe and effective prescribing of controlled substance medications.
• Current standards on prescribing controlled substances, particularly opiates;
• Alternatives to the current standards on controlled substance prescribing; and
• Information on the risks of opioid addiction following all stages of treatment in the management of acute pain.

The course must be offered by a statewide professional association of physicians in this state that is accredited to provide educational activities designated for the American Medical Association Physician’s Recognition Award Category I Credit or the American Osteopathic Category 1-A continuing medical education requirement. The Florida Medical Association and the Florida Osteopathic Medical Association are the only entities that meet this criteria. The course may be taken in a long distance format and must be included in the continuing education required for the biennial renewal of a health care practitioner’s license. DOH may not renew the individual’s license of a prescriber who fails to complete this continuing education requirement.

Dispensing Practitioners

The bill limits a dispensing practitioner from dispensing a supply of a Schedule II opioid to the same limits provided for prescribing above: 3 days or 7 days if the practitioner determines it is medically necessary. The bill also authorizes a dispensing practitioner, who is approved to provide medically assisted treatment for opiate addiction to dispense Schedule III drugs for such purposes.

Pain Management Clinics

The bill requires a pain management clinic that claims an exemption from the requirement to apply to DOH for a certificate of exemption. The bill authorizes DOH to adopt a form by rule that requires an applicant for a certificate of exemption to provide:

• The name or names under which the applicant does business;
• The address at which the pain management clinic is located; and
• The specific exemption that the applicant is claiming, along with supporting documentation.

DOH must approve or deny an application for a certificate of exemption with has 30 days after receipt. Each certificate must be renewed biennially, but the initial certificate may be issued for up to three years to allow DOH to establish renewal cycles.

A pain management clinic must prominently display its certificate of exemption and make it available to DOH or the applicable board upon request. Each certificate of exemption is valid only for the applicant and for the exemption for which the certificate was issued. The certificate is not transferable and a new certificate must be issued if the clinic changes its address. A certificateholder must notify DOH at least 60 days before a change of ownership, name change, or if the certificateholder relocates and apply for a new certificate of exemption. The certificateholder must notify DOH within 3 days after becoming aware that it is ineligible for the specific exemption it claimed when obtaining the certificate of exemption, and either apply for a new certificate of exemption, register as a pain management clinic, or cease operation.

All pain management clinics in the state must either be registered with DOH as a pain management clinic or hold a certificate of exemption by January 1, 2019. There is no fee for the certificate of exemption.
Prescription Drug Monitoring Program

The bill makes changes to and reorganizes s. 893.055, F.S., relating to the prescription drug monitoring program. Although many of the substantive provisions remain unchanged, the bill makes several amendments to the section.

Mandatory Consultation

The bill requires a prescriber or dispenser or his or her designee to consult the PDMP to review a patient’s controlled substance dispensing history prior to prescribing or dispensing a controlled substance for patients age 16 and older. However, a prescriber or dispenser is not required to consult the PDMP if the system is not operational, as determined by DOH, or cannot be accessed by the health care practitioner due to a temporary technological or electrical failure. In such cases, the health care practitioner must document in the patient’s record the reason the PDMP was not consulted and may prescribe or dispense no more than a 3-day supply of a controlled substance. A health care practitioner who fails to consult the system as required is subject to a nondisciplinary citation for the initial offense. Any subsequent offense for failure to consult the PDMP will result in disciplinary action against the health care practitioner’s license.

Access to the PDMP Database

The bill expands direct access to the database to employees of the Department of Defense and the Indian Health Service who have authority to prescribe or dispense controlled substances, upon verification of such employment. Currently, only Florida-licensed health care practitioners and prescribers employed by the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs may directly access the database.

The bill also authorizes a district medical examiner to have indirect access to the database when performing an investigation, examination, or autopsy, as deemed necessary or requested by a state attorney to determine the cause of death of an individual. Under such circumstances, a medical examiner may request information from the PDMP manager or program staff.

The bill changes access to non-identifying information for the purpose of reporting on performance measures in its annual report from the department to the program manager.

Data Sharing

The bill authorizes DOH to enter into a single multistate agreement or reciprocal agreements with individual states to share PDMP information with other states or jurisdiction, as long as the other states’ PDMP systems are compatible with Florida’s. To determine compatibility, DOH must consider:

- The other state’s safeguards for the privacy of patient records and the program’s success in protecting patient privacy;
- The individuals authorized to view the information in the database and whether such access is comparable to the persons authorized in this state;
- The schedules of controlled substances that are monitored in the other state’s program;
- The data reported to or included in the other state’s system;
- Any implementing criteria deemed essential for a thorough comparison; and
- The costs and benefits to Florida of sharing prescription information.

DOH must continue to monitor such compatibility on a periodic basis. Any agreement that DOH enters into for sharing PDMP database information must contain the same restrictions on access as Florida law, including protection of privacy and public disclosure.
The bill authorizes DOH to allow the PDMP database to interface with a health care practitioner’s electronic health care recordkeeping system through a secure connection. A health practitioner is responsible for ensuring that only authorized individuals may access information from the PDMP database.

**Reporting Requirements**

Under current law, when controlled substances listed in Schedule II, III, and IV are dispensed, it must be reported to the PDMP. The bill expands the reporting requirement to include controlled substances listed in Schedule V, that is a non-opioid controlled substance. The bill also requires the dispenser to report the following additional information that is not currently collected:

- The telephone number of the person for whom the prescription was written, in addition to the demographic information the prescriber currently inputs;
- Whether the prescription is an initial prescription or a refill, and the number of refills prescribed;
- The name of the individual picking up the controlled substance prescription and the type and issuer of the identification provided; and
- For a dispensing practitioner, other than a pharmacist, the practitioner’s DOH-issued license number.

**Retention of Records**

The bill requires the PDMP purges information that is more than 4 years old. Under current law, the PDMP may indefinitely store the information in its database.

**Public Records**

The bill retains the public records exemption for certain information held in the PDMP database. The bill does not exempt any additional records from public disclosure or further restrict access to such information. However, the bill expands access to such information to certain individuals. The bill authorizes the PDMP manager and designated staff to have access to such information for administration of the program and to provide information to prescribers, dispensers, and appropriate law enforcement agencies in accordance with state law. The bill also expands access to certain employees of the VA, the Department of Defense, and the Indian Health Service who prescribe controlled substances pursuant to employment with such entity. Finally, the bill authorizes a medical examiner to have indirect access to such information when determining the cause of death of an individual. The bill reorganizes and makes other non-substantive changes to s. 893.0551, F.S., to improve readability.

**Identification Requirement for Dispensing of Controlled Substances**

The bill relocates from s. 893.055, F.S., to the pharmacy practice act (ch. 465, F.S.), an existing requirement that a pharmacist verifies the identity of an individual prior to dispensing a controlled substances. The bill does not make any substantive changes to this requirement.

---

144 The bill defines a “nonopioid” controlled substance as a controlled substance that does not contain any amount of an opioid, as defined in the state or federal controlled substance law.

145 The dispenser must currently input the name, address, and date of birth of the person for whom the prescription is written (s. 893.05(3)(c), F.S.)
Controlled Substance Regulation

The bill amends several sections of the 893.03, F.S., to align the state’s Controlled Substance Act with the federal schedules of controlled substances. Specifically, the bill adds the following substances to Schedule II:

- Dihydroetorphine;
- Hydrocodone combination products;
- Oripavine;
- Remifentanil;
- Tapentadol;
- Thiafentanil;
- Lisdexamfetamine; and
- Dronabinol (synthetic THC) in oral solution in a drug approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration.

Similarly, the bill adds the following substances to Schedule III:

- Buprenorphine (which is being rescheduled from Schedule V);
- Embutramide; and
- Perampanel.

The bill adds the following substances to Schedule IV:

- Alfaxalone
- Dexfenfluramine;
- Dichloralphenazone;
- Eluxadoline;
- Eszopiclone;
- Fospropofol;
- Lorcaserin;
- Modafinil;
- Petrichloral;
- Sibutramine;
- Suvorexant;
- Zaleplon;
- Zolpidem; and
- Zopiclone.

Finally, the bill adds the following substances to Schedule V:

- Not more than 0.5 milligrams of difenoxin and not less than 25 micrograms of atropine per dosage unit;
- Brivaracetam;
- Ezogabine;
- Lacosamide; and
- Pregabalin.

With these additions to Florida’s Controlled Substance Act, the unauthorized sale, manufacture, possession, delivery, or purchase of these substances is subject to criminal penalties. Additionally, the dispensing of these controlled substances must be entered into the PDMP database.
The bill amends the Controlled Substance Act to authorize a pharmacist to dispense a controlled substances pursuant to an electronic prescription. This aligns the state criminal laws with the state health care regulatory laws that already authorize electronic prescriptions.

**Criminal Penalties related to Controlled Substance Prescribing**

The bill increases the offense for a health care practitioner who provides a medically unnecessary controlled substance to a patient by fraud, misrepresentation, or other deception from a third-degree felony to a second-degree felony. As a second-degree felony, a violation is punishable by up to 15 years in prison and a fine up to $10,000.

**Tableting Machines and Encapsulating Machines**

The bill prohibits the possession, purchase, delivery, sale, or possession with intent to sell or deliver a tableting machine, encapsulating machine, or controlled substance counterfeiting material where the person knows, intends, or has reasonable cause to believe it will be used to manufacture a controlled substance, including a counterfeit controlled substance. The bill establishes the following definitions:

- **Encapsulating machine**: Manual, semiautomatic, or fully automatic equipment used to fill shells or capsules with any powdered or granular solids or semisolid material to produce coherent solid capsules.
- **Tableting machine**: Manual, semiautomatic, or fully automatic equipment used to compact or mold powdered or granular solids or semisolid materials to produce coherent solid tablets.
- **Controlled substance**: Any substance named or described in Schedules I-V of s. 893.03. 146
- **Controlled substance counterfeiting material**: A punch, die, plate, stone, or other item designed to print, imprint, or reproduce the trademark, trade name, or other identifying mark, imprint, or device of another or any likeness of any of the foregoing upon a drug or container or labeling thereof.
- **Counterfeit controlled substance**: Has the same meaning as provided in s. 831.31(2). 147

The bill makes a violation a third-degree felony, punishable by up to 5 years in prison and a fine up to $5,000. 148 However, a violation is a second-degree felony, punishable by up to 15 years in prison and a fine up to $10,000, 149 where the person knows, intends, or has reasonable cause to believe that their activity will result in the unlawful manufacture of a controlled substance or counterfeit controlled substance that contains any of the following:

- A controlled substance under s. 893.03(1); 150
- Cocaine;
- Opium or any synthetic or natural salt, compound, derivative, or preparation of opium;
- Methadone;
- Alfentanil;
- Carfentanil;
- Fentanyl;
- Sufentanil; or
- A controlled substance analog 151 of any substance listed above.

---

146 This is the same definition as provided in s. 893.02(4), F.S. See p. 7-8 herein for a discussion on schedules.
147 Supra note 130.
148 Sections 775.082 and 775.083, F.S.
149 Id.
150 These substances include, but are not limited to, benzethidine, codeine, heroin, morphine, and fentanyl derivatives.
151 “Controlled substance analog” means a substance which, due to its chemical structure and potential for abuse is substantially similar to that of a controlled substance listed in Schedule I or Schedule II of s. 893.03, F.S., and has a stimulant, depressant, or hallucinogenic effect.
Similar to federal law, the bill provides an exception to the criminal conduct for a “regulated person,” so long as the regulated person’s activity with such machine is part of a regulated transaction with a regular customer or importer, in compliance with federal law under 21 U.S.C. s. 830. Under the bill, the terms regulated person, regulated transaction, regular customer, and regular importer have the same meaning as defined in 21 U.S.C. s. 802. The bill also provides an exception for permitted pharmacies and licensed pharmacists whose activity with such machines is in compliance with the laws regulating their permits or licenses.

Finally, the bill makes other conforming changes throughout statutes.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2018, except for provisions related to the certificate of exemption for pain management clinics, which are effective January 1, 2019.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Creates s. 456.0301, F.S., relating to requirement for instruction on controlled substance prescribing.
Section 2: Amends s. 456.072, F.S., relating to grounds for discipline; penalties; enforcement.
Section 3: Amends s. 456.44, F.S., relating to controlled substance prescribing.
Section 4: Amends s. 458.3265, F.S., relating to pain-management clinics.
Section 5: Amends s. 459.0137, F.S., relating to pain-management clinics.
Section 6: Amends s. 465.0155, F.S., relating to standards of practice.
Section 7: Amends s. 465.0276, F.S., relating to dispensing practitioner.
Section 8: Amends s. 893.03, F.S., relating to standards and schedules.
Section 9: Amends s. 893.04, F.S., relating to pharmacist and practitioner.
Section 10: Amends s. 893.055, F.S., relating to prescription drug monitoring program.
Section 11: Amends s. 893.0551, F.S., relating to public records exemption for the prescription drug monitoring program.
Section 12: Amends s. 893.13, F.S., relating to prohibited acts; penalties.
Section 13: Amends s. 893.147, F.S., relating to the use, possession, manufacture, delivery, transportation, advertisement, or retail sale of drug paraphernalia.
Section 14: Amends s. 458.331, F.S., relating to grounds for disciplinary action; action by the board and department.
Section 15: Amends s. 459.015, F.S., relating to grounds for disciplinary action; action by the board and department.
Section 16: Amends s. 463.0055, F.S., relating to administration and prescription of ocular pharmaceutical agents.
Section 17: Amends s. 782.04, F.S., relating to murder.
Section 18: Amends s. 893.13, F.S., relating to prohibited acts; penalties.
Section 19: Amends s. 893.135, F.S., relating to trafficking; mandatory sentences; suspension or reduction of sentences; conspiracy to engage in trafficking.
Section 20: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2018, except as otherwise provided in the bill.
II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:
   None.

2. Expenditures:

   *Pain Management Clinics*

   DOH may realize cost savings associated with a reduction in the unlicensed activity investigations of pain management clinics. The average cost of an investigation is $2,100, and in the last biennium, DOH conducted 6 investigations for a total cost of $12,600.\(^\text{152}\) The regulatory costs associated with issuing the certificates of exemption is less than the costs associated with unlicensed activity investigations, resulting in a cost savings.

   The department will incur insignificant, nonrecurring costs associated with rulemaking. DOH will also incur nonrecurring costs associated with updating the Licensing Enforcement and Information Database System. Current resources are adequate to absorb these costs.\(^\text{153}\)

   *Standards of Practice for the Treatment of Acute Pain*

   DOH may incur insignificant, nonrecurring costs associated with rulemaking to establish standards of practice for treating acute pain. Current resources are adequate to absorb these costs.

   *PDMP*

   DOH will incur costs associated with upgrading the PDMP software to accommodate interstate data sharing, as well as integration with electronic health records systems. The House proposed financial plan for Fiscal Year 2018-2019 will provide DOH $873,079 in recurring and $117,700 in nonrecurring General Revenue funds to update the PDMP to a new prescription monitoring platform and to access additional features offered by the current vendor.\(^\text{154}\)

   *Tableting and Encapsulating Machines*

   On February 12, 2018, the Criminal Justice Impact Conference met and determined that the bill will have a positive indeterminate impact on prison beds.\(^\text{155}\)

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:
   None.

2. Expenditures:
   None.

---

\(^{152}\) *Supra* note 69.

\(^{153}\) *Id.*


C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Prescribers may incur additional costs to comply with the continuing education course on prescribing controlled substances. Prescribers may also incur additional labor costs to comply with the requirement to consult the PDMP prior to prescribing a controlled substance. Health practitioner offices that currently do not have the technology needed to consult the system may incur costs associated with obtaining such technology. Additionally, if a health care practitioner decides to integrate the PDMP database information with his or her patient electronic health records, the practitioner may incur costs associated with upgrading the software.

Due to the three-day limit controlled substance prescription for acute pain, some patients may incur additional costs if a health care practitioner requires an additional patient visit prior to issuing a new prescription or a prescription refill.

A pain management clinic that is exempt from registering with the department may incur minimal labor costs associated with applying for a certificate of exemption.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
   
   Not applicable. This bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.

2. Other:
   
   None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The rule-making authority created by the bill for the continuing education, prescribing guidelines, and certificates of exemption for pain management clinics is sufficient to implement those provisions of the bill.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On January 10, 2018, the Health Quality Subcommittee adopted an amendment and reported the bill favorably as a committee substitute. The amendment reinstated current law which allows health care regulatory boards indirect access to the PDMP for investigations involving licensees who are authorized to prescribe controlled substances.

On February 21, 2018, the Health and Human Services Committee adopted a strike-all amendment and reported the bill favorably as a committee substitute. The strike-all amendment:

- Specified the organizations that may offer the required continuing education on prescribing controlled substances;
Revised the topics for the required continuing education to include non-pharmacological therapies and the prescribing of emergency opioid antagonists;

Clarified that licensees required by their practice act to complete a course on safe and effective prescribing of controlled substances are exempt from the continuing education required by the bill;

Excluded pain related to cancer, a terminal condition, palliative care, and traumatic injury from the definition of “acute pain;”

Required DOH to take into account different practice settings when developing rules for the standards of practice for acute pain, and provides guidance on what the rules may include rather than requiring specific topics;

Required a health care practitioner to write “acute pain exception” on a prescription that exceeds the 3-day limit, rather than “medically necessary;”

Required a health care practitioner to write “nonacute pain” on a prescription for a Schedule II opioid to treat pain that is not acute pain;

Required a health practitioner to co-prescribe an opioid antagonist when prescribing a Schedule II opioid for pain related to certain traumatic injuries;

Required a pain management clinic to notify DOH within 3 days of becoming aware that it no longer qualifies for an exemption from the pain management clinic registration requirements;

Limited a dispensing practitioner to the same prescription limitations as a prescribing practitioner;

Created an exception to the 14-day limit for dispensing a Schedule III drug for substances approved for the medication-assisted treatment, including buprenorphine;

Clarified that the following acts are exempt from PDMP reporting: all acts of administration; dispensing or administering a drug in the Department of Corrections health system; and dispensing or administering a drug to a person under age 16;

Authorized direct access to the PDMP for a health care practitioner employed with the U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs, the U.S. Department of Defense, or the Indian Health Services who is authorized to dispense controlled substances;

Authorized DOH to enter into a single multi-state agreement for PDMP data sharing;

Requires DOH to assess compatibility with other states’ PDMP systems every 4 years rather than periodically;

Requires a health care practitioner to consult the PDMP prior to prescribing or dispensing a controlled substance for patients age 16 and older;

Creates an exception to the mandatory PDMP consultation for a health care practitioner who prescribes or dispenses a non-opioid Schedule V controlled substance;

Limits the nondisciplinary citation for failure to consult the PDMP to the initial offense, and makes each subsequent offense subject to discipline against the health practitioner’s license;

Allows the PDMP direct support organization to accept donations from prescription drug manufacturers;

Increases the penalty for a health care practitioner who overprescribes controlled substances from a third degree felony to a second degree felony;

Makes it a second degree felony to possess, purchase, deliver, sell, or possess with intent to sell or deliver a tableting machine, encapsulating machine, or controlled substance counterfeiting material for the purpose of illegally manufacturing a controlled substance or a counterfeit controlled substance; provides exceptions for certain regulated persons; and

Makes other technical and conforming changes.

The analysis is drafted to the committee substitute as passed by the Health and Human Services Committee.