

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Commerce and Tourism

BILL: CS/CS/SB 664

INTRODUCER: Transportation Committee; Commerce and Tourism Committee; and Senators Young and Steube

SUBJECT: Salvage of Pleasure Vessels

DATE: January 26, 2017

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	<u>Little</u>	<u>McKay</u>	<u>CM</u>	<u>Fav/CS</u>
2.	<u>Price</u>	<u>Miller</u>	<u>TR</u>	<u>Fav/CS</u>
3.	_____	_____	<u>RC</u>	_____

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/CS/SB 664 creates a new section of law relating to salvage of pleasure vessels, applying its provisions to all salvors in the state, with certain exceptions, and defining relevant terms. The bill requires a salvor to provide a customer with verbal and written notice that the salvor's offered service is not covered by any towing contract before a salvor may engage in the salvage operation of a pleasure vessel. The bill requires the written notice to include a specified statement. The bill relieves a salvor of providing the verbal and written notice if there is an imminent threat of injury or death to any person on board the vessel.

The bill provides that a customer injured by a violation of the new section of law may bring an action in the appropriate court and specifies that a prevailing customer in such an action is entitled to damages in an amount that is 1.5 times that charged by the salvor, plus actual damages, court costs, and reasonable attorney fees. The bill provides that a customer may also bring an action for injunctive relief in the circuit court.

The bill takes effect on July 1, 2018.

II. Present Situation:

Salvage of Vessels

Admiralty law encourages seamen to render prompt aid to vessels and property in peril at sea by authorizing vessels of the United States and numbered motorboats owned by citizens to engage in any salvage operation within the territorial waters of the United States.¹

An award for salvage is “the compensation allowed to persons by whose voluntary assistance a ship at sea or her cargo or both have been saved in whole or in part from impending sea peril.”²

To assert a valid salvage claim, a salvor must establish three necessary elements:

- That a marine peril³ existed;
- That the salvage service was rendered voluntarily and was not required as an existing duty or from a special contract; and
- That the salvage service rendered contributed to success, in whole or in part, in saving the ship from the marine peril.⁴

Federal district courts have original jurisdiction of “any civil case of admiralty or maritime jurisdiction, saving to suitors in all cases all other remedies to which they are otherwise entitled.”^{5,6} The amount of an award for salvage can vary greatly.⁷ Traditionally, courts have considered the following factors in determining an award for salvage:

- The labor expended by the salvors in rendering the salvage service;
- The promptitude, skill, and energy displayed in rendering the salvage service;
- The value of the property employed by the salvors in rendering the service; and
- The danger to which such property was exposed;
- The risk incurred by the salvors in securing the property from the impending peril;
- The value of the property employed by the salvors in rendering the service and the danger to which such property was exposed;
- The risk incurred by the salvors in securing the property from the impending peril;
- The value of the property saved; and
- The degree of danger from which the property was rescued.⁸

¹ 19 C.F.R. s. 4.97(a) (1969).

² *The Sabine*, 101 U.S. 384, 384 (1879).

³ Marine peril does not necessarily require immediate or actual danger so long as at the time the assistance was rendered the ship was in a situation that might expose her to loss or destruction. *Fine v. Rockwood*, 895 F. Supp. 306, 309 (S.D. Fla 1995).

⁴ *Id.* See also *Klein v. Unidentified Wreck & Abandoned Sailing Vessel*, 785 F.2d 1511, 1515 (11th Cir. 1985).

⁵ 28 U.S.C. s. 1333.

⁶ The “saving to suitors clause” has been interpreted to allow state courts concurrent jurisdiction over common law claims arising in connection with admiralty claims. See *Sebastian Tow Boat & Salvage, Inc. v. Vernon Slavens & Allstate Floridian Insurance Co.*, 16 FLW Fed, D187 (M.D. Fla. 2002) (holding that the state court has concurrent jurisdiction, so long as the case proceeded *in personam* rather than *in rem*, and the cause of action arose from a contract entered into by both parties).

⁷ *Biscayne Towing & Salvage, Inc. v. Kilo Alfa Ltd.*, 2004 WL 3310573 (S.D. Fla 2004).

⁸ *The Blackwell*, 77 U.S. 1 (1869).

In an effort to establish uniformity in determining the amount of a salvage award, The 1989 International Convention on Salvage⁹ added additional factors to consider in determining the amount of a salvage award. The additional factors include consideration for the prevention or minimization of environmental damage.¹⁰

Generally, state courts may apply state law to maritime actions so long as there is no conflict with federal law.¹¹

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill creates s. 559.952, F.S., relating to salvage of pleasure vessels. The bill applies the new section to all salvors operating in Florida, with the exception of:

- Any person who performs salvage work while employed by a municipal, county, state, or federal government when carrying out the functions of that government;
- Any person who engages solely in salvage work for:
 - Pleasure vessels that are owned, maintained, and operated exclusively by such person and for the person's own use; or
 - For-hire pleasure vessels that are rented for periods of 30 days or less;
- Any person who owns or operates a marina or shore-based repair facility and is in the business of repairing pleasure vessels, where the salvage work takes place exclusively at the person's facility;
- Any person who is in the business of repairing pleasure vessels who performs the repair work at a landside or shoreside location designated by the customer; or
- Any person who is in the business of recovering, storing, or selling pleasure vessels on behalf of insurance companies that insure the vessels.

The bill provides the definitions for the customer,¹² employee,¹³ pleasure vessel,¹⁴ salvage work,¹⁵ and salvor.¹⁶

⁹ United Nations, *International Convention on Salvage*, available at <https://treaties.un.org/doc/Publication/UNTS/Volume%201953/v1953.pdf> (last visited Dec. 1, 2017).

¹⁰ *International Convention on Salvage, 1989*, <http://treaties.fco.gov.uk/docs/pdf/1996/TS0093.pdf> (last visited Dec. 1, 2017); International Maritime Organization, *International Convention on Salvage*, available at <http://www.imo.org/en/About/Conventions/ListOfConventions/Pages/International-Convention-on-Salvage.aspx>, (last visited Dec. 1, 2017).

¹¹ *Madruga v. Superior Court of State of California ex. Rel San Diego County*, 346 U.S. 556 (1954). See the discussion under the "Other Constitutional Issues" heading below for further details.

¹² "Customer" means the person to whom a salvor offers salvage work.

¹³ "Employee" means an individual who is employed full-time or part-time by a salvor and performs salvage work.

¹⁴ "Pleasure vessel" means any watercraft no more than 60 feet in length which is used solely for personal pleasure, family use, or the transportation of executives, persons under the employment, and guests of the owner.

¹⁵ "Salvage work" means any assistance, services, repairs, or other efforts rendered by a salvor relating to saving, preserving, or rescuing a pleasure vessel or its passengers and crew which are in marine peril. Salvage work does not include towing a vessel.

¹⁶ "Salvor" means a person in the business of voluntarily providing assistance, services, repairs, or other efforts related to saving, preserving, or rescuing a pleasure vessel or the vessel's passengers and crew which are in marine peril in exchange for compensation.

The bill requires a salvor to provide a customer with verbal and written notice that the service offered is not covered by any towing contract before a salvor may engage in the salvage operation of a pleasure vessel. The written notice must include a specified statement in capital letters of at least 12-point type notifying a customer, in general, that:

- The service offered is salvage work not covered by any towing contract.
- Salvage work allows the salvor to bill you, or your insurance company for charges at a later date.
- The salvor shall calculate the charges according to federal salvage law.
- Such charges may exceed a charge based on a time and materials calculation and could amount to as much as the entire value of the vessel and its contents.
- The customer's only recourse to challenge any salvage charges is by a lawsuit in federal court or, if the customer agrees, by binding arbitration.
- The customer may agree to charges with the salvor before work begins, which is the maximum amount the salvor may charge.
- The customer has a right to reject the salvor's offer of service if the salvor will not agree to a charge before beginning work.

The bill relieves a salvor of the obligation to provide the required verbal and written notice if an imminent threat of injury or death to any person on board the vessel exists.

A customer injured by a violation of the provisions of the new section of law is authorized to bring an action for relief in the appropriate court. The bill provides that a customer that prevails in such action is entitled to:

- Damages in the amount of 1.5 times that charged by the salvor;
- Actual damages;
- Court costs; and
- Reasonable attorney fees.

The bill provides that the customer may also bring an action for injunctive relief in the circuit court and that the remedies provided are in addition to any other remedy provided by law.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2018.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. Other Constitutional Issues:

The U.S. Constitution grants federal district courts judicial power over of any civil case of admiralty or maritime jurisdiction.¹⁷ While it is established that federal courts have exclusive jurisdiction over *in rem* actions,¹⁸ courts are split as to whether states can handle admiralty or maritime *in personam* claims for *quantum meruit*.¹⁹ As noted, state courts generally may apply state law to maritime actions so long as there is no conflict with federal law.²⁰

The bill provides a legal remedy for injured customers and directs the court to award damages to a prevailing customer, including reasonable attorney fees. Federal maritime law does not award attorney fees to a prevailing party.²¹ It is unclear whether a federal court or state court would have jurisdiction over a dispute arising from the written estimate for services required by the bill. If the dispute is considered an *in personam* claim concerning a contractual agreement, it may fall within the jurisdiction of a state court. However, if a dispute arising from the written estimate is considered to fall within federal maritime jurisdiction, the language in the bill directing the court to award attorney fees to the prevailing party may be preempted.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Individuals in need of salvage work for a pleasure vessel may see a reduction in the cost of salvage work if they are afforded an opportunity to arrive at agreed-upon charges before any salvage work is performed.

Salvors may incur costs associated with:

- Providing the required verbal and written notices.
- Participating in potential litigation that may result in the payment of the specified damages, costs, and attorney fees.

¹⁷ U.S. Const. Art. III, ss. 1 and 2.

¹⁸ *Supra* note 11.

¹⁹ See *Metropolitan Dade County v. One (1) Bronze Cannon*, 537 F.Supp. 923 (S.D. Fla. 1982) (explaining the “saving to suitors” clause affords litigants a choice of remedies but not forums) and *Lewis v. JPI Corp.*, Case No. 07-20103-CIV-TORRES (S.D. Fla. 2009) (“The salvage award, which is unique to maritime and admiralty law, is not one of *quantum meruit* as compensation for work performed”). Compare *Sebastian Tow Boat & Salvage*, *supra* note 6 and *Phillips v. Sea Tow/ Sea Spill of Savannah*, 578 S.E.2d 846 (Ga. 2002).

²⁰ *Madruga*, 346 U.S. 556 (1954).

²¹ *Garan Inc. v. MV Aivik*, 907 F.Supp. 397 (S.D. Fla. 1995) (holding that absent specific federal statutory authorization, federal maritime law does not entitle a prevailing party an award of attorney fees).

C. **Government Sector Impact:**

Indeterminate impact to the Florida Court System depending on the volume and complexity of civil lawsuits filed as result of the bill.

VI. **Technical Deficiencies:**

None.

VII. **Related Issues:**

None.

VIII. **Statutes Affected:**

This bill creates the following section of the Florida Statutes: 559.952.

IX. **Additional Information:**

A. **Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:**

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Transportation on January 25, 2018:

The committee adopted a delete-all amendment that generally replaces the text of the bill as follows:

- Requires a salvor to provide a customer with verbal and written notice that the salvor's offered service is not covered by any towing contract before a salvor may engage in the salvage operation of a pleasure vessel.
- Requires the written notice to include a specified statement, including, among other items, that a customer's only recourse to challenge assessed salvage charges is by a lawsuit in federal court or, if agreed to, binding arbitration.
- Relieves a salvor of providing the verbal and written notice if there is an imminent threat of injury or death to any person on board the vessel.
- Provides that a customer injured by a violation of the new section of law may bring an action in the appropriate court.
- Deems a prevailing customer in such an action entitled to damages in an amount that is 1.5 times that charged by the salvor, plus actual damages, court costs, and reasonable attorney fees.
- Provides that a customer may also bring an action for injunctive relief in the circuit court.

CS by Commerce and Tourism Committee on December 4, 2017:

The bill is amended to:

- Clarify that the bill does not apply to any person who is in the business of recovering, storing, or selling pleasure vessels on behalf of insurance companies that insure the vessels;
- Make a technical change to correct the sequential order of the parts and statutes within ch. 559, F.S.; and

- Make a technical change to ensure the term “employee” is used consistently throughout the bill.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.
