

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Infrastructure and Security

BILL: SB 1036

INTRODUCER: Senator Albritton

SUBJECT: Diesel Exhaust Fluid

DATE: February 13, 2020

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	Price	Miller	IS	Pre-meeting
2.			EN	
3.			AP	

I. Summary:

SB 1036 addresses safety issues associated with airport use of diesel exhaust fluid (DEF). Airports and airport tenants use DEF in diesel-powered vehicles used in an aircraft support role, including aircraft fire-fighting equipment, life-saving equipment, and emergency generators. DEF is also used to help meet the emission control standards mandated by the Environmental Protection Agency. In recent years, a number of aircraft have experienced engine shutdowns and other engine operability issues due to the contamination of jet fuel as a result of the inadvertent filling of anti-icing injection systems in aircraft fuel trucks with DEF, instead of a product used as a fuel additive to address potential freezing of water within jet fuel in an aircraft at altitude.

The bill requires the phase-out of the presence, storage, or use of DEF on the premises of a public airport by October 1, 2030. The bill requires the manager of each public airport with specified uses of DEF to create a safety mitigation and exclusion plan and provides minimum requirements for the plan. The regulatory authority with jurisdiction over the airport must approved the plan by September 1, 2020. By October 1, 2020, the airport manager must submit the plan to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). The plan must be fully implemented by January 1, 2021. The bill also requires annual submission of the plans to the DEP until removal of DEF from the airport premises is accomplished.

The fiscal impact of the bill is indeterminate. See the “Fiscal Impact Statement” for additional information.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2020.

II. Present Situation:

Emission Control Standards

Under the federal Clean Air Act of 1990, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has mandated strengthened emission control standards for vehicle engines to reduce health and environmental issues caused by air pollution. With respect to diesel engines, nitrogen oxides (NO_x) are a major contributor to that pollution, and the EPA has identified NO_x in diesel engine emissions for drastic reduction.¹

Vehicle and engine manufacturers have developed “aftertreatment” technologies to meet the strengthened EPA standards, such as Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR). SCR reduces NO_x emissions when DEF is injected directly into a catalytic converter² in the vehicle’s exhaust system. Heat from the exhaust helps to break DEF down into ammonia, which in the presence of the catalyst, reacts with the NO_x in the exhaust to neutralize it, transforming it into harmless nitrogen gas and water.³

The EPA mandated emission standards for off-road diesel engines starting in 2014, which apply to airport support vehicles now equipped with SCR systems and therefore require DEF.⁴

According to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DEF is not approved for use in jet fuel:

When mixed with jet fuel, DEF will react with certain jet fuel chemical components to form crystalline deposits in the fuel system. These deposits will flow through the aircraft fuel system and may accumulate on filters, fuel metering components, other fuel system components, or engine fuel nozzles. The deposits may also settle in the fuel tanks or other areas of the aircraft fuel system where they may potentially become dislodged over time and accumulate downstream in the fuel system as described above.⁵

DEF Use at Airports

Airports and airport tenants use DEF in diesel-powered vehicles used in an aircraft support role, including aircraft fire-fighting equipment, life-saving equipment, and emergency generators.

¹ Aircraft Diesel Exhaust Fluid Contamination Working Group, *A Collaborative Industry Report on the Hazard of Diesel Exhaust Fluid Contamination of Aircraft Fuel*, June 11, 2019, at p. 3, available at https://download.aopa.org/advocacy/2019/2019_06_11_Aircraft_DEF_Contamination_Working_Group_Report_FINAL.pdf (last visited February 12, 2020).

² Merriam-Webster defines the term to mean “an automobile exhaust-system component containing a catalyst that causes conversion of harmful gases (such as carbon monoxide and uncombusted hydrocarbons) into mostly harmless products (such as water and carbon dioxide).” Merriam-Webster, *catalytic converter*, available at <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/catalytic%20converter> (last visited February 12, 2020).

³ *Supra* note 1.

⁴ *Supra* note 1 at p. 4.

⁵ U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration, *SAFO 1815, Jet Fuel Contaminated with Diesel Exhaust Fluid (DEF)*, November 13, 2018, available at https://www.faa.gov/other_visit/aviation_industry/airline_operators/airline_safety/safo/all_safos/media/2018/SAFO18015.pdf (last visited February 12, 2020).

DEF is also used to help meet the EPA-mandated emission control standards.⁶ DEF is stored in separate tanks on vehicles having an installed SCR system, which treats the exhaust of those vehicle engines.⁷

In recent years, a number of aircraft have experienced engine shutdowns and other engine operability issues due to the contamination of jet fuel as a result of the inadvertent filling of anti-icing injection systems in aircraft fuel trucks with DEF, instead of fuel system icing inhibitor (FSII).⁸ One use of FSII is to mitigate against possible freezing of any water within jet fuel contained in an aircraft when at altitude.⁹ FSII injection systems require an FSII fluid reservoir mounted on the truck to supply the injecting system during aircraft refueling.¹⁰ However, since the 2014 application of the EPA mandated emissions standards to off-road diesel engines such as airport refuelers, refueling trucks at airports are often equipped with two reservoirs, one for DEF and one for FSII.¹¹ According to an industry report on DEF contamination of aircraft fuel, difficulty arises in the fact that both DEF and FSII are clear liquids, resulting in confusion and the accidental mixing with or replacement of FSII.¹²

Between November 2017 and May 2019, there were three instances, two in Florida, in which multiple aircraft had jet fuel contaminated with DEF or were refueled using equipment exposed to DEF. In all three cases, the FAA notes the occurrences resulted from the inadvertent adding of DEF to the fuel truck anti-icing injection system reservoirs, instead of FSII.¹³ Because of these instances, and others,¹⁴ numerous aircraft had to perform emergency landings. The FAA conducted a hazard analysis and issued preliminary recommendations to address the problem, including additional training for ground support crews, adoption of best management practices, and dyeing either DEF or FSII so they can be distinguished from each other.¹⁵

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

SB 1036 creates s. 330.401, F.S., requiring by October 1, 2030, the phase-out of the presence, storage, or use of DEF, including its use to treat the exhaust of an SCR engine, on the premises of a public airport,¹⁶ as provided in the bill. This would include any publicly or privately owned airport open for public use.

The bill requires the manager of each public airport at which aviation fuels receive onsite treatment with FSII by means of injection or mixing systems; and at which any aircraft fuel

⁶ See email from Lisa Waters, President/CEO of the Florida Airports Council, to House staff, November 4, 2019 (on file in the Senate Infrastructure and Security Committee).

⁷ *Supra* note 4.

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰ FAA, *Safety Assessment for Jet Fuel Contamination with Diesel Exhaust Fluid (DEF)*, August 30, 2019, p. 4, available at https://www.nata.aero/assets/Site_18/files/GIA/NATA_News/2019-08-30_Safety_Risk_Assessment_Report_DEF-Final.pdf (last visited February 12, 2020).

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² *Supra* note 1 at p. 9.

¹³ *Supra* note 10 at p. 1.

¹⁴ See National Air Transportation Association, *DEF Contamination Awareness*, available at <https://www.nata.aero/advocacy/def-awareness> (last visited February 13, 2020). See also *supra* note 5.

¹⁵ *Supra* note 10 at pp. 10-13.

¹⁶ Section 330.27, F.S.

delivery vehicle or ground service equipment, the exhaust system of which is being treated with DEF, is operated within 150 feet of any aircraft, to create a DEF safety mitigation and exclusion plan. At a minimum, the plan must include:

- A full inventory of all DEF on the airport premises.
- Designation of specific areas of the airport premises where DEF may be stored. These areas may not be located within or on a vehicle operated for the fueling or servicing of aircraft or at any aviation fuel transfer facility or bulk aviation fuel storage facility.
- Designation of specific areas where a vehicle, the exhaust system of which is being treated with DEF, is to be refueled or treated with DEF. These areas may not be located in aircraft operating areas.

The regulatory authority having jurisdiction over the airport¹⁷ must approve the DEF safety mitigation and exclusion plan by September 1, 2020. By October 1, 2020, the airport manager must submit the plan to the DEP and certify that all DEF and vehicles have been secured within the airport premises. The plan must be fully implemented on the airport premises by January 1, 2021.

Annually thereafter, the bill requires the airport manager to review and submit the plan, including applicable amendments, to the DEP for certification. If the DEP determines that use of DEF is being phased out as required by the bill, the DEP must certify the plan for that year.

Once all DEF and all vehicles treated with DEF have been removed from the airport premises, the manager must submit a written report to the DEP certifying the removal. If the DEP determines that such removal is accomplished, the annual certification is no longer required.

The bill prohibits the presence, storage, or use of DEF on the premises of the described public airports after October 1, 2030.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2020.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

Article VII, Section 18(a) of the Florida Constitution provides that “no county or municipality shall be bound by any general law requiring such county or municipality to spend funds ... unless the legislature has determined that such law fulfills an important state interest and unless: ... the expenditure is required to comply with a law that applies to all persons similarly situated”

¹⁷ Publicly owned airports in Florida operate under either a government department model (where the airport operates as a department of the local government) or an airport authority model (where the airport authority is created as either an independent or a dependent special district). Airport operation and administration is generally governed as part of the local government or special district that owns the airport. Privately owned airports open to public use may employ a variety of models for oversight of operations and maintenance, including, but not limited to, sole proprietorships, corporations, and homeowner’s associations. *See* GlobalAir.com, “Airports” tab, available at <https://www.globalair.com/airport/state.aspx> (last visited February 13, 2020).

The bill applies to all persons similarly situated (publicly or privately owned airports open for use by the public), but it does not include a legislative determination that it fulfills an important state interest.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Tenants of public airports, including fuel providers, will likely incur expenditures associated with complying with airport safety mitigation and exclusion plans; however, the amount of such expenditures is indeterminate.

C. Government Sector Impact:

According to the Florida Department of Transportation, 129 public-use commercial service and general aviation airports currently operate in Florida.¹⁸ To the extent that any of these airports have aviation fuels receiving onsite treatment with FSII by means of injection or mixing systems, or treat any aircraft fuel delivery vehicle or ground service equipment with DEF within 150 feet of any aircraft, the airports will be required to develop and implement the plans specified in the bill. The fiscal impact to these airports is indeterminate, however, as the cost to develop and implement the required plans is unknown. These airports will also incur indeterminate expenses associated with the initial submission of the plan and certification to the DEP, and any subsequently required annual submission and certification.

¹⁸ FDOT, *Florida Aviation System Plan*, available at <https://www.fdot.gov/aviation/FASP2035> (last visited February 13, 2020).

The DEP will incur indeterminate expenses associated with reviewing the initial and any subsequently required submissions.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

The Florida Airport Council notes that “Airports are not aware of an alternative method of meeting emissions standards without DEF,” and suggests that mandating DEF storage outside the area of aircraft operations may accomplish the bill’s apparent goal “without having a large negative economic impact on airports.”¹⁹

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill creates the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 330.401.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.

¹⁹ *Supra* note 6.