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COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 1060 makes confidential and exempt from public records disclosure requirements certain plans and geographical maps relating to 911 or E911 communication structures or facilities owned and operated by a state agency. Any portion of a meeting that would reveal the confidential and exempt information is made exempt from the public meeting requirements.

An agency is authorized to disclose the confidential and exempt information to:
- Another governmental entity if disclosure is necessary for the receiving entity to perform its duties and responsibilities;
- A licensed architect, engineer, or contractor who is performing work on or related to the 911 or E911 communication system infrastructure, including towers, antennae, equipment or facilities used to provide 911 or E911 communication services, or other 911 or E911 communication structures or facilities owned and operated by an agency; or
- Upon a showing of good cause before a court of competent jurisdiction.

The bill provides for the scheduled repeal of the public records and public meetings exemptions on October 2, 2025, pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and contains legislative findings of public necessity for the exemptions.

The bill may have a minimal negative fiscal impact on the governmental sector.

The bill takes effect upon becoming law.
II. Present Situation:

Access to Public Records - Generally

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business. The right to inspect or copy applies to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.

Additional requirements and exemptions related to public records are found in various statutes and rules, depending on the branch of government involved. For instance, section 11.0431, Florida Statutes (F.S.), provides public access requirements for legislative records. Relevant exemptions are codified in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S., and the statutory provisions are adopted in the rules of each house of the legislature. Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420 governs public access to judicial branch records. Lastly, chapter 119, F.S., provides requirements for public records held by executive agencies.

Executive Agency Records – The Public Records Act

Chapter 119, F.S., known as the Public Records Act, provides that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person, and that providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.

A public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted. The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted the statutory definition of “public record” to include “material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge of some type.

The Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access to public records must be provided. The Public Records Act guarantees every person’s right to inspect and copy any public record at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the

---

1 FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(a).
2 Id.
4 State v. Wooten, 260 So. 3d 1060 (Fla. 4th DCA 2018).
5 Section 119.01(1), F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines “agency” as “any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.”
6 Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines “public record” to mean “all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.”
7 Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc., Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).
custodian of the public record. A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.

The Legislature may exempt public records from public access requirements by passing a general law by a two-thirds vote of both the House and the Senate. The exemption must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.

General exemptions from the public records requirements are contained in the Public Records Act. Specific exemptions often are placed in the substantive statutes relating to a particular agency or program.

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is “exempt” or “confidential and exempt.” Custodians of records designated as “exempt” are not prohibited from disclosing the record; rather, the exemption means that the custodian cannot be compelled to disclose the record. Custodians of records designated as “confidential and exempt” may not disclose the record except under circumstances specifically defined by the Legislature.

Open Meetings Law

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has a right to access governmental meetings. Each collegial body must provide notice of its meetings to the public and permit the public to attend any meeting at which official acts are taken or at which public business is transacted or discussed. This applies to the meetings of any collegial body of the executive branch of state government, counties, municipalities, school districts or special districts.
Public policy regarding access to government meetings also is addressed in the Florida Statutes. Section 286.011, F.S., which is also known as the “Government in the Sunshine Law,” requires all meetings of any board or commission of any state or local agency or authority at which official acts are to be taken be open to the public. The board or commission must provide the public reasonable notice of such meetings. Public meetings may not be held at any location that discriminates on the basis of sex, age, race, creed, color, origin or economic status or which operates in a manner that unreasonably restricts the public’s access to the facility. Minutes of a public meeting must be promptly recorded and open to public inspection. Failure to abide by public meetings requirements will invalidate any resolution, rule or formal action adopted at a meeting. A public officer or member of a governmental entity who violates the Sunshine Law is subject to civil and criminal penalties.

The Legislature may create an exemption to public meetings requirements by passing a general law by at least a two-thirds vote of both the Senate and the House of Representatives. The exemption must explicitly lay out the public necessity justifying the exemption, and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption. A statutory exemption which does not meet these two criteria may be unconstitutional and may not be judicially saved.

Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act (the act) prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public records or open meetings exemptions, with specified exceptions. It requires the automatic repeal of such exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.

20 Board of Public Instruction of Broward County v. Doran, 224 So. 2d 693, 695 (Fla. 1969).
21 Section 286.011(1)-(2), F.S.
22 Id.
23 Section 286.011(6), F.S.
24 Section 286.011(2), F.S.
25 Section 286.011(1), F.S.
26 Section 286.011(3), F.S.
27 FLA CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).
28 Id.
29 Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. New-Journal Corp., 724 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 1999). In Halifax Hospital, the Florida Supreme Court found that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption. Id. at 570. The Florida Supreme Court also declined to narrow the exemption in order to save it. Id. In Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc., 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004), the court found that the intent of a public records statute was to create a public records exemption. The Baker County Press court found that since the law did not contain a public necessity statement, it was unconstitutional. Id. at 196.
30 Section 119.15, F.S.
31 An exemption is considered to be substantially amended if it is expanded to include more records or information or to include meetings as well as records. Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.
32 Section 119.15(2)(a) and (b), F.S., provide that exemptions that are required by federal law or are applicable solely to the Legislature or the State Court System are not subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.
33 Section 119.15(3), F.S.
The act provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary. An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes and the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- It allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;  
- It protects sensitive, personal information, the release of which would be defamatory, cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of the individual, or would jeopardize the individual’s safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only personal identifying information is exempt; or
- It protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, such as trade or business secrets.

The act also requires specified questions to be considered during the review process. In examining an exemption, the act directs the Legislature to carefully question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption.

If the exemption is continued and expanded, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required. If the exemption is continued without substantive changes or if the exemption is continued and narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are not required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless provided for by law.

The 911 System

The purpose of the 911 system is to improve public safety by establishing one number to call to contact a nationwide, seamless communications infrastructure for all emergency services. 911 service is a vital part of our nation’s emergency response and disaster preparedness system. While 911 operates nationwide, it is not a single, nationwide system. Instead, all voice communications service providers are required to route 911 calls to the nearest public safety
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34 Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.
35 Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.
36 Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.
37 Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.
38 Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. The specified questions are:

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

39 See generally s. 119.15, F.S.
40 Section 119.15(7), F.S.
answering point (PSAP), which is operated by local government, answers all 911 calls, and dispatches the appropriate local emergency first responders.\textsuperscript{42} These locally owned and operated PSAPs and their infrastructure are the heart of the 911 emergency reporting system.

Section 365.172(3)(h), F.S., defines “enhanced 911” or “E911” to mean an enhanced 911 system or enhanced 911 service that:

- is an emergency telephone system or service that provides a subscriber with 911 service and, directs 911 calls to appropriate public safety answering points by selective routing based on the geographical location from which the call originated, or as otherwise provided in the state plan under s. 365.171, F.S.; and
- that provides for automatic number identification and automatic location-identification features.\textsuperscript{43}

\textbf{Public Record and Public Meeting Exemptions Related to Security and Firesafety}

Current law provides public record and public meeting exemptions for certain information related to security systems. The law specifies the circumstances under which the information may be disclosed and to whom it may be disclosed.

\textbf{Security and Firesafety Plan}

Section 119.071(3)(a)1., F.S., defines a “security or firesafety plan” to include:

- Records, information, photographs, audio and visual presentations, schematic diagrams, surveys, recommendations, or consultations or portions thereof relating directly to the physical security or firesafety of the facility or revealing security or firesafety systems\textsuperscript{44};
- Threat assessments conducted by any agency or any private entity;\textsuperscript{45}
- Threat Response Plans;
- Emergency evacuation plans;
- Sheltering arrangements; or
- Manuals for security or firesafety personnel, emergency equipment, or security or firesafety training.

A security or firesafety plan or any portion thereof that is held by an agency\textsuperscript{46} is confidential and exempt\textsuperscript{47} from public record requirements if the plan is for any property owned by or leased to


\textsuperscript{43} An E911 service provided by a wireless provider means E911 as defined in the order as specified under s. 365.172(t), F.S. See s. 365.172(3)(h), F.S.

\textsuperscript{44} Section 119.071(3)(a)1.a., F.S.

\textsuperscript{45} Section 119.071(3)(a)1.b., F.S.

\textsuperscript{46} Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines “agency” to mean any S., defines “agency” to mean any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of chapter 119, F.S., the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.

\textsuperscript{47} There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); Williams v. City
the state or any of its political subdivisions or any privately owned or leased property. An agency is authorized to disclose the confidential and exempt information:

- To the property owner or leaseholder;
- In furtherance of the official duties and responsibilities of the agency holding the information;
- To another local, state or federal agency in furtherance of that agency’s official duties and responsibilities; or
- Upon a showing of good cause before a court of competent jurisdiction.

Any portion of a meeting that would reveal a security or firesafety system plan or portion thereof is exempt from public meetings requirements.

**Building Plans, Blueprints, Schematic Drawings and Diagrams**

Section 119.071(3)(b)1., F.S., makes confidential and exempt from the public record requirements:

Building plans, blueprints, schematic drawings, and diagrams, including draft, preliminary, and final formats, which depict the internal layout and structural elements of a building, arena, stadium, water treatment facility, or other structure owned by an agency.

This information may be disclosed to:

- To another governmental entity if disclosure is necessary for the receiving entity to perform its duties and responsibilities;
- To a licensed architect, engineer, or contractor who is performing work on or related to the building, arena, stadium, water treatment facility, or other structure owned or operated by an agency; or
- Upon a showing of good cause before a court of competent jurisdiction.

The entities or persons receiving such information must maintain the exempt status of the information.

Section 119.071(3)(c)1., F.S., provides:

Building plans, blueprints, schematic drawings, and diagrams, including draft, preliminary, and final formats, which depict the internal layout or structural elements of an attractions and recreation facility, entertainment or resort complex, industrial complex, retail and service development, office development, health care facility, or hotel or motel development.

---

48 Section 119.071(3)(a)2., F.S.
49 Section 119.071(a)3., F.S.
50 Section 286.0113(1), F.S.
51 Section 119.071(3)(b)3., F.S.
52 Section 119.071(3)(b)4., F.S.
which records are held by an agency are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.53

This information may be disclosed to:
- Another governmental entity if disclosure is necessary for the receiving entity to perform its duties and responsibilities;
- The owners of the structure in question or the owner’s legal representative; or
- Upon a showing of good cause before a court of competent jurisdiction.54

Section 119.071(3)(c)4., F.S., specifies that this comprehensive plans or site plans, or amendment thereto, which are submitted for approval or which have been approved under local land development regulations, local zoning regulations, or development-of-regional-impact review do not fall under the exemption in this paragraph.

**Information relating to the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network**

Section 119.071(3)(d)1., F.S., makes confidential and exempt from the public records requirements information relating to the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network established pursuant to 47 U.S.C. ss. 1401 et seq., held by an agency if the release would reveal certain information pertaining to network facilities and network infrastructure.55

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** amends s. 119.071(3), F.S., to create a public record exemption for:
- Building plans, blueprints, schematic drawings, and diagrams, including draft, preliminary, and final formats, which depict the structural elements of 911 or E911 communication system infrastructure owned and operated by an agency, including towers and antennae; and
- Geographical maps indicating the actual or proposed locations of 911 or E911 communication system infrastructure owned and operated by an agency (collectively, 911 or E911 Plans and Maps).

The bill specifies that the public record exemption for 911 or E911 Information applies retroactively. Thus, the 911 or E911 Plans and Maps in existence prior to the effective date of the bill will be protected by the exemptions.

The bill permits disclosure of the exempt information to:
- Another governmental entity if disclosure is necessary for the receiving entity to perform its duties and responsibilities;

---
53 This paragraph provides definitions for “attractions and recreation facility,” “entertainment or resort complex,” “Industrial complex,” “retail and service development,” “office development,” “health care facility,” “hotel or motel development.” See Section 119.071(3)(c)5., F.S.
54 Section 119.071(3)(c)3., F.S.
55 See Section 119.071(3)(d)1., F.S.
A licensed architect, engineer, or contractor who is performing work on or related to the 911 or E911 communication system infrastructure, including towers, antennae, equipment or facilities used to provide 911 or E911 communication services, or other 911 or E911 communication structures or facilities owned and operated by an agency; or

Upon a showing of good cause before a court of competent jurisdiction.

The entities or persons receiving such information are charged with maintaining the exempt status of the information.

The bill provides for repeal of the public records exemption on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

Section 2 amends s. 286.0113, F.S., to create a public meeting exemption for any portion of a meeting that would reveal 911 or E911 Plans or Maps.

No portion of an exempt meeting may be off the record, and all exempt portions of such a meeting must be recorded and transcribed. The recordings and transcripts are confidential and exempt from disclosure unless a court of competent jurisdiction, after an in-camera review, determines that the meeting was not restricted to the discussion of the exempt information made exempt. If such a judicial determination is made, only that portion of the recording and transcript which reveals nonexempt information may be disclosed to a third party.

This bill provides for repeal of the public meetings exemption on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

Section 3 provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution, which states that the exemptions are a public necessity to ensure the security of emergency communication infrastructure, structures, and facilities.

The bill takes effect upon becoming a law.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

Not applicable. The bill does not require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records or public meetings requirements. This bill enacts a new public records exemption for building plans, blueprints, schematic drawings, and diagrams depicting the
structural elements of 911 or E911 communication system infrastructure, and for geographical maps indicating the location of 911 or E911 communication system infrastructure, owned and operated by an agency. It also enacts a new public meetings records exemption for that portion of a public meeting where these records are discussed. Thus, the bill requires a two-thirds vote to be enacted.

**Public Necessity Statement**

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements to state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption. Section 3 of the bill contains a statement of public necessity for the exemptions.

**Breadth of Exemption**

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires an exemption to the public records requirements to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The purpose of the law is to protect 911 or E911 communication system infrastructure from any disruption during an active shooter or other terror event, including cybercrime, arson, and terrorism. This bill exempts only building plans, blueprints, schematic drawings, and diagrams, including draft, preliminary, and final formats, which depict the structural elements of 911 or E911 communication system infrastructure, and geographic maps indicating the actual or proposed locations of such infrastructure from public records requirements. It also exempts only the discussion of those records from the public meetings requirements. The exemptions do not appear to be broader than necessary to accomplish the purpose of the law.

C. **Trust Funds Restrictions:**

None.

D. **State Tax or Fee Increases:**

None.

E. **Other Constitutional Issues:**

None identified.

V. **Fiscal Impact Statement:**

A. **Tax/Fee Issues:**

None.

B. **Private Sector Impact:**

The private sector will be subject to the cost, to the extent one is imposed, associated with an agency making redactions in response to a public records requests.
C. Government Sector Impact:

Government agencies will incur costs related to the redaction of records in responding to public records requests. Additionally, governmental agencies will incur costs associated with recording the closed portion of meetings wherein the confidential and exempt information is discussed.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 119.071, 286.0113.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

   (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

   CS by Innovation, Industry, and Technology on January 13, 2020:
   The committee substitute:
   • Provides for recording and transcribing exempt portions of a meeting, makes these recordings and transcripts confidential and exempt from disclosure, and provides a process for limited disclosure; and
   • Sets out legislative findings of public necessity that the recordings and transcripts of that portion of the meeting discussing the exempt records also be confidential and exempt from disclosure.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.