

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Rules

BILL: CS/CS/SB 1872

INTRODUCER: Banking and Insurance Committee; Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee; and Senator Hutson

SUBJECT: Public Records/Office of Financial Regulation/Financial Technology Sandbox Applications

DATE: February 24, 2020

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	<u>McVaney</u>	<u>McVaney</u>	<u>GO</u>	<u>Fav/CS</u>
2.	<u>Arnold</u>	<u>Knudson</u>	<u>BI</u>	<u>Fav/CS</u>
3.	<u>McVaney</u>	<u>Phelps</u>	<u>RC</u>	<u>Favorable</u>

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/CS/SB 1872 creates public record exemptions for certain records containing proprietary business information related to the Financial Technology Sandbox. Specifically, the bill makes confidential and exempt from public disclosure the following records:

- The reasons why the general law or rule requirements for which an exception or waiver is sought prevent the innovative financial product or service from being made available to consumers;
- Certain information submitted to the Office of Financial Regulation to consider in deciding whether to approve an application for the Financial Technology Sandbox; and
- Any information related to the consultation between the OFR and a sandbox participant regarding the maximum number of consumers authorized to receive the innovative financial product or service.

The bill provides that this information may be released to appropriate state and federal agencies for the purposes of investigation.

The bill provides for repeal of the exemptions on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature. The bill provides a public necessity statement as required by the State Constitution.

Government agencies will incur costs related to the redaction of records in responding to public records requests.

This bill will take effect on the same date that CS/CS/SB 1870 or similar legislation takes effect, if such legislation is adopted in the same legislative session or an extension thereof and becomes a law. At this point, CS/CS/SB 1870 takes effect July 1, 2020.

II. Present Situation:

Access to Public Records – Generally

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business.¹ The right to inspect or copy applies to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.²

Additional requirements and exemptions related to public records are found in various statutes and rules, depending on the branch of government involved. For instance, section 11.0431, Florida Statutes (F.S.), provides public access requirements for legislative records. Relevant exemptions are codified in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S., and the statutory provisions are adopted in the rules of each house of the legislature.³ Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420 governs public access to judicial branch records.⁴ Lastly, chapter 119, F.S., provides requirements for public records held by executive agencies.

Executive Agency Records – The Public Records Act

Chapter 119, F.S., known as the Public Records Act, provides that all state, county, and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person, and that providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.⁵

A public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted.⁶ The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted the statutory definition of

¹ FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(a).

² *Id.*

³ See Rule 1.48, *Rules and Manual of the Florida Senate*, (2018-2020) and Rule 14.1, *Rules of the Florida House of Representatives*, Edition 2, (2018-2020)

⁴ *State v. Wooten*, 260 So. 3d 1060 (Fla. 4th DCA 2018).

⁵ Section 119.01(1), F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines “agency” as “any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.”

⁶ Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines “public record” to mean “all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.”

“public record” to include “material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge of some type.”⁷

The Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access to public records must be provided. The Public Records Act guarantees every person’s right to inspect and copy any public record at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.⁸ A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.⁹

The Legislature may exempt public records from public access requirements by passing a general law by a two-thirds vote of both the House and the Senate.¹⁰ The exemption must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.¹¹

General exemptions from the public records requirements are contained in the Public Records Act.¹² Specific exemptions often are placed in the substantive statutes relating to a particular agency or program.¹³

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is “exempt” or “confidential and exempt.” Custodians of records designated as “exempt” are not prohibited from disclosing the record; rather, the exemption means that the custodian cannot be compelled to disclose the record.¹⁴ Custodians of records designated as “confidential and exempt” may not disclose the record except under circumstances specifically defined by the Legislature.¹⁵

Financial Technology Sandbox

CS/CS/SB 1870 (2020), which this bill is linked to, creates the Financial Technology Sandbox within the Office of Financial Regulation (OFR). The Financial Technology Sandbox is intended to allow financial technology innovators to test innovative financial products or services in a supervised, flexible regulatory sandbox, using waivers of specified general law and corresponding rule requirements under defined conditions.

⁷ *Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc., Inc.*, 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

⁸ Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S.

⁹ Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

¹⁰ FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(c).

¹¹ *Id.* See, e.g., *Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. News-Journal Corp.*, 724 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 1999) (holding that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption); *Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc.*, 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004) (holding that a statutory provision written to bring another party within an existing public records exemption is unconstitutional without a public necessity statement).

¹² See, e.g., s. 119.071(1)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure examination questions and answer sheets of examinations administered by a governmental agency for the purpose of licensure).

¹³ See, e.g., s. 213.053(2)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure information contained in tax returns received by the Department of Revenue).

¹⁴ See *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So. 2d 683, 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

¹⁵ *WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So. 2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

CS/CS/SB 1872 makes confidential and exempt from public inspection and copying the following records relating to the Financial Technology Sandbox because they will contain proprietary business information:

- The reasons why the general law or rule requirements for which a waiver is sought prevent the innovative financial product or service from being made available to consumers;
- Specified information that the OFR must consider in deciding whether to approve or deny an application for the Financial Technology Sandbox; and
- Any information related to the consultation between the OFR and a sandbox participant regarding the maximum number of consumers authorized to receive the innovative financial product or service.

The bill provides that this information may be released to appropriate state and federal agencies for the purposes of investigation.

The bill provides a statement of public necessity as required by the Florida Constitution. It includes the following legislative findings:

- The disclosure of the proprietary business information relating to the innovative financial technology products and services could adversely affect the business interests of the financial technology sandbox applicants.
- Those entities and individuals who would otherwise disclose proprietary business information in their applications to the Office of Financial Regulation to start a business in this state or who would maintain records relating to their innovative financial products or services were they already established here would hesitate to cooperate with the office, and this lack of cooperation would impair the effective and efficient administration of governmental functions.
- Disclosure of such information would impair competition in the financial technology industry because competitors could use the information to impede full and fair competition in the financial technology industry to the disadvantage of consumers.
- Without the exemption from public records requirements that would protect their proprietary business information, financial technology innovators might elect to establish their business in another state with a more secure business environment.
- Any proprietary business information in the Financial Technology Sandbox applications, any records maintained by financial technology innovators relating to their financial products or services, and specified discussions with the office on their financial products or services must be held confidential and exempt from disclosure.

The public record exemptions are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will be repealed on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

Not applicable. The bill does not require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities

have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements. This bill exempts from public inspection and copying certain information used by the Office of Financial Regulation to decide whether to approve an application for the Financial Technology Sandbox. Thus, the bill requires a two-thirds vote to be enacted.

Public Necessity Statement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records disclosure requirements to state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption. Section 2 of the bill contains statements of public necessity for justifying the exemption for proprietary business information.

Breadth of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires an exemption to the public records requirements to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The purpose of the law is to encourage innovative financial products or services to be made available to Florida consumers. This bill exempts proprietary information of the business entity developing a new product or service. The exemption does not appear to be more broad than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The private sector will be subject to the cost associated with an agency making redactions in response to a public records request.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Government agencies will incur costs related to the redaction of records in responding to public records requests.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 559.952 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:**A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:**
(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)**CS/CS by Banking and Insurance on February 19, 2020:**

- Updates statutory references to conform to CS/CS/SB 1870.

CS by Governmental Oversight and Accountability on February 10, 2020:

- Shifts the protected records from those “made available to the commissioner” to those “submitted to the office” of Financial Regulation.
- Narrows the public records exemption (consistent with the public necessity statement) to make confidential and exempt only proprietary business information submitted to the office.

B. Amendments:

None.