Bill No. HB 141 (2021)

Amendment No.1

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE	ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Judiciary Committee Representative Leek offered the following:

Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove lines 27-78 and insert:

responsibilities, and joys, of childrearing. Except as set forth <u>herein</u>, there is no presumption for or against the father or mother of the child or for or against any specific time-sharing schedule when creating or modifying the parenting plan of the child.

11 2. The court shall order that the parental responsibility 12 for a minor child be shared by both parents unless the court 13 finds that shared parental responsibility would be detrimental 14 to the child. <u>The following evidence creates a rebuttable</u> 15 presumption of detriment to the child: <u>that</u>

082365 - h0141-line27.docx

Published On: 3/16/2021 6:32:08 PM

Page 1 of 4

Bill No. HB 141 (2021)

Amendment No.1

16	(a) A parent has been convicted of a misdemeanor of the
17	first degree or higher involving domestic violence, as defined
18	in s. 741.28 and chapter 775 <u>. or</u>
19	(b) A parent meets the criteria of s. 39.806(1)(d). $- au$
20	(c) A parent has been convicted of or had adjudication
21	withheld for an offense enumerated in s. 943.0435(1)(h)1.a. and
22	at the time of the offense:
23	(I) The parent was 18 years of age or older, and
24	(II) The victim was under 18 years of age or the parent
25	believed the victim to under 18 years of age. creates a
26	rebuttable presumption of detriment to the child.
27	
28	If the presumption is not rebutted after the convicted parent is
29	advised by the court that the presumption exists, shared
30	parental responsibility, including time-sharing with the child,
31	and decisions made regarding the child, may not be granted to
32	the convicted parent. However, the convicted parent is not
33	relieved of any obligation to provide financial support. If the
34	court determines that shared parental responsibility would be
35	detrimental to the child, it may order sole parental
36	responsibility and make such arrangements for time-sharing as
37	specified in the parenting plan as will best protect the child
38	or abused spouse from further harm. Whether or not there is a
39	conviction of any offense of domestic violence or child abuse or
40	the existence of an injunction for protection against domestic
(082365 - h0141-line27.docx
	Published On: 3/16/2021 6:32:08 PM

Bill No. HB 141 (2021)

Amendment No.1

41 violence, the court shall consider evidence of domestic violence42 or child abuse as evidence of detriment to the child.

43 In ordering shared parental responsibility, the court a. 44 may consider the expressed desires of the parents and may grant 45 to one party the ultimate responsibility over specific aspects 46 of the child's welfare or may divide those responsibilities 47 between the parties based on the best interests of the child. Areas of responsibility may include education, health care, and 48 49 any other responsibilities that the court finds unique to a 50 particular family.

51 b. The court shall order sole parental responsibility for 52 a minor child to one parent, with or without time-sharing with 53 the other parent if it is in the best interests of the minor 54 child.

55 <u>3. A parent who has been convicted of or had adjudication</u> 56 <u>withheld for an offense enumerated in s. 943.0435(1)(h)1.a.</u> 57 <u>creates a presumption against time-sharing for the parent if at</u> 58 <u>the time of the offense:</u>

59 <u>a. The parent was 18 years of age or older, or</u>
<u>b. The victim was under 18 years of age or the parent</u>
<u>believed the victim to be under 18 years of age.</u>
62
63 <u>The parent may rebut the presumption upon a specific finding in</u>
64 <u>writing by the court that the parent poses no significant risk</u>
65 <u>of harm to the child and that time-sharing is in the best</u>
082365 - h0141-line27.docx
Published On: 3/16/2021 6:32:08 PM

Page 3 of 4

Bill No. HB 141 (2021)

Amendment No.1

66	interest of the child. If the presumption is rebutted, the court
67	shall consider all time-sharing factors set forth in subsection
68	<u>(3).</u>
69	
70	
71	TITLE AMENDMENT
72	Remove everything before the enacting clause and insert:
73	An act relating to a parental responsibility and minor time-
74	sharing for a parent convicted of or had adjudication withheld
75	for a specified offense; amending s. 61.13, F.S.; creating a
76	rebuttable presumption against shared parental responsibility
77	for certain parents convicted of or had adjudication withheld
78	for a specified offense; creating a rebuttable presumption
79	against time-sharing for certain parents convicted of or had
80	adjudication withheld for a specified offense; providing an
81	effective date.
	082365 - h0141-line27.docx
	Published On: 3/16/2021 6:32:08 PM
	Page 4 of 4