CS for SB 426

 $\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{y}$ the Committee on Transportation; and Senator Boyd

	596-02654-21 2021426c1
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to state preemption of seaport
3	regulations; creating s. 311.25, F.S.; prohibiting a
4	local ballot initiative or referendum from restricting
5	maritime commerce in the seaports of this state;
6	providing that certain local initiatives or
7	referendums relating to such restrictions are
8	prohibited and void; prohibiting certain
9	municipalities and municipal special districts from
10	adopting specified restrictions or regulations on
11	maritime commerce in the seaports of this state with
12	respect to any federally authorized passenger cruise
13	vessel; providing that certain local actions relating
14	to such restrictions or regulations are prohibited and
15	void; providing a directive to the Division of Law
16	Revision; providing an effective date.
17	
18	WHEREAS, maritime commerce between and among seaports, both
19	foreign and domestic, is the subject of extensive federal and
20	state regulation designed to protect the marine environment and
21	the health, safety, and welfare of the general public and those
22	involved in conducting that commerce, and
23	WHEREAS, the economic impact of a seaport extends far
24	beyond the boundaries of the local jurisdiction in which the
25	port is located, materially contributing to the economies of
26	multiple cities and counties within the region and to the
27	economy of this state as a whole, and
28	WHEREAS, Florida seaports currently generate nearly 900,000
29	direct and indirect jobs and contribute \$117.6 billion in

Page 1 of 5

CS for SB 426

	596-02654-21 2021426c1
30	economic value to this state through cargo and cruise
31	activities, accounting for approximately 13 percent of this
32	state's gross domestic product and \$4.2 billion in state and
33	local taxes, and
34	WHEREAS, because this state is a peninsula, much of this
35	state is highly dependent upon the unimpeded flow of maritime
36	commerce through its seaports, which is made even more critical
37	when this state is threatened or impacted by natural disasters,
38	such as tropical storms and hurricanes, and
39	WHEREAS, because of its geographic location, this state is
40	a hub for global maritime commerce and is uniquely positioned to
41	capture an even larger share of this commerce as global trade
42	routes shift, and
43	WHEREAS, the international, national, statewide, and
44	regional importance of Florida seaports has long been recognized
45	in federal and state law with respect to the regulation,
46	planning, and public financing of seaport operations and
47	facilities, and
48	WHEREAS, this state is widely known as the cruise capital
49	of the world, and the cruise industry is vital to this state's
50	economy, contributing more than \$9 billion in direct spending on
51	an annual basis and supporting 159,000 jobs with more than \$8
52	billion in total wages and salaries before the current pandemic,
53	and
54	WHEREAS, 8.3 million passengers boarded cruises from one of
55	this state's five cruise ports in 2019, accounting for 60
56	percent of embarkations in the United States, generating 11
57	million passenger and crew onshore visits in both home port and
58	transit port calls in this state, and

Page 2 of 5

596-02654-21

2021426c1

59 WHEREAS, allowing a voter initiative or referendum in each 60 local seaport jurisdiction to impose its own requirements on the 61 maritime commerce conducted in that port could result in abrupt 62 changes in the supply lines bringing goods into and out of this 63 state and therefore could reasonably be expected to suppress such commerce and potentially drive it out of the port and out 64 65 of this state in search of a more consistent and predictable 66 operating environment, thus disrupting this state's economy and 67 threatening the public's health, safety, and welfare, and

68 WHEREAS, allowing a voter initiative or referendum in each 69 local seaport jurisdiction to impose its own requirements on the 70 maritime commerce conducted in that port could result in abrupt 71 changes in vessel traffic, frustrating the multiyear planning 72 process for all Florida seaports and the assumptions and 73 forecasts underlying federal and state financing of port 74 improvement projects, and

75 WHEREAS, there are similar concerns regarding the capacity 76 of a municipality or municipal special district to impose such 77 requirements on the maritime commerce conducted in a port, as 78 the more limited geographic and political scope of a municipality or municipal special district may make such entity 79 80 less sensitive to the negative impact of such requirements on 81 neighboring municipalities and on the county, region, and state, 82 and

83 WHEREAS, many local economies in this state depend heavily 84 on tourism, on which the surrounding politics can be 85 particularly complex at a municipal level, significantly 86 heightening the concern of municipalities and municipal special 87 districts that place local requirements on passenger cruise

Page 3 of 5

CS for SB 426

	596-02654-21 2021426c1
88	vessels or cruise lines, and
89	WHEREAS, in light of these potential negative impacts, the
90	permissible scope of local voter initiatives or referendums and
91	of the powers of a municipality or municipal special district
92	must be appropriately limited, NOW, THEREFORE,
93	
94	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
95	
96	Section 1. Section 311.25, Florida Statutes, is created to
97	read:
98	311.25 Regulation of commerce in Florida seaports
99	(1)(a) A local ballot initiative or referendum may not
100	restrict maritime commerce in the seaports of this state,
101	including, but not limited to, restricting such commerce based
102	on any of the following:
103	1. Vessel type, size, number, or capacity.
104	2. Number, origin, nationality, embarkation, or
105	disembarkation of passengers or crew or their entry into this
106	state or any local jurisdiction.
107	3. Source, type, loading, or unloading of cargo.
108	4. Environmental or health records of a particular vessel
109	or vessel line.
110	(b) Any local ballot initiative or referendum, or any local
111	law, charter amendment, ordinance, resolution, regulation, or
112	policy adopted in a local ballot initiative or referendum, in
113	violation of this subsection which was adopted before, on, or
114	after the effective date of this act is prohibited and void.
115	(2)(a) Except for a municipality that is also a county as
116	defined in s. 125.011(1), a municipality or political

Page 4 of 5

	596-02654-21 2021426c1
117	subdivision thereof or a special district within the boundaries
118	of a single municipality may not restrict maritime commerce in
119	the seaports of this state with respect to any federally
120	authorized passenger cruise vessel based on any of the
121	following:
122	1. Vessel type, size, number, or capacity, except when the
123	port is physically unable to accommodate a passenger cruise
124	vessel pursuant to applicable federal or state laws or
125	regulations.
126	2. Number, origin, nationality, embarkation, or
127	disembarkation of passengers or crew or their entry into this
128	state or any local jurisdiction.
129	3. Source, type, loading, or unloading of cargo related or
130	incidental to its use as a passenger cruise vessel.
131	4. Environmental or health records of a particular
132	passenger cruise vessel or cruise line.
133	(b) Any provision of a law, a charter, an ordinance, a
134	resolution, a regulation, a policy, an initiative, or a
135	referendum which is in conflict with this subsection and which
136	existed before, on, or after the effective date of this act is
137	prohibited and void.
138	Section 2. The Division of Law Revision is directed to
139	replace the phrase "the effective date of this act" wherever it
140	occurs in this act with the date this act becomes a law.
141	Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 5 of 5