# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepar	ed By: The I	Professional St	aff of the Committee	e on Appropriation	S
BILL:	CS/CS/SB	1798				
INTRODUCER:	Appropriations Committee; Criminal Justice Committee; and Senator Book					
SUBJECT:	Sexually Related Offenses					
DATE: March 2, 2022 REVISED:						
ANALYST		STAFF DIRECTOR		REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Stokes		Jones		CJ	Fav/CS	
. Moody		Cox		CF	Favorable	
3. Atchley		Sadberry		AP	Fav/CS	

# Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

# I. Summary:

CS/CS/SB 1798 creates section 836.13, Florida Statutes, to provide criminal and civil penalties for persons who promote certain altered sexual depictions. Colloquially known as "deep fakes," these images often depict individuals engaging in sexual behavior that they did not engage in.

Specifically, this bill provides that a person commits a third degree felony when he or she willfully and maliciously promotes any altered sexual depiction of an identifiable person, without the consent of the identifiable person, and who knows or reasonably should have known that such visual depiction was an altered sexual depiction.

The bill also creates section 836.14, Florida Statutes, to provide criminal and civil penalties relating to theft or unauthorized promotion of a sexually explicit image. A person commits a third degree felony when he or she:

- Commits a theft of a sexually explicit image with the intent to promote such image; or
- Willfully possesses with the intent to promote a sexually explicit image that he or she knows or should have known was obtained in violation of the offense described above.

The bill provides a higher penalty, a second degree felony, when a person willfully promotes for the purpose of pecuniary or any type of financial gain a sexually explicit image of an identifiable person without that person's consent.

Additionally, the felony offenses created in this bill are ranked in the offense severity ranking chart of the Criminal Punishment Code.

The bill, throughout the Florida Statutes, replaces the term "child pornography," with "child sexual abuse material." The bill expands this term to include any image that has been created, altered, adapted, or modified by electronic, mechanical, or other means, to portray an identifiable minor engaged in sexual conduct.

The bill further amends s. 827.071, F.S., to replace the phrase "any sexual conduct by a child," with the term "child sexual abuse material." The term "child sexual abuse material," includes images depicting any sexual conduct by a child.

The bill amends s. 775.0847, F.S., to replace the term "movie" with "motion picture, film, video, or computer-generated motion picture, film, or video," for purposes of enhancing specified offenses relating to child sexual abuse material or obscenity.

The bill increases the minimum monetary damages from \$5,000 to \$10,000 that a victim of sexual cyberharassment may receive as a result of a civil action.

The bill provides that a law enforcement officer may arrest without a warrant any person who he or she has probable cause to believe possesses a child-like sex doll.

The bill amends s. 828.126, F.S., to remove the term "sexual conduct" and revise the term "sexual contact with an animal," to encompass acts previously defined under "sexual conduct."

The bill amends the prohibited conduct to include that a person may not knowingly: advertise, offer, solicit, or accept an offer of an animal for the purpose of sexual contact with such animal; or film, distribute, or possess any pornographic image or video of a person and an animal engaged in any prohibited acts related to sexual activities involving an animal.

The bill increases the penalty for sexual contact with an animal from a first degree misdemeanor to a third degree felony, and provides that the court must issue an order prohibiting a convicted person from specified behaviors that place them in close proximity to an animal. The bill also provides exceptions from criminal liability. This offense is ranked in the offense severity ranking chart of the Criminal Punishment Code.

Additionally, the bill provides conforming cross-references.

The bill may have a positive indeterminate fiscal impact (unquantifiable increase in prison beds and jail beds) on the Department of Corrections and local jails. See Section V. Fiscal Impact Statement.

The bill is effective October 1, 2022.

#### II. Present Situation:

With technology advancing at a rapid rate, states and the federal government are attempting to craft laws to address issues arising as a result of such technology. Many of these issues relate to the creation or dissemination of sexually explicit material including, nonconsensual pornography of adults, sexually explicit deep fake images of adults, and morphed child pornography.

## **Deep Fakes**

Deep fakes are realistic images or videos that are created using artificial intelligence (AI) and often depict a real person saying something they did not say, or engaging in a behavior they did not engage in. The use of AI to generate a deep fake image is causing concern because the results are increasingly realistic, rapidly created, and inexpensively made. Software to create such images is often free and publicly available.<sup>1</sup>

While there may be beneficial uses, deep fake technology may also pose a harm to individuals. Deep fakes may be used to spread false information, or used to embarrass, humiliate, exploit, or sabotage others.<sup>2</sup>

# Legislation in Other States

Several states provide criminal or civil liability for creating or distributing deep fake images. The states that have enacted laws relating to deep fake images include: Virginia,<sup>3</sup> Hawaii,<sup>4</sup> California,<sup>5</sup> and Texas.<sup>6</sup>

Currently, no states completely ban the creation or distribution of all deep fakes. A complete ban of such images would likely run afoul of constitutional protections under the First Amendment. However, certain categories of speech, including defamation, fraud, true threats, and the imminent-and likely incitement of violence, do not receive protections under the First Amendment. Some deep fakes will likely fall into one of those categories and therefore may be regulated.

The potential for harm stemming from deep fake images is often explored in the context of nonconsensual deep fake pornography. "The core issue of nonconsensual pornography is consent, and deep fake pornography adds an additional layer because the individual depicted did not actually engage in the sexual behavior [he or she] is depicted as doing."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In Focus, Congressional Research Service, *Deep Fakes and National Security*, June 8, 2021, available at <a href="https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/IF/IF11333">https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/IF/IF11333</a> (last visited February 28, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> California Law Review, *Deep Fakes: A Looming Challenge for Privacy, Democracy, and National Security*, Bobby Chesney and Danielle Citron, 2019 Vol. 107:1753, p. 1771-74, (on file with Senate Criminal Justice Committee).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 18.2-386.2., V.A.C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 711-1110.9., H.R.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 1708.86., C.C.C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 255.004, V.T.C.A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> United States v. Alvarez, 567 U.S. 709 (2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> California Law Review, *Deep Fakes: A Looming Challenge for Privacy, Democracy, and National Security*, Bobby Chesney and Danielle Citron, 2019 Vol. 107:1753, p. 1791, (on file with Senate Criminal Justice Committee).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Northwestern University Law Review, *Deepfake Privacy: Attitudes and Regulation*, Mathew B. Kugler and Carly Pace, 2021Vol 116:611, p. 624-25, (on file with Senate Criminal Justice Committee).

# Nonconsensual Pornography

Many states, including Florida, ban nonconsensual pornography, otherwise known as "revenge porn." Such bans have been consistently upheld by the courts. <sup>10</sup> The courts have found a compelling state interest in protecting individuals from the nonconsensual dissemination of private sexual images. "Those who are unwillingly exposed to their friends, family, bosses, coworkers, teachers, fellow students, or random strangers on the internet are often deeply and permanently scarred by the experience." <sup>11</sup>

Section 784.049, F.S., provides that sexual cyberharassment means to publish to an Internet website or disseminate through electronic means to another person a sexually explicit image of a person that contains or conveys the personal identification information of the depicted person without the depicted person's consent, contrary to the depicted person's reasonable expectation that the image would remain private, for no legitimate purpose, with the intent of causing substantial emotional distress to the depicted person.

It is a first degree misdemeanor<sup>12</sup> to willfully and maliciously sexually cyberharass another person. A second or subsequent violation is a third degree felony.<sup>13</sup> In addition to criminal penalties, an aggrieved person may initiate a civil action to obtain injunctive relief, a minimum of \$5,000 in monetary damages, and reasonable attorney fees and costs.<sup>14</sup>

There is currently no state law prohibiting the unlawful procuring, or possession of a sexually explicit image with the intent of selling or disseminating such image. Such crimes in Florida may only be charged under current theft<sup>15</sup> laws if applicable.

#### Child Pornography

Generally, the First Amendment does not protect child pornography. In *New York v. Ferber*, <sup>16</sup> the Supreme Court of the United States recognized that states have a compelling interest in safeguarding the physical and psychological well-being of minors and in preventing their sexual exploitation and abuse. The Court noted that it was "unlikely that visual depictions of children . . . lewdly exhibiting their genitals would often constitute an important and necessary part of a literary performance or scientific or educational work."

The use of AI has also been used to create child pornography, sometimes referred to as "morphing." The Federal Government prohibits such images, however, the Supreme Court of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Minnesota v. Casillas, 952 N.W. 2d 629, 642 (Minnesota 2020); Vermont v. VanBuren, 210 Vt. 293 (Vermont 2019); Illinois v. Austin, 2019 IL 123910, (Illinois 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Minnesota v. Casillas, 952 N.W. 2d 629, 642 (Minnesota 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> A first degree misdemeanor is punishable by up to a year in county jail and a fine not exceeding \$1,000. Sections 775.082 and 775.083, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Section 784.049(3), F.S. A third degree felony is punishable by up to five years imprisonment and up to a \$5,000 fine. Sections 775.082 and 775.083, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Section 784.049(5), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See ch. 812, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> New York v. Ferber, 458 U.S. 747 (1982).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> *Id*. at 763.

United States has found that the child or minor depicted in the image must be a real minor for such bans to pass constitutional muster. <sup>18</sup> Under these principles, states have constitutionally been able to criminalize the possession, distribution, etc., of child pornography. However, the constitutionality of criminalizing such acts is less clear when the images at issue are morphed pornography.

# Child Pornography Prevention Action of 1996

In 1996, Congress passed the Child Pornography Prevention Action of 1996 (CPPA), <sup>19</sup> which created a definition of "child pornography." This criminalized, for the first time, acts relating to morphed child pornography. Under the CPPA, "child pornography" was defined as:

- (8) Any visual depiction, including any photograph, film, video, picture, or computer or computer-generated image or picture, whether made or produced by electronic, mechanical, or other means, of sexually explicit conduct, <sup>20</sup> where:
- (A) The production of such visual depiction involves the use of a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct;
- (B) Such visual depiction is, or appears to be, of a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct (i.e., *virtual child pornography created without using an actual child*);
- (C) Such visual depiction has been created, adapted, or modified to appear that an identifiable minor<sup>21</sup> is engaging in sexually explicit conduct (i.e., *morphed child pornography*); or
- (D) Such visual depiction is advertised, promoted, presented, described, or distributed in such a manner that conveys the impression that the material is or contains a visual depiction of a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct.<sup>22</sup>

In 2002, the United States Supreme Court decided *Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition*, <sup>23</sup> a case in which a California trade association for the adult-entertainment industry challenged section 2256(8)(B) of the CPPA as unconstitutionally overbroad. As noted above, section 2256(8)(B) made it a crime to possess or distribute images depicting a child or what appears to be a child, engaging in sexually explicit conduct (i.e., virtual child pornography).<sup>24</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition, 535 U.S. 234 (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Pub. L. No. 104-208, s. 121.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The term "sexually explicit conduct" was defined as actual or simulated sexual intercourse (including genital-genital, oralgenital, anal-genital, or oral-anal) whether between persons of the same or opposite sex; bestiality; masturbation; sadistic or masochistic abuse; or lascivious exhibition of the genitals or pubic area of any person. 18 U.S.C. s. 2256(2) (1996 ed.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> The term "identifiable minor" was defined as a person who is recognizable as an actual person by the person's face, likeness, or other distinguishing characteristic, such as a unique birthmark or other recognizable feature, and: who was a minor at the time the visual depiction was created, adapted, or modified; or whose image as a minor was used in creating, adapting, or modifying the visual depiction. The term was not be construed to require proof of the actual identity of the identifiable minor. 18 U.S.C. s. 2556(9) (1996 ed.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> 18 U.S.C. s. 2556(8) (1996 ed.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> 535 U.S. 234 (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> 18 U.S.C. s. 2556(8) (1996 ed.).

The Court held that the "speech" criminalized in the challenged provision of the CPPA violated the First Amendment because it extended the federal prohibition against child pornography to sexually explicit images that appeared to depict minors but were produced without using any real children. The Court decided that by prohibiting child pornography that did not depict an actual child, section 2256(8)(B) of the CPPA "abridged the freedom to engage in a substantial amount of lawful speech" and was therefore overbroad and unconstitutional. The court decided that the court decided that by prohibiting child pornography that did not depict an actual child, section 2256(8)(B) of the CPPA "abridged the freedom to engage in a substantial amount of lawful speech" and was therefore overbroad and unconstitutional.

The *Ashcroft* decision did not specifically address the constitutionality of 18 U.S.C. 2256(8)(C) (prohibiting *morphed* child pornography), it did note, in dictum, that "[a]lthough morphed images may fall within the definition of virtual child pornography, they implicate the interests of real children. . "<sup>27</sup> Courts have taken this dictum to suggest that the *Ashcroft* court would have deemed morphed child pornography as not protected by the First Amendment. <sup>28</sup>

# Prosecutorial Remedies and Other Tools to end the Exploitation of Children Today Act (Protect Act)

Congress attempted to remedy the constitutional issues raised in *Ashcroft* by passing the "Prosecutorial Remedies and Other Tools to end the Exploitation of Children Today Act" (Protect Act) in 2003.<sup>29</sup> The Protect Act, in part, narrowed the definition of "virtual" child pornography in section (8)(B) of the CPPA to include virtual or computer-generated images that are "indistinguishable from" images of actual minors engaging in sexually explicit conduct.<sup>30</sup>

Notably, the definition of "morphed" child pornography contained in section 2256(8)(C) remained unchanged between the CPPA and the Protect Act.

# Case Law since the Passage of the Protect Act

To date, the federal statutes relating to morphed child pornography have been upheld.<sup>31</sup> In *United States v. Bach*,<sup>32</sup> the defendant was convicted of possessing morphed child pornography. The image at issue showed a young nude boy sitting in a tree, grinning, with his pelvis tilted upward, his legs opened wide, and a full erection.<sup>33</sup> The photograph of a well-known child entertainer's head had been "skillfully inserted onto the photograph of the nude boy so that the resulting image appeared to be a nude picture of [the child entertainer] sitting in the tree."<sup>34</sup>

The defendant appealed arguing that his conviction was invalid because the definition of morphed child pornography violated the First Amendment. The United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit disagreed, holding that morphed child pornography "implicate the interests of a real child," and creates a lasting record of an identifiable minor child seemingly engaged in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Ashcroft, 535 U.S. at 256.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> *Id*. at 242.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> McFadden v. Alabama, 67 So. 3d 169, 181-182 (Ala. Crim. App. 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Pub. L. No. 108-21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> 18 U.S.C. s. 2256(8)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> See United States v. Ramos, 685 F. 3d 120, 134 (2d Cir. 2012), cert. denied, 133 S.Ct. 567 (2012); see also Doe v. Boland, 630 F. 3d 491, 497 (6th Cir. 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> United States v. Bach, 400 F. 3d 622 (8th Cir. 2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> *Id*. at 625.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *Id*.

sexually explicit activity.<sup>35</sup> The court noted that there may be instances when the "application of s. 2256(8)(C) violates the First Amendment, this is not such a case. This image involves the type of harm which can constitutionally be prosecuted under [Ashcroft] and Ferber."<sup>36</sup>

In *United States v. Anderson*, the defendant was charged with distribution of morphed child pornography relating to an image in which the face of a minor female was superimposed over the face of an adult female engaging in sex with an adult male.<sup>37</sup> The defendant moved to dismiss the charge, arguing that the definition of morphed child pornography was unconstitutionally overbroad.<sup>38</sup> The court noted that the image at issue was different from the one in *Bach* in that "no minor was sexually abused."<sup>39</sup> However, the court held that because such images falsely portray identifiable children engaging in sexual activity, such images implicate the government's compelling interest in protecting minors. Using this reasoning, the court held that the definition of morphed child pornography was constitutional.<sup>40</sup>

# Florida Child Pornography Laws

Child pornography is defined, as *any* image depicting a minor<sup>41</sup> engaged in sexual conduct.<sup>42, 43</sup> Florida law currently contains a variety of statutes that prohibit acts relating to child pornography. Currently, these statutes are found in two different chapters, ch. 827, F.S., relating to the abuse of children, and ch. 847, F.S., relating to obscenity.

In recent years, individuals have started using AI to create child pornography, e.g., images depicting sexually explicit conduct in which an actual child's head has been superimposed onto an adult's body.<sup>44</sup> Florida's child pornography laws do not include morphed pornography.

In 2010, Florida's Second DCA held that images that depicted the heads and faces of two children, ages 11 and 12, which were cut and pasted onto images of a 19 year old woman lewdly exhibiting her genitals did not constitute child pornography.<sup>45</sup> The court closely examined the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> *Id.* at 632.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> *Id. See also United States v. Hotaling*, 634 F. 3d 725 (2d Cir. 2008), cert. denied, 132 S.Ct. 843 (2011) (citing *Bach*, the Court held that "child pornography created by digitally altering sexually explicit photographs of adults to display the face of a child is not protected expressive speech under the First Amendment").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> 759 F. 3d 891 (8th Cir. 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> *Id.* at 895.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> *Id*. at 896.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Section 847.001(8), F.S., provides that "minor" means any person under the age of 18 years.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> "Sexual conduct" means actual or simulated sexual intercourse, deviate sexual intercourse, sexual bestiality, masturbation, or sadomasochistic abuse; actual lewd exhibition of the genitals; actual physical contact with a person's clothed or unclothed genitals, pubic area, buttocks, or, if such person is a female, breast with the intent to arouse or gratify the sexual desire of either party; or any act or conduct which constitutes sexual battery or simulates that sexual battery is being or will be committed. A mother's breastfeeding of her baby does not under any circumstance constitute "sexual conduct." Section 847.001(16), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Section 847.001(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Computer Generated Child Pornography: A Legal Alternative? Seattle University Law Review, Vol. 22:643, 1998, available at <a href="https://digitalcommons.law.seattleu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1585&context=sulr">https://digitalcommons.law.seattleu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1585&context=sulr</a> (last visited February 28, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Stelmack v. State, 58 So. 3d 874 (Fla. 2d DCA 2010).

definition of "sexual conduct," and determined that it requires images to include actual lewd exhibition of the genitals *by a child*. 46

The court also noted that the images depicted *simulated* lewd exhibition of the genitals by a child. The state argued that s. 827.071(5), F.S., proscribed such images because they were photographs or representations "which ... *in part* ... include ... sexual conduct by a child."<sup>47</sup> The court disagreed and found that the Legislature specifically excluded *simulated* lewd exhibition from the definition of "sexual conduct." Specifically, the court stated, "[i]f the legislature had intended to proscribe the possession of composite images that simulate lewd and lascivious exhibition of the genitals, it could have included a provision doing so. In fact, child pornography has been defined in the federal statutes to specifically include composite images. . . . . . <sup>48</sup>

Section 827.071, F.S., specifies the criminal offenses for the production of child pornography and the possession and promotion of child pornography. It is a second degree felony<sup>49</sup> for a person:

- Knowing the character and content thereof, to employ, authorize, or induce a child to engage in a sexual performance. 50
- Who is a parent, legal guardian or custodian to consent for a child to participate in a sexual performance.<sup>51</sup>
- Knowing the character and content, to produce, direct, or promote<sup>52</sup> any performance which includes sexual conduct by a child.<sup>53</sup>
- To possess with the intent to promote any photograph, motion picture, exhibition, show, representation, or other presentation which, in whole or in part, includes any sexual conduct by a child.<sup>54</sup>

It is a third degree felony for a person to knowingly possess, control, or intentionally view a photograph, motion picture, exhibition, show, representation, image, data, computer depiction, or other presentation, which, in whole or in part, he or she knows to include any sexual conduct by a child.<sup>55</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> *Id*. at 877

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> *Id.* (emphasis in original).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> *Id*. at 876.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> A second degree felony is punishable by up to 15 years imprisonment and up to a \$10,000 fine. Sections 775.082 and 775.083, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Section 827.071(1)(c), F.S., provides "performance" means any play, motion picture, photograph, or dance or any other visual representation exhibited before an audience.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Section 827.071(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Section 827.071(1)(d), F.S, provides "promote" means to procure, manufacture, issue, sell, give, provide, lend, mail, deliver, transfer, transmute, publish, distribute, circulate, disseminate, present, exhibit, or advertise or to offer to agree to do the same.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Section 827.071(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Possession of three or more copies of such photographs, etc., is prima facie evidence of intent to promote.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> The statute also specifies that the possession, control, or intentional viewing of each such photograph, etc., is a separate offense. If such photograph, etc., includes sexual conduct by more than one child, then each child in each photograph, etc., that is knowingly possessed, controlled, or intentionally viewed is a separate offense.

Section 847.0137, F.S., specifies that any person who knew or reasonably should have known that he or she was transmitting<sup>56, 57</sup> child pornography to another person commits a third degree felony.

#### Child Sexual Abuse Material

There has been a recent push to replace the term "child pornography" with "child sexual abuse material." The Florida Department of Law Enforcement is one such entity that has requested this change.<sup>58</sup> Proponents of this change argue that the term "child pornography" should be avoided because:

- It fails to describe the true nature of the material and undermines the seriousness of the abuse from the child's perspective;
- Pornography is a term primarily used to describe material depicting consensual sexual acts between adults distributed for the purpose of sexual pleasure. Using the term in this context risks normalizing, trivializing, and legitimizing the sexual abuse and exploitation of children;
- Child pornography implies consent, and a child cannot legally give consent.<sup>59</sup>

#### Child-like Sex Dolls

In 2019, Florida enacted laws relating to the possession and distribution of child-like sex dolls. Section 847.011(5)(a), F.S., provides that it is a third degree felony for a first offense, and a second degree felony for a second or subsequent offense for a person to knowingly:

- Sell, lend, give away, distribute, transmit, show, or transmute;
- Offer to sell, lend, give away, distribute, transmit, show, or transmute;
- Have in his or her possession, custody, or control with the intent to sell, lend, give away, distribute, transmit, show, or transmute; or
- Advertise in any manner an obscene, child-like sex doll.<sup>60</sup>

It is a first degree misdemeanor for a first offense, and a third degree felony for a second or subsequent offense for a person to knowingly have in his or her possession, custody, or control an obscene, child-like sex doll.<sup>61</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Section 847.0137(1)(b), F.S., provides "transmit" means the act of sending and causing to be delivered any image, information, or data from one or more persons or places to one or more other persons or places over or through any medium, including the Internet, by use of any electronic equipment or device.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Smith v. Florida, 204 So. 3d 18, 19 (Fla. 2016), held that "the use of a file sharing program, where the originator affirmatively grants the receiver access to child pornography placed by the originator in files accessible through the file sharing program, constitutes the transmission of child pornography under the plain meaning of s. 847.0137, F.S."
<sup>58</sup> Florida Department of Law Enforcement. 2022 Bill Analysis for SB 1798. January 19, 2022 (on file with Senate Crimin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Florida Department of Law Enforcement, 2022 Bill Analysis for SB 1798, January 19, 2022 (on file with Senate Criminal Justice Committee).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> INHOPE, *What is Child Sexual Abuse Material?* (2022), available at <a href="https://www.inhope.org/EN/articles/child-sexual-abuse-material?locale=en">https://www.inhope.org/EN/articles/child-sexual-abuse-material?locale=en</a> (last visited February 28, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Section 847.011(5)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Section 847.011(5)(b), F.S.

# Sexual Activities Involving Animals

Approximately 48 states have laws prohibiting sexual conduct with animals. New Mexico and West Virginia do not have state laws prohibiting sexual contact with animals. A study of incidents from 1975 to 2015 found that 31.6 percent of animal sex offenders also sexually offended adults and children. Additionally, 52.9 percent of animal sex offenders had a prior or subsequent criminal record involving human sexual abuse, animal abuse, interpersonal violence, substances or property offenses.

Section 828.126, F.S., provides that it is a first degree misdemeanor for a person to knowingly:

- Engage in any sexual conduct or sexual contact with an animal;
- Cause, aid, or abet another person to engage in any sexual conduct or sexual contact with an animal;
- Permit any sexual conduct or sexual contact with an animal to be conducted on any premises under his or her charge or control; or
- Organize, promote, conduct, advertise, aid, abet, participate in as an observer, or perform any service in the furtherance of an act involving any sexual conduct or sexual contact with an animal for a commercial or recreational purpose.<sup>65</sup>

"Sexual conduct" is defined as any touching or fondling by a person, either directly or through clothing, of the sex organs or anus of an animal or any transfer or transmission of semen by the person upon any part of the animal for the purpose of sexual gratification or arousal of the person. 66

"Sexual contact" is defined as any contact, however slight, between the mouth, sex organ, or anus of a person and the sex organ or anus of an animal, or any penetration, however slight, of any part of the body of the person into the sex organ or anus of an animal, or any penetration of the sex organ or anus of the person into the mouth of the animal, for the purpose of sexual gratification or sexual arousal of the person.<sup>67</sup>

Section 828.126, F.S., does not apply to accepted animal husbandry practices, conformation judging practices, or accepted veterinary medical practices.<sup>68</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Michigan State University, Animal Legal & Historical Center, *Table of State Animal Sexual Assault Laws*, available at <a href="https://www.animallaw.info/topic/table-state-animal-sexual-assault-laws">https://www.animallaw.info/topic/table-state-animal-sexual-assault-laws</a> (last visited February 28, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> The Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law, *Arrest and Prosecution of Animal Sex Abuse (Bestiality) Offenders in the United States*, 1975 – 2015, May 2019, available at <a href="http://jaapl.org/content/early/2019/05/16/JAAPL.003836-19">http://jaapl.org/content/early/2019/05/16/JAAPL.003836-19</a> (last visited February 28, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Section 828.126(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Section 828.126(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Section 828.126(1)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Section 828.126(4), F.S.

# **Criminal Punishment Code and Offense Severity Ranking**

The Criminal Punishment Code<sup>69</sup> is Florida's primary sentencing policy. Noncapital felonies sentenced under the Code receive an offense severity level ranking (levels 1-10). Points are assigned and accrue based upon the severity level ranking assigned to the primary offense, additional offenses, and prior offenses. Sentence points escalate as the severity level escalates.

Offenses are either ranked in the offense severity level ranking chart in s. 921.0022, F.S., or are ranked by default based on a ranking assigned to the felony degree of the offense as provided in s. 921.0023, F.S. Currently, unless otherwise specifically ranked, a felony of the third degree is ranked as a level 1 offense, and a second degree felony is ranked as a level 4 offense.<sup>70</sup>

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

### **Unlawful Promotion of Sexually Explicit Material**

This bill creates two new sections of criminal law addressing the promotion, theft, and possession of certain sexually explicit material. The bill defines the following terms relating to these new crimes:

- "Altered sexual depiction" means any visual depiction that, as a result of any type of digital, electronic, mechanical, or other modification, alteration, or adaptation, depicts a realistic version of an identifiable person: with the nude body parts of another person as the nude body parts of the identifiable person; with computer-generated nude body parts as the nude body parts of the identifiable person; or engaging in sexual conduct in which the identifiable person did not engage.
- "Identifiable person" means a person who is recognizable as an actual person by the person's face, likeness, or other distinguishing characteristic, such as a unique birthmark, or other recognizable feature.
- "Nude body parts" means human male or female genitals, pubic area, or buttocks with less than fully opaque covering; or the female breast with less than fully opaque covering any portion thereof below the top of the nipple; or the depiction of covered male genitals in a discernibly turgid state. The term does not under any circumstances include a mother breastfeeding her baby.
- "Promote" means to procure, manufacture, issue, sell, give, provide, lend, mail, deliver, transfer, transmit, transmute, publish, distribute, circulate, disseminate, present, exhibit, send, post, share, or advertise or to offer or agree to do the same.
- "Sexually explicit image" means any image depicting nudity<sup>71</sup> or depicting a person engaging in sexual conduct.<sup>72</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Sections 921.002-921.0027, F.S. *See* chs. 97-194 and 98-204, L.O.F. The Code is effective for offenses committed on or after October 1, 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Section 921.0023(1) and (2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> "Nudity" means the showing of the human male or female genitals, pubic area, or buttocks with less than a fully opaque covering; or the showing of the female breast with less than a fully opaque covering of any portion thereof below the top of the nipple; or the depiction of covered male genitals in a discernibly turgid state. A mother's breastfeeding of her baby does not under any circumstance constitute "nudity," irrespective of whether or not the nipple is covered during or incidental to feeding. Section 847.001(9), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> "Sexual conduct" means actual or simulated sexual intercourse, sexual bestiality, masturbation, or sadomasochistic abuse; actual lewd exhibition of the genitals; actual physical contact with a person's clothed or unclothed genitals, pubic area,

• "Visual depiction" includes, but is not limited to, a photograph, picture, image, motion picture, film, video, or representation, regardless of whether such photograph, picture, image, motion picture, film, video, or representation was made, modified, altered, adapted, or produced by digital, electronic, mechanical, or other means.

The bill addresses deep fake images by providing a person commits a third degree felony when he or she willfully and maliciously promotes any altered sexual depiction of an identifiable person, without the consent of the identifiable person, and who knows or reasonably should have known that such visual depiction was an altered sexual depiction.<sup>73</sup>

The presence of a disclaimer within an altered sexual depiction which notifies a viewer that the person or persons depicted did not consent to or participate in the creation or promotion of the material, or that the person or persons depicted did not actually perform the actions portrayed, is not a defense and does not relieve a person of criminal liability.<sup>74</sup>

The bill criminalizes theft or unauthorized promotion of a sexually explicit image. A person commits a third degree felony when he or she:

- Commits a theft, in violation of s. 812.014, F.S., 75 of a sexually explicit image with the intent to promote such image; or
- Willfully possesses with the intent to promote a sexually explicit image that he or she knows or should have known was obtained in violation of the offense described above. <sup>76</sup>

The bill provides a higher penalty, a second degree felony, when a person willfully promotes for the purpose of pecuniary or any type of financial gain a sexually explicit image of an identifiable person without that person's consent. <sup>77</sup> Exceptions are provided for sexually explicit images involving voluntary exposure in a public or commercial setting, and sexually explicit images possessed or promoted by a bona fide news media organization for a legitimate and newsworthy purpose.

Additionally, every act, thing or transaction prohibited in these offenses constitutes a separate offense.<sup>78</sup> The bill also specifies that a violation is committed within this state if any conduct that is an element of the offense, or any harm to the depicted individual resulting from the offense, occurs in this state.<sup>79</sup>

buttocks, or, if such person is a female, breast with the intent to arouse or gratify the sexual desire of either party; or any act or conduct which constitutes sexual battery or simulates that sexual battery is being or will be committed. A mother's breastfeeding of her baby does not under any circumstance constitute sexual conduct. Section 847.001(16), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> This new offense is created in the bill under s. 836.13(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> This provision is established in the newly created s. 836.13(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Section 812.014(1), F.S., provides that a person commits theft if he or she knowingly obtains or uses, or endeavors to obtain or to use, the property of another with intent to, either temporarily or permanently: deprive the other person of a right to the property or a benefit from the property; or appropriate the property to his or her own use or to the use of any person not entitled to the use of the property.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> These new offenses are created under s. 836.14(2) and (3), F.S., respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> This new offense is created under s. 836.14(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> This provision applies to both new offenses and is established in the newly created ss. 836.13 and 836.14, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> This provision applies to both new offenses and is established in the newly created ss. 836.13 and 836.14, F.S.

Prosecution of a person for any of the above described offenses does not preclude prosecution of that person in this state for a violation of any other law of this state, including a law providing for greater penalties than prescribed in this section or any other crime related to child sexual abuse material or the sexual performance or sexual exploitation of children.

The bill creates a civil cause of action so that an aggrieved person may receive injunctive relief; monetary damages of \$10,000 or actual damages, whichever is greater; and reasonable attorney fees and costs.<sup>80</sup>

The criminal and civil penalties created for these crimes do not apply to:

- A provider of an interactive computer service, of an information service, or of a
  communications service which provides the transmission, storage, or caching of electronic
  communications or messages of others; another related telecommunications or commercial
  mobile radio service; or content provided by another person;
- A law enforcement officer, or any local, state, federal, or military law enforcement agency that disseminates a sexually explicit image in connection with the performances of his or her duties;
- A person reporting unlawful activity; or
- A person participating in a hearing, trial, or other legal proceeding.<sup>81</sup>

Additionally, the felony offenses created in this bill are ranked in the offense severity ranking chart of the Criminal Punishment Code. The third degree felony offense of promoting an altered sexual depiction created in s. 836.13, F.S., is ranked as a level 3 offense. The third degree felony offenses relating to theft or unauthorized promotion of a sexually explicit image in s. 836.14, F.S., are ranked as level 4 offenses. The second degree felony offense of promoting a sexually explicit image created in s. 836.14, F.S., is ranked as a level 5 offense.

#### **Sexual Cyberharassment**

The bill amends s. 784.049, F.S., relating to sexual cyberharassment, to increase the minimum monetary damages from \$5,000 to \$10,000 that a victim may receive as a result of a civil action.

## **Child Sexual Abuse Material and Obscenity**

The bill amends ss. 39.0138, 92.56, 92.561, 435.07, 775.0847, 827.071, 847.001, 847.0137, 847.0139, 847.002, 960.03, and 960.197, F.S., to replace the term "child pornography," with "child sexual abuse material." Additionally, the bill further amends ss. 775.0847, 827.071, and 847.001, F.S., to expand this term to include any image that has been created, altered, adapted, or modified by electronic, mechanical, or other means, to portray an identifiable minor engaged in sexual conduct.

The bill provides that "identifiable minor" means a person:

• Who was a minor at the time the image was created, altered, adapted, or modified, or whose image as a minor was used in the creating, altering, adapting, or modifying of the image; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> This provision applies to both new offenses and is established in the newly created ss. 836.13 and 836.14, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> This provision is established in ss. 836.13 and 836.14, F.S.

• Who is recognizable as an actual person by the person's face, likeness, or other distinguishing characteristic, such as a unique birthmark, or other recognizable feature.

This term may not be construed to require proof of the actual identity of the identifiable minor.

The bill further amends s. 827.071, F.S., to replace the phrase "any sexual conduct by a child," with the term "child sexual abuse material." The term "child sexual abuse material," includes images depicting any sexual conduct by a child.

The bill amends s. 775.0847, F.S., to replace the term "movie" with "motion picture, film, video, or computer-generated motion picture, film, or video," for purposes of enhancing specified offenses relating to child sexual abuse material or obscenity.

Additionally, the bill expands or adds multiple terms relating to child sexual abuse material or obscenity throughout the Florida Statutes. Specifically the bill:

- Amends the terms "minor" and "child" in ss. 775.0847 and 847.001, F.S., to provide that "minor" or "child" means any person, whose identity is known or unknown, younger than 18 years of age. The bill adds that definition of "minor" or "child" to s. 827.071, F.S.
- Amends s. 827.071, F.S., to expand the definition of "promote" and includes the new expanded definition of "promote," to s. 847.001, F.S. The bill provides "promote" means to procure, manufacture, issue, sell, give, provide, lend, mail, deliver, transfer, *transmit*, transmute, publish, distribute, circulate, disseminate, present, exhibit, *send*, *post*, *share*, or advertise or to offer or agree to do the same.
- Expands the definition of "sexual conduct," in ss. 775.0847, 827.071, and 847.001, F.S., to include *simulated* lewd exhibition of the genitals.

#### Child-like Sex Dolls

The bill amends s. 847.011(5), F.S., relating to the possession of obscene, child-like sex dolls, to provide that a law enforcement officer may arrest without a warrant any person who he or she has probable cause to believe possesses a child-like sex doll.

## **Sexual Activities Involving Animals**

The bill removes the term "sexual conduct" and provides one definition for "sexual contact with an animal" to mean any act committed between an animal for the purpose of sexual gratification, abuse, or financial gain which involves:

- Contact between the sex organ or anus of one and the mouth, sex organ, or anus of the other;
- The fondling of the sex organ or anus of an animal; or
- The insertion, however slight, of any part of the body of a person or any object into the vaginal or anal opening of an animal, or the insertion of any part of the body of an animal into the vaginal or anal opening of a person.

The bill revises the prohibited conduct to include that a person may not knowingly:

• Advertise, offer, solicit, or accept an offer of an animal for the purpose of sexual contact with such animal; or

• Film, distribute, or possess any pornographic image or video of a person and an animal engaged in any prohibited conducted related to sexual activities involving animals.

The bill increases the penalty for sexual contact with an animal from a first degree misdemeanor to a third degree felony, and ranks the felony as a level 6 in the offense severity ranking chart of the Criminal Punishment Code.

Additionally, the bill provides that the court must issue an order prohibiting a person convicted of sexual activity involving an animal, from:

- Harboring, owning, possessing, or exercising control over any animal;
- Residing in any household in which animals are present; and
- Engaging in an occupation, whether paid or unpaid, or volunteering at any establishment at which animals are present.

Such order may be effective for up to 5 years after the date of conviction, regardless of whether adjudication is withheld.

The bill provides an exception to criminal liability for accepted husbandry practices, including, but not limited to, bona fide agricultural purposes, assistance with the birthing process or artificial insemination of an animal for reproductive purposes. Additionally, the bill specifies that *accepted* conformation judging practices is an exception to criminal liability.

Additionally, the bill amends a number of sections conforming cross-references to changes made by the act.

The bill is effective October 1, 2022.

#### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

This bill appears to be exempt from the requirements of Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution because it is a criminal law.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

#### E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

# C. Government Sector Impact:

This bill likely has a positive indeterminate fiscal impact (i.e., an unquantifiable increase in prison beds and jail beds) on the Department of Corrections and local jails due to the increased number of prison and jail beds needed for persons convicted of the crimes created, the expansion of existing crimes, or increased penalties, provided in the bill.

The bill creates a third degree felony for promoting an altered sexual depiction. The bill also creates s. 836.14, F.S., to create two third degree felony offenses and one second degree felony offense, related to theft or unauthorized promotion of a sexually explicit image.

The bill creates a new crime and expands a current crime relating to sexual activity involving animals. Additionally, the bill increases the penalty from a first degree misdemeanor to a third degree felony for crimes relating to sexual activities involving animals. Due to this expansion and increased penalty, more people may receive a prison sentence for behavior that is not prohibited under current law, or is prohibited but the penalty is currently a first degree misdemeanor.

Additionally, the bill expands the definition of child sexual abuse material. Due to this expansion, more people may be arrested and convicted under existing crimes for behavior that is not prohibited under current law, but is prohibited under the bill.

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

#### VII. Related Issues:

None.

### VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 775.0847, 784.049, 827.071, 828.126, 847.001, 847.011, 847.0137, 921.0022, 960.03, 288.1254, and 847.0141.

This bill creates the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 836.13 and 836.14.

This bill makes conforming technical changes to the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 39.0138, 92.56, 92.561, 288.1254, 435.07, 456.074, 847.002, 847.01357, 847.0139, 847.0141, 948.06, and 960.197, F.S.

#### IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

# CS/CS by Appropriations on February 28, 2022:

The committee substitute:

- Removes the term sexual conduct and provides one definition for sexual contact with an animal that encompasses such conduct, and revises the prohibited conduct to specify that a person may not: advertise, offer, solicit, or accept an offer of an animal for the purpose of sexual contact with the animal; or knowingly film, distribute, or possess any pornographic image or video of a person and an animal engaged in any activities prohibited.
- Increases the penalty for *sexual contact with an animal* from a first degree misdemeanor to a third degree felony.
- Provides that the court must issue an order prohibiting a person convicted of sexual
  activity involving an animal, from: possessing or exercising control over an animal;
  living with an animal; and, working or volunteering at any place where an animal is
  present.
- Specifies that these crimes do not apply to accepted animal husbandry practices, including, but not limited to, bona fide agricultural purposes, assistance with the birthing process or artificial insemination of an animal for reproductive purposes.
- Provides an exception from criminal or civil liability for a sexually explicit image
  possessed or promoted by a bona fide news media organization for a legitimate and
  newsworthy purpose.
- Makes technical and clarifying changes recommended by staff.

## CS by Criminal Justice on January 25, 2022:

The committee substitute:

- Creates the third degree felony of *promotion of an altered sexual depiction*, and two third degree felonies and one second degree felony for *unlawfully obtaining*, *possessing*, *or promoting a sexually explicit image* which replaces, and targets the same conduct as the crime created in the original bill.
- Increases the amount of monetary damages a victim of sexual cyberharassment may receive in a civil action, from \$5,000 to \$10,000.
- Renames the crime of "child pornography" to "child sexual abuse material" and expands the definition to include any image that has been created, altered, adapted, or modified by electronic, mechanical, or other means, to portray an identifiable minor engaged in sexual conduct.

• Provides a definition of "identifiable minor," that is consistent with the definition under federal law.

- Removes the previous definition of "digitization," that was provided in the bill.
- Replaces the term "movie" with "motion picture, film, video, or computer-generated motion picture, film, or video," for purposes of enhancing specified offenses relating to child pornography or obscenity.
- Includes an exception to the warrant requirement if the officer has probable cause to believe a person possesses an obscene, child-like sex doll.

## B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.