HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 7019 PCB GOS 22-08 OGSR/Technology Systems/State University or a Florida

College System Institution

SPONSOR(S): Government Operations Subcommittee, Fetterhoff

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 7004

			BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Government Operations Subcommittee	17 Y, 0 N	Landry	Toliver
Post-Secondary Education & Lifelong Learning Subcommittee	17 Y, 0 N	Wolff	Kiner

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The Open Government Sunset Review Act requires the Legislature to review each public record and each public meeting exemption five years after enactment. If the Legislature does not reenact the exemption, it automatically repeals on October 2nd of the fifth year after enactment.

State universities and Florida College System (FCS) institutions maintain records pertaining to information technology (I.T.) security, processes and practices, risk assessments, and security incidents, such as investigations into security breaches.

Current law provides a public record exemption for certain information held by a state university or FCS institution related to I.T. security or potential breaches of security, as well as I.T. security program risk assessments, evaluations, and audits held by the university or institution. Such information is confidential and exempt from public records requirements. Specifically, current law exempts the following records held by state universities and FCS institutions:

- Records which identify detection, investigation, or response practices for suspected or confirmed I.T. security
 incidents, including suspected or confirmed breaches, if the disclosure of such records would facilitate
 unauthorized access to or unauthorized modification, disclosure, or destruction of data or I.T. resources; and
- Those portions of risk assessments, evaluations, audits, and other reports of the university's or institution's I.T. security program for its data, information, and I.T. resources which are held by the university or institution, if the disclosure of such records would facilitate unauthorized access to or the unauthorized modification, disclosure, or destruction of data or I.T. resources.

The records must be made available to the Auditor General, the Cybercrime Office of the Department of Law Enforcement, the Board of Governors in the case of a state university, the State Board of Education in the case of an FCS institution; and a state or federal agency for security purposes or in furtherance of the agency's official duties.

Current law also provides a public meeting exemption for portions of meetings where such data or I.T. security matters are discussed.

This bill saves from repeal the public record exemption and public meeting exemption, which will repeal on October 2, 2022, if this bill does not become law.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives . STORAGE NAME: h7019a.PEL

DATE: 1/25/2022

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act (Act)¹ sets forth a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public record or public meeting exemptions. It requires an automatic repeal of the exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.²

The Act provides that a public record or public meeting exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose. In addition, it may be no broader than is necessary to meet one of the following purposes:

- Allow the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a
 governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the
 exemption.
- Protect sensitive personal information that, if released, would be defamatory or would jeopardize an individual's safety; however, only the identity of an individual may be exempted under this provision.
- Protect trade or business secrets.³

If, and only if, in reenacting an exemption that will repeal, the exemption is expanded (essentially creating a new exemption), then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required. If the exemption is reenacted with grammatical or stylistic changes that do not expand the exemption, if the exemption is narrowed, or if an exception to the exemption is created then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are not required.

State Universities and Florida College System Institutions

State universities and colleges maintain records pertaining to information technology (I.T.) security, processes and practices, risk assessments, and security incidents, such as investigations into security breaches. Public disclosure of this information presents a significant security risk and would likely reveal weaknesses within the State University System and Florida College System (FCS) computer networks, raising the potential for exploitation.

Public Record and Public Meeting Exemptions under Review

In 2017, the Legislature created an exemption from public records requirements for certain information held by a state university or FCS institution related to I.T. security or potential breaches of security, as well as I.T. security program risk assessments, evaluations, and audits held by the university or institution.⁵ Such information is confidential and exempt⁶ from public records requirements. Specifically, current law exempts the following records held by state universities and FCS institutions:

• Records which identify detection, investigation, or response practices for suspected or confirmed I.T. security incidents, including suspected or confirmed breaches, if the disclosure of

STORAGE NAME: h7019a.PEL

DATE: 1/25/2022

¹ Section 119.15, F.S.

² Section 119.15(3), F.S.

³ Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

⁴ Section 24(c), Art. I, FLA. CONST.

⁵ Chapter 2017-109, L.O.F.; codified as section 1004.055, F.S.

⁶ There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circums tances. See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991). If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released by the custodian of public records to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in statute. See Attorney General Opinion 85-62 (August 1, 1985).

- such records would facilitate unauthorized access to or unauthorized modification, disclosure, or destruction of data or I.T. resources;⁷ and
- Those portions of risk assessments, evaluations, audits, and other reports of the university's or FCS institution's I.T. security program for its data, information, and I.T. resources which are held by the university or institution, if the disclosure of such records would facilitate unauthorized access to or the unauthorized modification, disclosure, or destruction of data or I.T. resources.⁸

The records must be made available to the following persons and entities:

- The Auditor General:
- The Cybercrime Office of the Department of Law Enforcement;
- The Board of Governors in the case of a state university;
- The State Board of Education in the case of a FCS institution; and
- A state or federal agency for security purposes or in furtherance of the agency's official duties.⁹

The Legislature also created a public meeting exemption for portions of otherwise public meetings where such data or I.T. security matters are discussed.¹⁰

The 2017 public necessity statement¹¹ for the exemptions provides that the Legislature finds that the public record and public meeting exemptions are necessary because:

Disclosure of a record, including a computer forensic analysis, or other information that would reveal weaknesses in a state university's or Florida College System institution's data security could compromise that security in the future if such information were available upon conclusion of an investigation or once an investigation ceased to be active... Such records are likely to contain proprietary information about the security of the system at issue. The disclosure of such information could result in the identification of vulnerabilities and further breaches of that system. In addition, the release of such information could give business competitors an unfair advantage and weaken the security technology supplier supplying the proprietary information in the marketplace... The disclosure of such records could potentially compromise the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of state university and Florida College System institution data and information technology resources, which would significantly impair the administration of vital educational programs.¹²

Pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act, the exemptions will repeal on October 2, 2022, unless reenacted by the Legislature.¹³

During the 2021 interim, the House Government Operations Subcommittee staff sent of Florida's public postsecondary educational institutions a questionnaire as part of its review under the Open Government Sunset Review Act. All 12 universities and 16 of the 28 FCS institutions responded to the questionnaire. Respondents indicated that the exemptions are functioning well and there hasn't been

⁷ Information technology resources includes information relating to the security of the university's or institution's technologies, processes, and practices designed to protect networks, computers, data processing software, and data from attack, damage, or unauthorized access and security information, whether physical or virtual, which relates to the university's or institution's existing or proposed information technology systems. Section 1004.055(1)(a)2., F.S.

⁸ Section 1004.055(1), F.S.

⁹ Section 1004.055(3), F.S.

¹⁰ Section 1004.055(2), F.S.

¹¹ Article I, s. 24(c), FLA. CONST., requires each public record exemption to "state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption."

¹² Section 2, Chapter 2017-109, L.O.F.

¹³ Section 1004.0962(6), F.S.

any litigation concerning the exemptions. ¹⁴All respondents requested that the exemptions be retained, with an overwhelming majority requesting to enact the exemptions as is. ¹⁵

Effect of the Bill

The bill removes the scheduled repeal date of the public record exemption and public meeting exemption; thereby, maintaining the public record exemption for certain information held by a state university or FCS institution related to I.T. security or potential breaches of security, as well as I.T. security program risk assessments, evaluations, and audits held by the institution, and the public meeting exemption for portions of a meeting where matters specifically exempted from disclosure are discussed.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 1004.055, F.S., to remove the scheduled repeal date of the public record exemption.

Section 2: Provides an effective date of October 1, 2022.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A.	FISCAL IMPACT	ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

15 Id

¹⁴ Open Government Sunset Review Questionnaire, Security of Post-Secondary Data, responses on file with the Government Operations Subcommittee.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

None.