$\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{y}$ the Committees on Rules; Education; and Community Affairs; and Senator Perry

	595-02956-22 2022706c3
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to school concurrency; amending s.
3	163.3180, F.S.; revising provisions specifying when
4	school concurrency is deemed satisfied; requiring a
5	district school board to notify a local government
6	that capacity is available for development within a
7	certain timeframe; specifying that proportionate-share
8	mitigation must be set aside and not spent if an
9	improvement has not been identified; providing an
10	effective date.
11	
12	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
13	
14	Section 1. Paragraph (h) of subsection (6) of section
15	163.3180, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
16	163.3180 Concurrency
17	(6)
18	(h)1. In order to limit the liability of local governments,
19	a local government may allow a landowner to proceed with
20	development of a specific parcel of land notwithstanding a
21	failure of the development to satisfy school concurrency, if all
22	the following factors are shown to exist:
23	a. The proposed development would be consistent with the
24	future land use designation for the specific property and with
25	pertinent portions of the adopted local plan, as determined by
26	the local government.
27	b. The local government's capital improvements element and
28	the school board's educational facilities plan provide for
29	school facilities adequate to serve the proposed development,

Page 1 of 4

595-02956-22 2022706c3 30 and the local government or school board has not implemented 31 that element or the project includes a plan that demonstrates 32 that the capital facilities needed as a result of the project can be reasonably provided. 33 34 c. The local government and school board have provided a 35 means by which the landowner will be assessed a proportionate 36 share of the cost of providing the school facilities necessary 37 to serve the proposed development. 38 2. If a local government applies school concurrency, it may 39 not deny an application for site plan, final subdivision approval, or the functional equivalent for a development or 40 phase of a development authorizing residential development for 41 42 failure to achieve and maintain the level-of-service standard for public school capacity in a local school concurrency 43 44 management system where adequate school facilities will be in 45 place or under actual construction within 3 years after the 46 issuance of final subdivision or site plan approval, or the 47 functional equivalent. School concurrency is deemed satisfied 48 when if the developer tenders a written, executes a legally 49 binding commitment to provide mitigation proportionate to the 50 demand for public school facilities to be created by actual 51 development of the property, including, but not limited to, the 52 options described in sub-subparagraph a. The district school 53 board shall notify the local government that capacity is 54 available for the development within 30 days after receipt of 55 the developer's legally binding commitment. Options for 56 proportionate-share mitigation of impacts on public school 57 facilities must be established in the comprehensive plan and the 58 interlocal agreement pursuant to s. 163.31777.

Page 2 of 4

595-02956-22

2022706c3

59 a. Appropriate mitigation options include the contribution 60 of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land 61 acquisition or construction of a public school facility; the 62 construction of a charter school that complies with the 63 requirements of s. 1002.33(18); or the creation of mitigation banking based on the construction of a public school facility in 64 exchange for the right to sell capacity credits. Such options 65 66 must include execution by the applicant and the local government of a development agreement that constitutes a legally binding 67 commitment to pay proportionate-share mitigation for the 68 69 additional residential units approved by the local government in 70 a development order and actually developed on the property, 71 taking into account residential density allowed on the property 72 prior to the plan amendment that increased the overall 73 residential density. The district school board must be a party 74 to such an agreement. As a condition of its entry into such a 75 development agreement, the local government may require the 76 landowner to agree to continuing renewal of the agreement upon 77 its expiration.

78 b. If the interlocal agreement and the local government 79 comprehensive plan authorize a contribution of land; the 80 construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition; the 81 construction or expansion of a public school facility, or a 82 portion thereof; or the construction of a charter school that 83 complies with the requirements of s. 1002.33(18), as 84 proportionate-share mitigation, the local government shall 85 credit such a contribution, construction, expansion, or payment 86 toward any other impact fee or exaction imposed by local 87 ordinance for public educational facilities, on a dollar-for-

Page 3 of 4

	595-02956-22 2022706c3
88	dollar basis at fair market value. The credit must be based on
89	the total impact fee assessed and not on the impact fee for any
90	particular type of school.
91	c. Any proportionate-share mitigation must be directed by
92	the school board toward a school capacity improvement identified
93	in the 5-year school board educational facilities plan <u>or must</u>
94	be set aside and not spent until such an improvement has been
95	identified that satisfies the demands created by the development
96	in accordance with a binding developer's agreement.
97	3. This paragraph does not limit the authority of a local
98	government to deny a development permit or its functional

98 government to deny a development permit or its functional 99 equivalent pursuant to its home rule regulatory powers, except 100 as provided in this part.

101

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2022.