**By** Senator Brodeur

	9-00540-22 2022842
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to invalid restrictive covenants in
3	health care; amending s. 542.336, F.S.; defining the
4	terms "hospital" and "physician"; specifying that
5	certain restrictive covenants in employment agreements
6	between physicians and hospitals do not support a
7	legitimate business interest; authorizing a party to
8	an employment agreement to elect to have a mutually
9	agreed upon arbitrator make a specified binding
10	determination; providing a legislative finding;
11	providing applicability; providing an effective date.
12	
13	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
14	
15	Section 1. Section 542.336, Florida Statutes, is amended to
16	read:
17	542.336 Invalid restrictive covenants
18	(1) As used in this section, the term:
19	(a) "Hospital" means a hospital as defined in s.
20	395.002(13) which is licensed under chapter 395 and part II of
21	chapter 408.
22	(b) "Physician" means a person licensed to practice
23	medicine under chapter 458 or osteopathic medicine under chapter
24	<u>459.</u>
25	(2) A restrictive covenant entered into with a physician
26	who is licensed under chapter 458 or chapter 459 and who
27	practices a medical specialty in a county wherein one entity
28	employs or contracts with, either directly or through related or
29	affiliated entities, all physicians who practice such specialty
	Page 1 of 2

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

I	9-00540-22 2022842
30	in that county is not supported by a legitimate business
31	interest. The Legislature finds that such covenants restrict
32	patient access to physicians, increase costs, and are void and
33	unenforceable under current law. Such restrictive covenants
34	shall remain void and unenforceable for 3 years after the date
35	on which a second entity that employs or contracts with, either
36	directly or through related or affiliated entities, one or more
37	physicians who practice such specialty begins offering such
38	specialty services in that county.
39	(3) A restrictive covenant in an employment agreement
40	between a physician and a hospital is not supported by a
41	legitimate business interest if it does not include an option
42	for the physician to buy out of the restrictive covenant at a
43	reasonable price. Any party to an employment agreement which
44	believes that the price to buy out of the restrictive covenant
45	in the agreement is unreasonable may elect to have a mutually
46	agreed upon arbitrator determine a reasonable price, and such
47	arbitrator's decision is binding on the parties. The Legislature
48	finds that a restrictive covenant without this option limits
49	patient access to physicians and increases costs and is void and
50	unenforceable. This subsection applies to restrictive covenants
51	entered into on or after July 1, 2022.
52	Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2022.

## Page 2 of 2

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.