1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to school concurrency; amending s.
3	163.3180, F.S.; requiring, instead of encouraging,
4	local governments that adopt school concurrency to
5	apply such concurrency to development on a
6	districtwide basis; removing provisions addressing
7	school concurrency on a less than districtwide basis;
8	revising provisions specifying when school concurrency
9	is satisfied; specifying that proportionate-share
10	mitigation may be set aside and not spent if an
11	improvement has not been identified; providing an
12	effective date.
13	
14	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
15	
16	Section 1. Paragraphs (f) and (h) of subsection (6) of
17	section 163.3180, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
18	163.3180 Concurrency
19	(6)
20	(f) <del>1.</del> In order to balance competing interests, preserve
21	the constitutional concept of uniformity, and avoid disruption
22	of existing educational and growth management processes, local
23	governments are encouraged, if they elect to adopt school
24	concurrency, <u>must</u> to apply school concurrency to development on
25	a districtwide basis so that a concurrency determination for a
	Dage 1 of 6
	Page 1 of 6

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

26 specific development will be based upon the availability of 27 school capacity districtwide. 28 2. If a local government elects to apply school 29 concurrency on a less than districtwide basis, by using school 30 attendance zones or concurrency service areas: 31 a. Local governments and school boards shall have the 32 burden to demonstrate that the utilization of school capacity is 33 maximized to the greatest extent possible in the comprehensive 34 plan and amendment, taking into account transportation costs and 35 court-approved desegregation plans, as well as other factors. In 36 addition, in order to achieve concurrency within the service area boundaries selected by local governments and school boards, 37 the service area boundaries, together with the standards for 38 39 establishing those boundaries, shall be identified and included 40 as supporting data and analysis for the comprehensive plan. 41 b. Where school capacity is available on a districtwide basis but school concurrency is applied on a less than 42 43 districtwide basis in the form of concurrency service areas, if the adopted level-of-service standard cannot be 44 met in 45 particular service area as applied to an application for a 46 development permit and if the needed capacity for the particular 47 service area is available in one or more contiguous service 48 areas, as adopted by the local government, then the local 49 government may not deny an application for site plan or final subdivision approval or the functional equivalent for a 50

Page 2 of 6

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

51 development or phase of a development on the basis of school 52 concurrency, and if issued, development impacts shall be 53 subtracted from the contiguous service area's capacity totals. 54 Students from the development may not be required to go to the 55 adjacent service area unless the school board rezones the area 56 in which the development occurs.

(h)1. In order to limit the liability of local governments, a local government may allow a landowner to proceed with development of a specific parcel of land notwithstanding a failure of the development to satisfy school concurrency, if all the following factors are shown to exist:

a. The proposed development would be consistent with the
future land use designation for the specific property and with
pertinent portions of the adopted local plan, as determined by
the local government.

b. The local government's capital improvements element and the school board's educational facilities plan provide for school facilities adequate to serve the proposed development, and the local government or school board has not implemented that element or the project includes a plan that demonstrates that the capital facilities needed as a result of the project can be reasonably provided.

73 c. The local government and school board have provided a 74 means by which the landowner will be assessed a proportionate 75 share of the cost of providing the school facilities necessary

## Page 3 of 6

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

76 to serve the proposed development.

77 If a local government applies school concurrency, it 2. 78 may not deny an application for site plan, final subdivision 79 approval, or the functional equivalent for a development or 80 phase of a development authorizing residential development for failure to achieve and maintain the level-of-service standard 81 82 for public school capacity in a local school concurrency management system where adequate school facilities will be in 83 84 place or under actual construction within 3 years after the 85 issuance of final subdivision or site plan approval, or the functional equivalent. School concurrency is satisfied if the 86 87 developer in good faith offers to execute executes a legally binding commitment to provide mitigation proportionate to the 88 89 demand for public school facilities to be created by actual 90 development of the property, including, but not limited to, the 91 options described in sub-subparagraph a. Options for 92 proportionate-share mitigation of impacts on public school 93 facilities must be established in the comprehensive plan and the 94 interlocal agreement pursuant to s. 163.31777.

a. Appropriate mitigation options include the contribution
of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land
acquisition or construction of a public school facility; the
construction of a charter school that complies with the
requirements of s. 1002.33(18); or the creation of mitigation
banking based on the construction of a public school facility in

## Page 4 of 6

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

exchange for the right to sell capacity credits. Such options must include execution by the applicant and the local government of a development agreement that constitutes a legally binding commitment to pay proportionate-share mitigation for the additional residential units approved by the local government in a development order and actually developed on the property, taking into account residential density allowed on the property

108 prior to the plan amendment that increased the overall 109 residential density. The district school board must be a party 110 to such an agreement. As a condition of its entry into such a 111 development agreement, the local government may require the 112 landowner to agree to continuing renewal of the agreement upon 113 its expiration.

114 b. If the interlocal agreement and the local government 115 comprehensive plan authorize a contribution of land; the 116 construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition; the 117 construction or expansion of a public school facility, or a 118 portion thereof; or the construction of a charter school that complies with the requirements of s. 1002.33(18), as 119 120 proportionate-share mitigation, the local government shall credit such a contribution, construction, expansion, or payment 121 toward any other impact fee or exaction imposed by local 122 123 ordinance for public educational facilities, on a dollar-for-124 dollar basis at fair market value. The credit must be based on 125 the total impact fee assessed and not on the impact fee for any

# Page 5 of 6

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

126 particular type of school.

127 c. Any proportionate-share mitigation must be directed by 128 the school board toward a school capacity improvement identified 129 in the 5-year school board educational facilities plan <u>or must</u> 130 <u>be set aside and not spent until such an improvement has been</u> 131 <u>identified</u> that satisfies the demands created by the development 132 in accordance with a binding developer's agreement.

3. This paragraph does not limit the authority of a local government to deny a development permit or its functional equivalent pursuant to its home rule regulatory powers, except as provided in this part.

137

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2022.

Page 6 of 6

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.