By Senator Jones

	34-01428-23 20231292
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to parenting plans; amending s. 61.13,
3	F.S.; creating a presumption that equal time-sharing
4	is in the best interests of the child, with
5	exceptions; creating a presumption for purposes of
6	modifying a parenting plan and time-sharing schedule
7	regarding relocation of a parent; providing an
8	effective date.
9	
10	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
11	
12	Section 1. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) and subsection
13	(3) of section 61.13, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
14	61.13 Support of children; parenting and time-sharing;
15	powers of court
16	(2)
17	(c) The court shall determine all matters relating to
18	parenting and time-sharing of each minor child of the parties in
19	accordance with the best interests of the child and in
20	accordance with the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and
21	Enforcement Act, except that modification of a parenting plan
22	and time-sharing schedule requires a showing of a substantial,
23	material, and unanticipated change of circumstances.
24	1. It is the public policy of this state that each minor
25	child has frequent and continuing contact with both parents
26	after the parents separate or the marriage of the parties is
27	dissolved and to encourage parents to share the rights and
28	responsibilities, and joys, of childrearing. <u>Unless otherwise</u>
29	provided in this section or agreed to by the parties, there is a

Page 1 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

34-01428-23 20231292 30 presumption that equal time-sharing of a minor child is in the 31 best interests of the minor child who is common to the parties 32 Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, there is no presumption for or against the father or mother of the child or 33 34 for or against any specific time-sharing schedule when creating 35 or modifying the parenting plan of the child. 36 2. The court shall order that the parental responsibility 37 for a minor child be shared by both parents unless the court finds that shared parental responsibility would be detrimental 38 39 to the child. The following evidence creates a rebuttable 40 presumption of detriment to the child: a. A parent has been convicted of a misdemeanor of the 41 42 first degree or higher involving domestic violence, as defined in s. 741.28 and chapter 775; 43 44 b. A parent meets the criteria of s. 39.806(1)(d); or c. A parent has been convicted of or had adjudication 45 46 withheld for an offense enumerated in s. 943.0435(1)(h)1.a., and 47 at the time of the offense: (I) The parent was 18 years of age or older. 48 49 (II) The victim was under 18 years of age or the parent believed the victim to be under 18 years of age. 50 51 52 If the presumption is not rebutted after the convicted parent is 53 advised by the court that the presumption exists, shared parental responsibility, including time-sharing with the child, 54 and decisions made regarding the child, may not be granted to 55 56 the convicted parent. However, the convicted parent is not 57 relieved of any obligation to provide financial support. If the 58 court determines that shared parental responsibility would be

Page 2 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

34-01428-23 20231292 59 detrimental to the child, it may order sole parental 60 responsibility and make such arrangements for time-sharing as 61 specified in the parenting plan as will best protect the child 62 or abused spouse from further harm. Whether or not there is a 63 conviction of any offense of domestic violence or child abuse or the existence of an injunction for protection against domestic 64 65 violence, the court shall consider evidence of domestic violence or child abuse as evidence of detriment to the child. 66 67 3. In ordering shared parental responsibility, the court 68 may consider the expressed desires of the parents and may grant 69 to one party the ultimate responsibility over specific aspects 70 of the child's welfare or may divide those responsibilities 71 between the parties based on the best interests of the child. 72 Areas of responsibility may include education, health care, and 73 any other responsibilities that the court finds unique to a 74 particular family. 75 4. The court shall order sole parental responsibility for a 76 minor child to one parent, with or without time-sharing with the 77 other parent if it is in the best interests of the minor child. 78 5. There is a rebuttable presumption against granting time-79 sharing with a minor child if a parent has been convicted of or 80 had adjudication withheld for an offense enumerated in s. 943.0435(1)(h)1.a., and at the time of the offense: 81 82 a. The parent was 18 years of age or older. b. The victim was under 18 years of age or the parent 83 believed the victim to be under 18 years of age. 84 85 86 A parent may rebut the presumption upon a specific finding in writing by the court that the parent poses no significant risk 87 Page 3 of 7

34-01428-23 20231292 88 of harm to the child and that time-sharing is in the best 89 interests of the minor child. If the presumption is rebutted, 90 the court shall consider all time-sharing factors in subsection 91 (3) when developing a time-sharing schedule. 92 6. Access to records and information pertaining to a minor child, including, but not limited to, medical, dental, and 93 94 school records, may not be denied to either parent. Full rights 95 under this subparagraph apply to either parent unless a court order specifically revokes these rights, including any 96 97 restrictions on these rights as provided in a domestic violence 98 injunction. A parent having rights under this subparagraph has 99 the same rights upon request as to form, substance, and manner 100 of access as are available to the other parent of a child, 101 including, without limitation, the right to in-person communication with medical, dental, and education providers. 102 103 (3) For purposes of establishing or modifying parental 104 responsibility and creating, developing, approving, or modifying 105 a parenting plan, including a time-sharing schedule, which 106 governs each parent's relationship with his or her minor child 107 and the relationship between each parent with regard to his or 108 her minor child, the best interest of the child shall be the 109 primary consideration. A determination of parental 110 responsibility, a parenting plan, or a time-sharing schedule may 111 not be modified without a showing of a substantial, material, 112 and unanticipated change in circumstances and a determination 113 that the modification is in the best interests of the child. For 114 purposes of modifying a parenting plan and time-sharing schedule, a parent's permanent relocation from a residence more 115 than 50 miles from the primary residence of the child to a 116

Page 4 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	34-01428-23 20231292
117	residence within 50 miles of the primary residence of the child
118	is presumed to be a substantial, material, and unanticipated
119	<u>change in circumstances.</u> Determination of the best interests of
120	the child shall be made by evaluating all of the factors
121	affecting the welfare and interests of the particular minor
122	child and the circumstances of that family, including, but not
123	limited to:
124	(a) The demonstrated capacity and disposition of each
125	parent to facilitate and encourage a close and continuing
126	parent-child relationship, to honor the time-sharing schedule,
127	and to be reasonable when changes are required.
128	(b) The anticipated division of parental responsibilities
129	after the litigation, including the extent to which parental
130	responsibilities will be delegated to third parties.
131	(c) The demonstrated capacity and disposition of each
132	parent to determine, consider, and act upon the needs of the
133	child as opposed to the needs or desires of the parent.
134	(d) The length of time the child has lived in a stable,
135	satisfactory environment and the desirability of maintaining
136	continuity.
137	(e) The geographic viability of the parenting plan, with
138	special attention paid to the needs of school-age children and
139	the amount of time to be spent traveling to effectuate the
140	parenting plan. This factor does not create a presumption for or
141	against relocation of either parent with a child.
142	(f) The moral fitness of the parents.
143	(g) The mental and physical health of the parents.
144	(h) The home, school, and community record of the child.
145	(i) The reasonable preference of the child, if the court
I	
	Page 5 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

34-01428-23 20231292
deems the child to be of sufficient intelligence, understanding,
and experience to express a preference.
(j) The demonstrated knowledge, capacity, and disposition
of each parent to be informed of the circumstances of the minor
child, including, but not limited to, the child's friends,
teachers, medical care providers, daily activities, and favorite
things.
(k) The demonstrated capacity and disposition of each
parent to provide a consistent routine for the child, such as
discipline, and daily schedules for homework, meals, and
bedtime.
(1) The demonstrated capacity of each parent to communicate
with and keep the other parent informed of issues and activities
regarding the minor child, and the willingness of each parent to
adopt a unified front on all major issues when dealing with the
child.
(m) Evidence of domestic violence, sexual violence, child
abuse, child abandonment, or child neglect, regardless of
whether a prior or pending action relating to those issues has
been brought. If the court accepts evidence of prior or pending
actions regarding domestic violence, sexual violence, child
abuse, child abandonment, or child neglect, the court must
specifically acknowledge in writing that such evidence was
considered when evaluating the best interests of the child.
(n) Evidence that either parent has knowingly provided
false information to the court regarding any prior or pending
action regarding domestic violence, sexual violence, child
abuse, child abandonment, or child neglect.
(o) The particular parenting tasks customarily performed by

Page 6 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

	34-01428-23 20231292
175	each parent and the division of parental responsibilities before
176	the institution of litigation and during the pending litigation,
177	including the extent to which parenting responsibilities were
178	undertaken by third parties.
179	(p) The demonstrated capacity and disposition of each
180	parent to participate and be involved in the child's school and
181	extracurricular activities.
182	(q) The demonstrated capacity and disposition of each
183	parent to maintain an environment for the child which is free
184	from substance abuse.
185	(r) The capacity and disposition of each parent to protect
186	the child from the ongoing litigation as demonstrated by not
187	discussing the litigation with the child, not sharing documents
188	or electronic media related to the litigation with the child,
189	and refraining from disparaging comments about the other parent
190	to the child.
191	(s) The developmental stages and needs of the child and the
192	demonstrated capacity and disposition of each parent to meet the
193	child's developmental needs.
194	(t) Any other factor that is relevant to the determination
195	of a specific parenting plan, including the time-sharing
196	schedule.
197	Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2023.

Page 7 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.