1	House Memorial
2	A memorial to the Congress of the United States,
3	urging Congress to take immediate action to direct the
4	United States Army Corps of Engineers to amend its
5	current easement policy for shore protection projects
6	to allow critical shore protection projects in Florida
7	to proceed without delay.
8	
9	WHEREAS, according to a 2015 report by the Office of
10	Economic and Demographic Research, beaches are Florida's number
11	one tourist attraction, generating billions of dollars for the
12	state and local economies, and
13	WHEREAS, healthy beach and dune systems in Florida protect
14	upland property and infrastructure, increase property values,
15	combat sea level rise and erosion, provide critical habitat for
16	threatened and endangered wildlife, and ensure a myriad of other
17	benefits, and
18	WHEREAS, Florida has 825 miles of world-renowned beaches,
19	and
20	WHEREAS, it has been the long-standing policy of Florida
21	that erosion is most efficiently addressed by beach restoration
22	and periodic nourishment, and
23	WHEREAS, according to the 2023 Critically Eroded Beaches in
24	Florida Report by the Florida Department of Environmental
25	Protection, 432.5 miles of beaches are critically eroded, and
	Page 1 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

26 WHEREAS, the federal, state, and local governments have 27 partnered for over 50 years to protect 253 miles of critically 28 eroded beaches in Florida, and

WHEREAS, public access to restored beaches has been enshrined in Florida since 1970 with the passage of state legislation requiring the establishment of an erosion control line, usually at the mean high-water line, before restoration of a beach, which identifies private interests landward of the line and public ownership by the state in trust for its people seaward of the line, and

36 WHEREAS, shore protection projects are typically authorized 37 by the Congress of the United States for a duration of 50 years, 38 and

39 WHEREAS, the federal government's cost-sharing 40 responsibilities for shore protection projects were clarified in 41 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 to prohibit federal 42 participation when shores are limited to exclusively private 43 interests but allow the local sponsor to bear these costs in 44 such instances where easements could not be obtained, and

45 WHEREAS, in 1996, the United States Army Corps of Engineers 46 declared the minimum easement necessary for shore protection 47 projects was the perpetual beach storm damage reduction easement 48 but allowed local sponsors in Florida to acquire less-than-49 perpetual easements and to pay 100 percent of sand placement

Page 2 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

50 landward of the erosion control line for those areas not covered 51 by an easement, and

52 WHEREAS, in addition to the United States Army Corps of 53 Engineers requiring a perpetual easement for a 50-year project, 54 other overly broad public access and use language in the 55 easement not tailored to the needs of shore protection projects 56 is causing coastal property owners to reject signing such 57 easements, and

58 WHEREAS, project cooperation agreements require local 59 sponsors to ensure public ownership and use of the shores upon 60 which the federal cost-share is based, and

61 WHEREAS, recent shifts in United States Army Corps of 62 Engineers' policy on easements, which are not based in law, 63 require the acquisition of perpetual public access, use, and 64 construction easements from 100 percent of property owners 65 within a project area in order to proceed even if construction 66 will not occur over the easement area, and

67 WHEREAS, the United States Army Corps of Engineers is 68 retroactively applying its inflexible interpretation of law 69 regarding perpetual easements which has resulted in widespread 70 project delays for both traditional and emergency repair 71 projects, contradicting Congressional intent and leaving Floridians and critical coastal habitat vulnerable to 72 73 catastrophic flooding and erosion from the next coastal storm, 74 and

Page 3 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

75 WHEREAS, the efforts of coastal stakeholders in Florida to 76 address these issues with the United States Army Corps of 77 Engineers have been unsuccessful, NOW, THEREFORE, 78 79 Be It Resolved by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 80 81 That the Congress of the United States is urged to take 82 immediate action to direct the United States Army Corps of 83 Engineers to amend its current easement policy for shore protection projects to allow critical shore protection projects 84 85 in Florida to proceed without delay. 86 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Secretary of State dispatch

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Secretary of State dispatch copies of this memorial to the President of the United States, the President of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives, and each member of the Florida delegation to the United States Congress.

Page 4 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.