2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

By the Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability; and Senator Burgess

585-02282-25 2025448c1

A bill to be entitled An act relating to administrative procedure; amending s. 120.52, F.S.; specifying that an agency's issuance of a quidance document or other statement interpreting a statute without express statutory delegation to issue such guidance is an invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority; amending s. 120.536, F.S.; prohibiting an agency from adopting a rule or issuing a guidance document without statutory delegation; reenacting and amending s. 120.541, F.S.; requiring an agency to prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs for all proposed rules, notices of change, and final rules; requiring publication of materials used to produce estimates of regulatory costs in a specified manner; providing additional requirements for cost-benefit analyses for certain rules; requiring an agency to conduct retrospective cost-benefit analyses and assessment reports for certain rules, subject to certain requirements; requiring the Administrative Procedures Committee to set a review schedule for existing rules to undergo a retrospective cost-benefit analysis and review; providing exceptions; requiring the committee to separately review exempt rules; requiring the agency to perform specified actions during such reviews; amending s. 120.545, F.S.; revising requirements for the review of rules by the Administrative Procedures Committee; amending s. 120.55, F.S.; requiring that additional information be 585-02282-25 2025448c1

published in the Florida Administrative Code; amending s. 120.56, F.S.; specifying that guidance documents are subject to specified provisions; providing that a party subject to an enforcement action may challenge the action on the basis that the agency lacked statutory authority for the rule or guidance document; providing for award of costs and attorney fees; providing for challenges to rules on the grounds that an agency failed to comply with specified provisions; conforming a cross-reference; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (8) of section 120.52, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

120.52 Definitions.—As used in this act:

- (8) "Invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority" means action that goes beyond the powers, functions, and duties delegated by the Legislature. A proposed or existing rule is an invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority if any one of the following applies:
- (a) The agency has materially failed to follow the applicable rulemaking procedures or requirements set forth in this chapter;
- (b) The agency has exceeded its grant of rulemaking authority, citation to which is required by s. 120.54(3)(a)1.;
- (c) The rule enlarges, modifies, or contravenes the specific provisions of law implemented, citation to which is

585-02282-25 2025448c1

required by s. 120.54(3)(a)1.;

- (d) The rule is vague, fails to establish adequate standards for agency decisions, or vests unbridled discretion in the agency;
- (e) The rule is arbitrary or capricious. A rule is arbitrary if it is not supported by logic or the necessary facts; a rule is capricious if it is adopted without thought or reason or is irrational; or
- (f) The rule imposes regulatory costs on the regulated person, county, or city which could be reduced by the adoption of less costly alternatives that substantially accomplish the statutory objectives; or
- (g) The agency has issued a guidance document or other statement interpreting a statute without express statutory delegation to issue such guidance.

A grant of rulemaking authority is necessary but not sufficient to allow an agency to adopt a rule; a specific law to be implemented is also required. An agency may adopt only rules that implement or interpret the specific powers and duties granted by the enabling statute. No agency shall have authority to adopt a rule only because it is reasonably related to the purpose of the enabling legislation and is not arbitrary and capricious or is within the agency's class of powers and duties, nor shall an agency have the authority to implement statutory provisions setting forth general legislative intent or policy. Statutory language granting rulemaking authority or generally describing the powers and functions of an agency shall be construed to extend no further than implementing or interpreting

89

90 91

92

9394

95

96

97

9899

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114115

116

585-02282-25 2025448c1

the specific powers and duties conferred by the enabling statute.

Section 2. Subsection (1) of section 120.536, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

120.536 Rulemaking authority; repeal; challenge.-

(1) A grant of rulemaking authority is necessary but not sufficient to allow an agency to adopt a rule; a specific law to be implemented is also required. An agency may adopt only rules that implement or interpret the specific powers and duties granted by the enabling statute. An agency may not adopt any rule or issue any guidance document unless the agency has been expressly granted the power to do so by a specific statutory delegation. No agency shall have authority to adopt a rule only because it is reasonably related to the purpose of the enabling legislation and is not arbitrary and capricious or is within the agency's class of powers and duties, nor shall an agency have the authority to implement statutory provisions setting forth general legislative intent or policy. Statutory language granting rulemaking authority or generally describing the powers and functions of an agency shall be construed to extend no further than implementing or interpreting the specific powers and duties conferred by the enabling statute.

Section 3. Subsection (1), paragraph (g) of subsection (2), and subsection (5) of section 120.541, Florida Statutes, are amended, paragraph (h) is added to subsection (2) of that section, subsection (6) is added to that section, and subsection (4) of that section is reenacted, to read:

120.541 Statement of estimated regulatory costs.-

(1) (a) An agency shall prepare a statement of estimated

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142143

144

145

585-02282-25 2025448c1

regulatory costs for each proposed rule, notice of change, or final rule, regardless of whether the proposed rule, notice of change, or final rule will have an adverse impact on small business or is likely to increase regulatory costs. The statement must include a cost-benefit analysis that clearly demonstrates that the projected benefits of the proposed rule, notice of change, or final rule exceed its projected costs.

(b) (a) Within 21 days after publication of the notice required under s. 120.54(3)(a), a substantially affected person may submit to an agency a good faith written proposal for a lower cost regulatory alternative to a proposed rule which substantially accomplishes the objectives of the law being implemented. The proposal may include the alternative of not adopting any rule if the proposal explains how the lower costs and objectives of the law will be achieved by not adopting any rule. If such a proposal is submitted, the 90-day period for filing the rule is extended 21 days. Upon the submission of the lower cost regulatory alternative, the agency shall prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs as provided in subsection (2), or shall revise its prior statement of estimated regulatory costs, and either adopt the alternative or provide a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule.

(c) (b) If a proposed rule, notice of change, or final rule will have an adverse impact on small business or if the proposed rule, notice of change, or final rule is likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate within 1 year after the implementation of the rule, the agency shall prepare a statement of estimated

585-02282-25 2025448c1

regulatory costs as required by s. 120.54(3)(b).

- $\underline{\text{(d)}}$  (e) The agency shall revise a statement of estimated regulatory costs if any change to the rule made under s. 120.54(3)(d) increases the regulatory costs of the rule.
- (e) (d) At least 21 days before filing the rule for adoption, an agency that is required to revise a statement of estimated regulatory costs shall provide the statement to the person who submitted the lower cost regulatory alternative and to the committee and shall provide notice on the agency's website that it is available to the public.
- $\underline{\text{(f)}}$  (e) Notwithstanding s. 120.56(1)(c), the failure of the agency to prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs or to respond to a written lower cost regulatory alternative as provided in this subsection is a material failure to follow the applicable rulemaking procedures or requirements set forth in this chapter.
- (g) (f) An agency's failure to prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs or to respond to a written lower cost regulatory alternative may not be raised in a proceeding challenging the validity of a rule pursuant to s. 120.52(8)(a) unless:
- 1. Raised in a petition filed no later than 1 year after the effective date of the rule; and
- 2. Raised by a person whose substantial interests are affected by the rule's regulatory costs.
- $\underline{\text{(h)}}$  (g) A rule that is challenged pursuant to s. 120.52(8)(f) may not be declared invalid unless:
- 1. The issue is raised in an administrative proceeding within 1 year after the effective date of the rule;

585-02282-25 2025448c1

2. The challenge is to the agency's rejection of a lower cost regulatory alternative offered under paragraph (b) (a) or s. 120.54(3)(b)2.b.; and

- 3. The substantial interests of the person challenging the rule are materially affected by the rejection.
- (2) A statement of estimated regulatory costs shall include:
- (g) In the statement or revised statement, whichever applies, a description of any regulatory alternatives submitted under paragraph  $\underline{(1)(b)}$   $\underline{(1)(a)}$  and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule.
- (h) All documentation, assumptions, methods, and data used in preparing the statement of estimated regulatory costs must be published on a publicly accessible website and, where relevant, in a machine-readable format readily available to the public, including any supporting calculations, documents, data, databases, or data tables, so that the results of the analysis can be replicated. Uncertainties pertaining to these estimates must be reported.
  - (4) Subsection (3) does not apply to the adoption of:
  - (a) Federal standards pursuant to s. 120.54(6).
- (b) Triennial updates of and amendments to the Florida Building Code which are expressly authorized by s. 553.73.
- (c) Triennial updates of and amendments to the Florida Fire Prevention Code which are expressly authorized by s. 633.202.
- (5) For purposes of subsections (2) and (3), adverse impacts and regulatory costs likely to occur within 5 years after implementation of the rule include adverse impacts and

585-02282-25 2025448c1

regulatory costs estimated to occur within 5 years after the effective date of the rule. However, if any provision of the rule is not fully implemented upon the effective date of the rule, the adverse impacts and regulatory costs associated with such provision must be adjusted to include any additional adverse impacts and regulatory costs estimated to occur within 5 years after implementation of such provision. However, an agency may include longer periods of review but must, at a minimum, provide a cost-benefit analysis that projects the first 5 years after the rule goes into effect. If a discount rate is used in the analysis, its use must be justified. The agency must also provide an analysis without the use of discount rates.

- (6) (a) An agency shall conduct a retrospective cost-benefit analysis for each adopted rule 4 years after the rule's effective date. The analysis must compare the actual costs and benefits of the rule to those projected in the initial statement of estimated regulatory costs prepared under paragraph (1) (a).
- (b) An agency shall conduct a retrospective assessment report for each adopted rule 8 years after the rule's effective date. The report must compare the initial projected cost-benefit analysis, the retrospective analysis conducted under paragraph (a), and the outcomes observed up to this time. The agency shall incorporate the findings and lessons learned from this comparison into the standards for future statements of estimated regulatory costs and apply them to similar rules.
- (c) For all rules in effect on July 1, 2025, the committee must set a schedule for agencies to conduct the analysis and report as required by paragraphs (a) and (b), taking into consideration the time and resources agencies will expend to

585-02282-25 2025448c1

perform such review. Such reviews must be scheduled to begin between July 1, 2027, and July 1, 2037.

- (d) An amendment to a rule through subsequent rulemaking does not affect the agency's duty to perform the reviews as required by paragraphs (a) and (b), unless the amendment completely repeals and adopts a new rule as described in s.

  120.54. In such case, the rule's review dates must be determined based on the effective date of the subsequent rule.
- (e) The following rules are exempt from the review processes described in paragraphs (a) and (b):
- $\underline{\text{1. Rules required to comply with federal law or to receive}} \\ \text{federal funds.}$
- 2. Rules adopted pursuant to authority granted under the State Constitution.
- 3. Rules of agencies that are headed by an elected official.
- (f) Rules exempt under paragraph (e) must be reviewed by the agency according to the schedule set by the committee. The agency may not begin its review more than 1 year before the rule's scheduled review date.
- (g) During the review, including any review under paragraph
  (f), the agency shall:
- 1. Notify the public of the review, including making the text of the notice, the text of the rule, and all analyses associated with the review available on the agency's website.
  - 2. Hold a public comment period for at least 30 days.
- 3. Conduct all analyses that would be required if the rule were being readopted pursuant to s. 120.54.
  - 4. Provide a reasoned response to unique public comments.

585-02282-25 2025448c1

5. Publish a report on the agency's website which includes the analyses and the agency's response to public comments.

Section 4. Paragraphs (m) and (n) are added to subsection

(1) of section 120.545, Florida Statutes, to read:

120.545 Committee review of agency rules.-

- (1) As a legislative check on legislatively created authority, the committee shall examine each proposed rule, except for those proposed rules exempted by s. 120.81(1)(e) and (2), and its accompanying material, and each emergency rule, and may examine any existing rule, for the purpose of determining whether:
- (m) The agency is timely complying with the review requirements described in s. 120.541(6)(a) and (b).
- (n) The agency has properly reviewed exempt rules as required under s. 120.541(6)(f).

Section 5. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of 120.55, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

120.55 Publication.-

- (1) The Department of State shall:
- (a)1. Through a continuous revision and publication system, compile and publish electronically, on a website managed by the department, the "Florida Administrative Code." The Florida Administrative Code shall contain all rules adopted by each agency, citing the grant of rulemaking authority and the specific law implemented pursuant to which each rule was adopted, including the effective date of each rule, all history notes as authorized in s. 120.545(7), complete indexes to all rules contained in the code, and any other material required or authorized by law or deemed useful by the department. The

585-02282-25 2025448c1

electronic code shall display each rule chapter currently in effect in browse mode and allow full text search of the code and each rule chapter. The department may contract with a publishing firm for a printed publication; however, the department shall retain responsibility for the code as provided in this section. The electronic publication shall be the official compilation of the administrative rules of this state. The Department of State shall retain the copyright over the Florida Administrative Code.

- 2. Rules general in form but applicable to only one school district, community college district, or county, or a part thereof, or state university rules relating to internal personnel or business and finance shall not be published in the Florida Administrative Code. Exclusion from publication in the Florida Administrative Code shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of such rules.
- 3. At the beginning of the section of the code dealing with an agency that files copies of its rules with the department, the department shall publish the address and telephone number of the executive offices of each agency, the manner by which the agency indexes its rules, a listing of all rules of that agency excluded from publication in the code, and a statement as to where those rules may be inspected.
- 4. Forms shall not be published in the Florida
  Administrative Code; but any form which an agency uses in its
  dealings with the public, along with any accompanying
  instructions, shall be filed with the committee before it is
  used. Any form or instruction which meets the definition of
  "rule" provided in s. 120.52 shall be incorporated by reference
  into the appropriate rule. The reference shall specifically

585-02282-25 2025448c1

state that the form is being incorporated by reference and shall include the number, title, and effective date of the form and an explanation of how the form may be obtained. Each form created by an agency which is incorporated by reference in a rule notice of which is given under s. 120.54(3)(a) after December 31, 2007, must clearly display the number, title, and effective date of the form and the number of the rule in which the form is incorporated.

- 5. The department shall allow adopted rules and material incorporated by reference to be filed in electronic form as prescribed by department rule. When a rule is filed for adoption with incorporated material in electronic form, the department's publication of the Florida Administrative Code on its website must contain a hyperlink from the incorporating reference in the rule directly to that material. The department may not allow hyperlinks from rules in the Florida Administrative Code to any material other than that filed with and maintained by the department, but may allow hyperlinks to incorporated material maintained by the department from the adopting agency's website or other sites.
- Section 6. Subsection (1) and paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 120.56, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
  120.56 Challenges to rules.—
  - (1) GENERAL PROCEDURES.-
- document, or a proposed rule may seek an administrative determination of the invalidity of the rule or guidance document on the ground that the rule or guidance document is an invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority. All of the

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

585-02282-25 2025448c1

provisions in this section apply to guidance documents as well as adopted rules.

- (b) The petition challenging the validity of a proposed or adopted rule under this section must state:
- 1. The particular provisions alleged to be invalid and a statement of the facts or grounds for the alleged invalidity.
- 2. Facts sufficient to show that the petitioner is substantially affected by the challenged adopted rule or would be substantially affected by the proposed rule.
- (c) The petition shall be filed by electronic means with the division which shall, immediately upon filing, forward by electronic means copies to the agency whose rule is challenged, the Department of State, and the committee. Within 10 days after receiving the petition, the division director shall, if the petition complies with paragraph (b), assign an administrative law judge who shall conduct a hearing within 30 days thereafter, unless the petition is withdrawn or a continuance is granted by agreement of the parties or for good cause shown. Evidence of good cause includes, but is not limited to, written notice of an agency's decision to modify or withdraw the proposed rule or a written notice from the chair of the committee stating that the committee will consider an objection to the rule at its next scheduled meeting. The failure of an agency to follow the applicable rulemaking procedures or requirements set forth in this chapter shall be presumed to be material; however, the agency may rebut this presumption by showing that the substantial interests of the petitioner and the fairness of the proceedings have not been impaired.
  - (d) Within 30 days after the hearing, the administrative

585-02282-25 2025448c1

law judge shall render a decision and state the reasons for his or her decision in writing. The division shall forthwith transmit by electronic means copies of the administrative law judge's decision to the agency, the Department of State, and the committee.

- (e) Hearings held under this section shall be de novo in nature. The standard of proof shall be the preponderance of the evidence. Hearings shall be conducted in the same manner as provided by ss. 120.569 and 120.57, except that the administrative law judge's order shall be final agency action. The petitioner and the agency whose rule is challenged shall be adverse parties. Other substantially affected persons may join the proceedings as intervenors on appropriate terms which shall not unduly delay the proceedings. Failure to proceed under this section does not constitute failure to exhaust administrative remedies.
- (f) Any party subject to an enforcement action may challenge the enforcement action based solely on the grounds that the agency lacked express statutory authority to adopt the rule or issue a guidance document upon which the enforcement action is based. Any party that prevails on such a challenge shall be entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney fees.
- (g)1. A person may challenge a rule on the grounds that the agency failed to comply with s. 120.541 by:
- a. Failing to prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs as required;
- b. Preparing a statement of estimated regulatory costs that does not include all the information required by s. 120.541(2);
  - c. Failing to make the statement or the underlying data and

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416417

418

419

420

421422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

585-02282-25 2025448c1

analysis publicly available as required by s. 120.541(2)(h); or

- d. Failing to conduct the retrospective analyses required by s. 120.541(1) (i) and (j).
- 2. If an administrative law judge finds that the agency has materially failed to comply with s. 120.541, the rule must be declared invalid and void.
  - (2) CHALLENGING PROPOSED RULES; SPECIAL PROVISIONS.-
- (a) A petition alleging the invalidity of a proposed rule shall be filed within 21 days after the date of publication of the notice required by s. 120.54(3)(a); within 10 days after the final public hearing is held on the proposed rule as provided by s. 120.54(3)(e)2.; within 20 days after the statement of estimated regulatory costs or revised statement of estimated regulatory costs, if applicable, has been prepared and made available as provided in s. 120.541(1) (e) s. 120.541(1) (d); or within 20 days after the date of publication of the notice required by s. 120.54(3)(d). The petitioner has the burden to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the petitioner would be substantially affected by the proposed rule. The agency then has the burden to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed rule is not an invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority as to the objections raised. A person who is not substantially affected by the proposed rule as initially noticed, but who is substantially affected by the rule as a result of a change, may challenge any provision of the resulting proposed rule.
  - Section 7. This act shall take effect July 1, 2025.