



Senate Redistricting Subcommittee

Meeting Packet

Thursday, December 8, 2011

12:00 PM

Morris Hall (17 HOB)

**Dean Cannon
Speaker**

**Doug Holder and John Legg
Chair**

Committee Meeting Notice

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Senate Redistricting Subcommittee

Start Date and Time: Thursday, December 08, 2011 12:00 pm
End Date and Time: Thursday, December 08, 2011 02:00 pm
Location: Morris Hall (17 HOB)
Duration: 2.00 hrs

Workshop on options for the State Senate map
Other committee business

NOTICE FINALIZED on 12/01/2011 14:19 by Crofoot.Katie



Florida House of Representatives
Senate Redistricting Subcommittee



December 8, 2011

Table of Contents

- ▶ S000S9004
- ▶ SPUBS0155 – FL State Conference of the NAACP



Redistricting Plan Data Report for S000S9004

Plan File Name: S000S9004						Plan Type: Senate - 40 Districts															
Plan Population Fundamentals						Plan Geography Fundamentals:															
Total Population Assigned:	18,801,310 of 18,801,310					Census Blocks Assigned:	484,481 out of 484,481														
Ideal District Population::	470,032					Number Non-Contiguous Sections:	1 (normally one)														
District Population Remainder:	30					County or District Split :	31 Split of 67 used														
District Population Range:	464,088 to 475,858					City or District Split :	78 Split of 411 used														
District Deviation Range:	(-5,944) To 5,826					VTD's Split :	372 Split of 9,436 used														
Deviation:	(-1.26) To 1.23 Total 2.50%																				
Number of Districts by Race Language						District				County				Count Blocks				Pop			
	20%-30%	30%-40%	40%-50%	50%-60%	60%+	9	Osceola			1				0							
Current Black VAP	8	6	3	2	1																
New Black VAP	8	6	4	2	0																
Current Hisp VAP	13	7	5	5	3																
New Hisp VAP	13	7	5	5	3																
Plan Name:		S000S9004			Number of Districts		40														
Spatial Measurements - Map Based																					
Base Shapes			Circle - Dispersion						Convex Hull - Indentation												
	Perimeter	Area	P/A	Perimeter	Area	P/A	Pc/P	A/Ac	Perimeter	Area	P/A	Pc/P	A/Ac	Width	Height	W+H					
S9004-Map	9,941	65,934	15.07%	8,602	216,308	3.97%	86.53%	30.48%	6,823	98,963	6.89%	68.62%	66.62%	2,034	2,095	4,068					
Current Map	11,470	65,934	17.39%	9,035	234,011	3.86%	78.77%	28.17%	7,143	108,049	6.61%	62.27%	61.02%	2,121	2,269	4,242					
S9004-Simple	9,126	65,923	13.84%				94.26%	30.47%				74.76%	66.61%								
Current Map	10,402	65,883	15.78%				86.86%	28.15%				68.66%	60.97%								
Straight line in miles apart				Miles to drive by fastest route				Minutes to drive by fastest route													
	Pop	VAP	VAP Black	VAP Hispanic	Pop	VAP	VAP Black	VAP Hispanic	Pop	VAP	VAP Black	VAP Hispanic									
S9004-Map	21	21	22	15	28	28	28	20	38	38	37	29									
Current Map	24	24	24	18	32	32	31	24	41	41	39	32									

Plan Name:	S000S9004			Number of Districts					40								
Spatial Measurements - Map Based																	
	Base Shapes			Circle - Dispersion					Convex Hull - Indentation								
	Perimeter	Area	P/A	Perimeter	Area	P/A	Pc/P	A/Ac	Perimeter	Area	P/A	Pc/P	A/Ac	Width	Height	W+H	
1	428	1,016	42.18%	319	8,077	3.95%	74.47%	12.58%	237	2,359	10.04%	55.27%	43.08%	51	101	103	
2	541	5,630	9.61%	561	24,967	2.24%	103.73%	22.55%	394	7,678	5.13%	72.77%	73.33%	164	59	329	
3	555	6,820	8.14%	401	12,751	3.14%	72.20%	53.48%	365	9,083	4.01%	65.69%	75.08%	85	133	170	
4	397	1,852	21.45%	437	15,152	2.88%	110.07%	12.22%	297	3,978	7.46%	74.74%	46.56%	126	57	253	
5	359	1,336	26.90%	182	2,632	6.92%	50.70%	50.78%	164	1,852	8.85%	45.60%	72.18%	43	50	87	
6	542	8,291	6.54%	586	27,282	2.15%	108.11%	30.39%	433	10,971	3.94%	79.76%	75.57%	168	80	336	
7	301	1,946	15.50%	305	7,386	4.13%	101.10%	26.35%	218	2,554	8.53%	72.21%	76.22%	73	68	146	
8	301	1,009	29.83%	270	5,813	4.65%	89.92%	17.37%	207	1,703	12.15%	68.72%	59.29%	44	87	88	
9	255	910	28.07%	157	1,964	8.01%	61.56%	46.35%	135	1,265	10.67%	52.81%	71.96%	41	34	83	
10	181	594	30.53%	155	1,923	8.09%	85.68%	30.93%	121	904	13.38%	66.60%	65.80%	33	36	67	
11	256	1,668	15.37%	242	4,649	5.20%	94.40%	35.88%	193	2,434	7.92%	75.25%	68.54%	57	54	115	
12	134	508	26.41%	133	1,421	9.41%	99.66%	35.76%	106	711	14.90%	78.93%	71.49%	38	27	76	
13	90	294	30.69%	82	537	15.31%	91.05%	54.79%	73	355	20.56%	80.77%	82.93%	19	22	38	
14	252	1,918	13.15%	238	4,502	5.29%	94.46%	42.60%	189	2,456	7.69%	74.89%	78.09%	64	53	128	
15	413	2,451	16.85%	284	6,408	4.43%	68.76%	38.26%	228	3,287	6.93%	55.17%	74.59%	75	62	151	
16	125	312	40.24%	100	804	12.51%	80.13%	38.80%	87	475	18.31%	69.24%	65.72%	28	23	57	
17	405	6,169	6.57%	399	12,664	3.15%	98.46%	48.71%	329	7,124	4.61%	81.11%	86.60%	112	85	224	
18	208	363	57.29%	138	1,525	9.08%	66.53%	23.84%	115	818	14.05%	55.20%	44.45%	31	43	62	
19	185	214	86.40%	107	909	11.77%	57.82%	23.56%	88	517	17.02%	47.52%	41.44%	28	29	56	
20	354	2,519	14.09%	227	4,103	5.54%	64.09%	61.39%	213	3,215	6.62%	60.00%	78.35%	56	76	112	
21	284	1,302	21.85%	210	3,513	5.98%	73.93%	37.05%	186	2,262	8.22%	65.36%	57.56%	57	60	114	
22	137	345	39.64%	108	940	11.57%	79.40%	36.78%	84	488	17.21%	61.26%	70.87%	31	21	63	
23	150	990	15.14%	177	2,494	7.10%	118.12%	39.72%	137	1,110	12.34%	91.31%	89.25%	39	42	79	
24	201	350	57.51%	132	1,384	9.54%	65.44%	25.34%	105	619	16.96%	52.02%	56.68%	27	39	55	
25	189	430	43.86%	176	2,478	7.12%	93.45%	17.38%	138	771	17.89%	73.01%	55.88%	18	58	37	
26	195	1,332	14.67%	201	3,227	6.24%	103.11%	41.29%	167	1,633	10.22%	85.39%	81.61%	31	61	62	
27	170	1,036	16.47%	156	1,954	8.02%	91.83%	53.05%	137	1,276	10.73%	80.20%	81.26%	33	44	66	
28	178	815	21.83%	209	3,485	6.01%	117.70%	23.39%	159	1,016	15.64%	89.32%	80.25%	32	66	64	
29	158	97	162.79%	152	1,853	8.24%	96.11%	5.26%	111	398	27.88%	69.85%	24.52%	13	50	27	
30	79	134	59.40%	81	526	15.45%	102.22%	25.45%	61	164	37.19%	76.59%	81.74%	8	27	16	
31	97	164	59.26%	86	600	14.47%	89.10%	27.42%	66	257	25.68%	67.67%	64.03%	24	14	49	
32	58	110	52.89%	52	217	24.03%	89.94%	50.51%	45	129	34.88%	77.31%	85.28%	11	14	22	
33	48	78	61.99%	40	132	30.83%	83.35%	59.67%	36	92	39.13%	73.54%	85.82%	10	10	20	
34	250	2,693	9.28%	234	4,367	5.36%	93.77%	61.66%	210	2,941	7.14%	83.99%	91.57%	46	69	93	

Plan Name:	S000S9004			Number of Districts			40									
Spatial Measurements - Map Based																
	Base Shapes			Circle - Dispersion					Convex Hull - Indentation							
	Perimeter	Area	P/A	Perimeter	Area	P/A	Pc/P	A/Ac	Perimeter	Area	P/A	Pc/P	A/Ac	Width	Height	W+H
35	127	399	31.76%	132	1,385	9.52%	103.91%	28.86%	101	552	18.29%	79.49%	72.45%	25	35	50
36	49	61	81.43%	51	207	24.61%	102.26%	29.56%	39	85	45.88%	78.04%	72.18%	11	12	22
37	306	1,313	23.33%	287	6,563	4.37%	93.78%	20.00%	208	2,299	9.04%	67.87%	57.11%	61	70	122
38	54	106	50.99%	58	275	21.37%	107.90%	38.85%	47	125	37.6%	86.20%	85.53%	9	18	18
39	852	8,194	10.40%	663	34,968	1.89%	77.76%	23.43%	545	18,841	2.89%	63.91%	43.49%	177	176	355
40	58	144	40.55%	56	256	22.16%	96.62%	56.55%	49	166	29.51%	83.43%	87.22%	16	11	32

S000S9004 Compactness of Populations within Districts													
	Straight line in miles apart				Miles to drive by fastest route					Minutes to drive by fastest route			
	Pop	VAP	VAP Black	VAP Hispanic	Pop	VAP	VAP Black	VAP Hisp	Route/Straight Line	Pop	VAP	VAP Black	VAP Hispanic
1	29.87	30.20	30.02	29.12	37.38	37.73	37.30	36.57	1.65	43.77	44.06	43.13	43.38
2	54.81	55.00	57.24	54.76	67.58	67.84	69.40	67.24	1.58	73.60	73.83	74.05	72.92
3	49.78	49.32	55.80	47.43	62.78	62.23	69.59	60.08	1.57	77.61	77.06	83.85	73.79
4	43.97	43.91	42.91	42.48	54.53	54.48	52.61	52.51	1.57	73.90	73.82	71.28	72.22
5	14.72	14.67	13.80	13.13	21.52	21.47	20.16	19.38	1.87	28.66	28.61	27.01	26.23
6	32.22	32.20	30.80	31.29	42.14	42.13	39.94	40.68	1.63	54.12	54.16	51.20	52.07
7	23.75	23.75	23.87	22.83	32.60	32.54	32.64	32.28	1.72	41.38	41.30	41.57	41.70
8	35.50	35.36	35.64	35.33	43.69	43.52	44.03	43.55	1.53	49.64	49.54	49.38	49.20
9	17.06	17.12	17.35	17.03	24.05	24.10	24.26	23.97	1.77	33.65	33.66	33.78	33.59
10	12.69	12.75	12.37	12.92	18.20	18.27	17.65	18.50	1.88	26.18	26.26	25.36	26.41
11	20.85	20.89	26.64	21.35	28.05	28.09	35.70	28.75	1.66	40.33	40.38	47.83	41.17
12	12.63	12.70	12.15	11.79	18.30	18.39	17.62	17.10	1.86	28.88	28.98	27.88	27.16
13	7.63	7.62	7.11	7.18	10.81	10.80	9.91	10.12	1.71	21.86	21.86	20.50	20.65
14	27.62	27.69	27.80	28.12	35.28	35.30	35.37	35.50	1.62	50.55	50.59	50.47	51.03
15	24.94	24.88	24.95	24.86	36.34	36.27	36.20	36.20	1.89	47.49	47.49	47.10	47.08
16	8.88	8.91	8.70	9.20	12.16	12.20	12.32	12.62	1.64	22.50	22.53	22.33	22.72
17	50.14	49.84	52.09	49.40	64.74	64.46	66.71	63.49	1.67	80.09	79.90	81.40	78.53
18	16.94	16.89	16.91	16.72	23.60	23.55	23.45	23.31	1.87	29.05	29.00	28.69	28.97
19	12.06	12.07	11.74	12.46	17.52	17.53	16.95	17.96	1.96	25.14	25.12	24.44	25.53
20	25.91	25.81	25.95	25.90	36.22	36.12	35.54	36.34	1.71	52.20	52.12	50.50	51.96
21	25.84	25.52	31.61	27.37	35.34	34.91	42.17	37.03	1.76	42.90	42.55	48.27	43.85
22	8.63	8.60	8.73	8.80	13.09	13.04	13.25	13.43	1.94	23.09	23.02	23.11	23.29
23	17.36	17.34	18.93	18.12	23.23	23.18	25.10	24.04	1.58	33.28	33.30	34.46	33.40
24	12.72	12.67	13.52	12.48	18.92	18.85	19.88	18.60	2.02	28.56	28.40	30.07	28.24
25	22.74	22.75	21.67	22.82	27.35	27.38	26.25	27.32	1.41	33.07	33.14	31.87	32.69
26	15.82	15.83	15.67	16.58	21.55	21.54	21.38	22.65	1.69	30.52	30.56	29.84	31.33
27	14.68	14.78	13.39	14.41	20.25	20.36	18.76	20.00	1.68	30.40	30.54	28.25	29.82
28	21.09	21.14	20.33	20.35	27.37	27.44	26.27	26.28	1.60	38.25	38.43	36.63	36.60
29	19.20	19.16	18.86	20.20	22.53	22.48	22.20	23.46	1.55	26.94	26.90	26.71	27.52
30	8.03	8.04	7.82	8.08	11.24	11.27	10.92	11.22	1.72	18.93	18.99	18.43	18.81
31	7.19	7.17	7.52	7.40	10.66	10.63	11.20	11.01	1.90	18.83	18.81	19.29	19.33
32	5.47	5.48	5.25	5.37	8.35	8.37	7.99	8.18	1.95	16.28	16.32	15.84	16.05
33	4.69	4.70	4.53	4.90	7.01	7.02	6.81	7.28	2.00	14.47	14.48	14.14	14.85
34	24.48	24.34	26.41	26.22	34.18	33.97	35.95	36.60	1.88	41.72	41.52	43.73	43.44
35	13.10	12.87	13.87	13.02	18.32	18.02	19.17	18.20	1.75	27.98	27.66	28.25	27.75

S000S9004 Compactness of Populations within Districts													
	Straight line in miles apart				Miles to drive by fastest route					Minutes to drive by fastest route			
	Pop	VAP	VAP Black	VAP Hispanic	Pop	VAP	VAP Black	VAP Hisp	Route/Straight Line	Pop	VAP	VAP Black	VAP Hispanic
36	4.97	4.94	5.47	4.90	7.27	7.24	8.02	7.20	1.80	13.81	13.77	14.51	13.70
37	21.22	21.17	21.16	21.06	30.31	30.26	30.08	29.84	1.75	42.66	42.69	41.69	41.54
38	5.29	5.27	5.83	5.22	7.99	7.96	8.69	7.90	1.94	15.85	15.80	16.74	15.72
39	51.42	52.31	40.13	49.40	68.57	69.77	52.37	66.15	1.77	80.16	81.76	61.55	76.86
40	4.64	4.63	5.41	4.53	6.92	6.89	8.05	6.74	1.90	13.82	13.80	15.84	13.54

S000S9004 - Basic Data																
District	Total Pop	Deviation	Voting Age Population					Split Pop Geography			District Core					
			TVAP	Black	%Black	Hispanic	%Hispanic	County	City	VTD	Core Dist	TPOP Core	%TPOP Dist	VAP Core	Black Core	Hisp Core
1	468,434	-1,598	349,448	167,219	47.85	20,525	5.87	5	7	71	1	327,870	69.99%	244,059	139,249	12,957
2	474,167	4,135	366,807	53,008	14.45	13,004	3.54	5	1	13	2	391,397	82.54%	300,880	39,048	10,210
3	467,982	-2,050	378,398	35,104	9.27	23,023	6.08	1	0	2	3	328,024	70.09%	266,851	21,439	16,273
4	474,110	4,078	372,854	46,758	12.54	19,363	5.19	5	1	13	4	407,583	85.96%	320,271	27,420	17,022
5	464,302	-5,730	362,771	39,634	10.92	24,743	6.82	1	1	34	5	204,728	44.09%	159,743	11,320	7,675
6	474,408	4,376	378,559	112,073	29.60	20,028	5.29	0	0	0	6	386,717	81.51%	308,197	94,556	16,492
7	464,457	-5,575	369,255	26,513	7.18	38,743	10.49	3	2	5	7	178,337	38.39%	145,607	5,991	10,125
8	468,479	-1,553	376,583	24,113	6.40	21,020	5.58	4	7	30	8	325,489	69.47%	263,532	17,457	15,319
9	468,249	-1,783	371,467	28,840	7.76	50,933	13.71	3	10	28	9	204,521	43.67%	160,228	11,674	23,516
10	465,322	-4,710	352,335	40,351	11.45	61,019	17.31	0	2	12	10	359,802	77.32%	269,171	26,434	48,820
11	472,059	2,027	380,467	20,405	5.36	32,728	8.60	2	1	4	11	253,753	53.75%	206,434	5,523	16,493
12	474,443	4,411	365,751	25,301	6.91	69,711	19.05	2	1	0	12	317,794	66.98%	242,488	18,487	54,766
13	471,128	1,096	388,385	21,661	5.57	28,873	7.43	0	0	8	13	239,698	50.87%	198,783	13,577	15,775
14	466,721	-3,311	366,631	56,238	15.33	26,867	7.32	0	0	0	14	275,856	59.10%	225,936	42,830	17,562
15	469,059	-973	361,986	37,468	10.35	55,145	15.23	3	7	23	15	244,783	52.18%	190,941	17,458	27,269
16	471,361	1,329	390,337	19,346	4.95	29,669	7.60	2	3	8	16	276,905	58.74%	223,877	12,104	20,261
17	466,866	-3,166	361,543	42,340	11.71	63,330	17.51	4	4	15	17	309,771	66.35%	240,145	27,673	45,135
18	464,088	-5,944	346,490	129,330	37.32	95,313	27.50	3	6	6	18	355,160	76.52%	264,527	115,662	67,516
19	466,120	-3,912	344,136	137,717	40.01	71,345	20.73	2	11	27	19	227,972	48.90%	165,310	94,540	33,532
20	470,377	345	384,365	35,090	9.12	25,470	6.62	4	5	16	20	212,338	45.14%	183,004	10,132	7,889
21	468,011	-2,021	366,802	30,931	8.43	42,948	11.70	3	4	12	21	276,705	59.12%	223,018	10,467	21,307
22	474,019	3,987	370,193	30,723	8.29	61,968	16.73	2	5	11	22	282,804	59.66%	222,503	18,275	38,138
23	464,437	-5,595	392,372	16,631	4.23	24,115	6.14	1	1	0	23	413,208	88.96%	350,613	13,728	20,349
24	468,795	-1,237	345,870	49,636	14.35	174,777	50.53	3	5	22	19	214,857	45.83%	160,193	20,878	87,737
25	464,779	-5,253	396,118	26,289	6.63	43,854	11.07	2	14	5	25	382,222	82.23%	326,823	21,844	36,102
26	473,083	3,051	379,104	35,258	9.30	30,947	8.16	2	0	3	26	265,429	56.10%	212,389	16,276	18,438
27	465,021	-5,011	377,724	32,199	8.52	55,295	14.63	2	1	5	27	256,622	55.18%	209,215	10,845	31,716
28	470,518	486	380,639	40,356	10.60	37,661	9.89	4	3	11	28	321,653	68.36%	264,031	16,562	24,550
29	473,576	3,544	359,129	200,050	55.70	55,556	15.46	2	16	7	29	376,245	79.44%	280,104	179,493	38,536
30	472,215	2,183	381,802	42,985	11.25	79,380	20.79	0	4	7	30	263,694	55.84%	220,829	18,083	35,968
31	475,858	5,826	371,503	52,744	14.19	115,204	31.01	0	9	0	31	332,582	69.89%	265,708	32,399	74,535
32	473,481	3,449	368,721	78,694	21.34	77,936	21.13	0	4	0	32	390,970	82.57%	300,919	67,490	64,722
33	473,799	3,767	351,969	203,270	57.75	98,527	27.99	2	6	4	33	231,652	48.89%	171,439	120,040	42,596
34	474,917	4,885	353,708	48,806	13.79	86,082	24.33	2	7	4	34	137,629	28.97%	98,450	8,226	39,587
35	475,801	5,769	385,170	37,166	9.64	194,648	50.53	0	7	6	35	299,585	62.96%	251,947	19,416	121,059

S000S9004 - Basic Data																
			Voting Age Population					Split Pop Geography			District Core					
District	Total Pop	Deviation	TVAP	Black	%Black	Hispanic	%Hispanic	County	City	VTD	Core Dist	TPOP Core	%TPOP Dist	VAP Core	Black Core	Hisp Core
36	474,853	4,821	387,321	21,066	5.43	323,164	83.43	0	4	9	36	315,150	66.36%	257,410	13,462	222,076
37	464,518	-5,514	378,183	15,293	4.04	60,940	16.11	2	1	8	37	395,303	85.09%	324,676	12,612	51,742
38	475,223	5,191	370,963	19,573	5.27	309,691	83.48	0	1	6	38	312,002	65.65%	245,965	10,836	206,342
39	469,142	-890	346,753	121,760	35.11	137,136	39.54	2	6	13	39	352,752	75.19%	259,870	76,089	106,061
40	467,132	-2,900	366,607	30,498	8.31	318,501	86.87	0	1	2	40	395,005	84.55%	310,787	14,226	279,622

S000S9004 Compare New District Core to the Current Districts										
District	Current Dist	Common Pop	Pop of Part	Common VAP	Black VAP	% of the Black	Hispanic VAP	% or the Hispanic	Haitian POP	W. Indies POP
1	1	327,870	69.99%	244,059	57.05%	83.27%	5.30%	63.12%	0.54%	1.43%
	5	117,390	25.06%	86,718	26.62%	13.80%	7.66%	32.38%	0.66%	1.54%
	7	12,499	2.66%	10,006	27.26%	1.63%	4.32%	2.10%	3.61%	4.11%
	8	10,675	2.27%	8,665	24.84%	1.28%	5.62%	2.37%	0.64%	1.25%
2	2	391,397	82.54%	300,880	12.97%	73.66%	3.39%	78.51%	0.16%	0.58%
	6	56,725	11.96%	45,461	25.36%	21.75%	4.18%	14.63%	0.17%	0.58%
	4	26,045	5.49%	20,466	11.87%	4.58%	4.35%	6.85%	0.02%	0.74%
3	3	328,024	70.09%	266,851	8.03%	61.07%	6.09%	70.68%	0.11%	0.73%
	14	130,586	27.90%	103,240	13.15%	38.68%	6.35%	28.48%	0.04%	0.40%
	11	9,372	2.00%	8,307	1.02%	0.24%	2.29%	0.82%	0%	0.16%
4	4	407,583	85.96%	320,271	8.56%	58.64%	5.31%	87.90%	0.10%	0.43%
	2	58,505	12.33%	46,579	34.51%	34.37%	4.54%	10.93%	0.34%	1.31%
	6	8,022	1.69%	6,004	54.34%	6.97%	3.71%	1.15%	0.10%	0.65%
5	5	204,728	44.09%	159,743	7.08%	28.56%	4.80%	31.01%	0.06%	0.39%
	8	189,510	40.81%	148,336	12.95%	48.47%	7.84%	47.03%	0.21%	1.01%
	1	70,064	15.09%	54,692	16.64%	22.96%	9.92%	21.94%	0.19%	0.69%
6	6	386,717	81.51%	308,197	30.68%	84.37%	5.35%	82.34%	0.44%	1.51%
	3	87,691	18.48%	70,362	24.89%	15.62%	5.02%	17.65%	0.14%	0.58%
7	7	178,337	38.39%	145,607	4.11%	22.59%	6.95%	26.13%	0.14%	0.54%
	20	157,242	33.85%	121,868	9.52%	43.79%	17.55%	55.22%	0.54%	1.51%
	24	120,969	26.04%	95,570	9.21%	33.22%	7.25%	17.88%	0.02%	1.25%
	26	7,899	1.70%	6,203	1.61%	0.37%	4.67%	0.74%	0%	0%
	1	10	0.00%	7	0%	0%	14.28%	0.00%	0%	0.75%
8	8	325,489	69.47%	263,532	6.62%	72.39%	5.81%	72.87%	0.14%	0.76%
	7	79,955	17.06%	68,671	6.62%	18.86%	4.46%	14.57%	0.25%	1.28%
	5	37,579	8.02%	25,370	4.24%	4.46%	5.72%	6.90%	0.04%	0.12%
	1	25,456	5.43%	19,010	5.41%	4.27%	6.23%	5.64%	0.48%	1.52%
9	9	204,521	43.67%	160,228	7.28%	40.47%	14.67%	46.17%	0.45%	1.71%
	20	146,528	31.29%	115,365	9.34%	37.36%	11.98%	27.13%	0.29%	1.64%
	22	70,838	15.12%	58,696	6.21%	12.65%	12.09%	13.93%	0.16%	0.78%
	19	26,282	5.61%	22,834	5.43%	4.29%	11.94%	5.35%	0%	0.48%
	15	20,080	4.28%	14,344	10.45%	5.19%	26.27%	7.39%	1.57%	3.51%
10	10	359,802	77.32%	269,171	9.82%	65.51%	18.13%	80.00%	0.26%	1.47%
	12	98,726	21.21%	77,150	16.29%	31.15%	14.70%	18.59%	0.71%	2.85%
	18	6,794	1.46%	6,014	22.36%	3.33%	14.15%	1.39%	0.96%	2.10%
11	11	253,753	53.75%	206,434	2.67%	27.06%	7.98%	50.39%	0.04%	0.52%

S000S9004 Compare New District Core to the Current Districts										
District	Current Dist	Common Pop	Pop of Part	Common VAP	Black VAP	% of the Black	Hispanic VAP	% or the Hispanic	Haitian POP	W. Indies POP
	15	120,751	25.57%	95,991	6.93%	32.61%	8.37%	24.55%	0.06%	0.24%
	12	71,731	15.19%	55,828	4.82%	13.20%	10.41%	17.76%	0.09%	0.34%
	20	25,824	5.47%	22,214	24.90%	27.11%	10.73%	7.28%	0.51%	1.11%
12	12	317,794	66.98%	242,488	7.62%	73.06%	22.58%	78.56%	0.23%	1.64%
	10	73,792	15.55%	59,572	5.53%	13.02%	9.38%	8.02%	0.08%	0.71%
	16	60,733	12.80%	46,091	6.94%	12.64%	18.32%	12.11%	0.25%	1.15%
	11	21,787	4.59%	17,338	1.52%	1.04%	4.68%	1.16%	0%	0.10%
	18	337	0.07%	262	20.99%	0.21%	35.49%	0.13%	0.4%	1.6%
13	13	239,698	50.87%	198,783	6.83%	62.67%	7.93%	54.63%	0.00%	0.20%
	11	148,749	31.57%	122,338	2.56%	14.48%	5.21%	22.10%	0.01%	0.25%
	16	82,681	17.54%	67,264	7.35%	22.83%	9.98%	23.25%	0.27%	0.45%
14	14	275,856	59.10%	225,936	18.95%	76.15%	7.77%	65.36%	0.45%	1.34%
	5	155,672	33.35%	113,837	10.42%	21.11%	7.37%	31.24%	0.46%	0.95%
	7	35,193	7.54%	26,858	5.71%	2.72%	3.38%	3.38%	0%	0.35%
15	15	244,783	52.18%	190,941	9.14%	46.59%	14.28%	49.44%	0.61%	1.64%
	17	112,965	24.08%	88,229	14.98%	35.29%	10.46%	16.74%	1.20%	2.02%
	24	52,049	11.09%	38,812	11.05%	11.45%	27.44%	19.31%	0.14%	2.96%
	26	34,342	7.32%	25,527	3.95%	2.69%	15.84%	7.33%	0%	0.04%
	9	15,189	3.23%	11,599	3.93%	1.21%	17.13%	3.60%	0.01%	0.36%
	19	7,957	1.69%	5,697	8.86%	1.34%	29.52%	3.05%	0%	0.24%
	10	1,774	0.37%	1,181	44.36%	1.39%	23.37%	0.50%	1.61%	4.03%
16	16	276,905	58.74%	223,877	5.40%	62.56%	9.05%	68.29%	0.05%	0.38%
	13	154,727	32.82%	132,088	2.39%	16.35%	4.91%	21.87%	0.05%	0.26%
	18	39,729	8.42%	34,372	11.86%	21.07%	8.48%	9.83%	0.62%	1.84%
17	17	309,771	66.35%	240,145	11.52%	65.35%	18.79%	71.26%	0.22%	0.80%
	28	105,503	22.59%	78,681	14.93%	27.76%	18.74%	23.28%	2.70%	5.67%
	23	22,794	4.88%	19,167	8.66%	3.92%	6.57%	1.98%	1.62%	5.79%
	21	22,365	4.79%	18,460	6.32%	2.75%	4.88%	1.42%	0.85%	3.50%
	27	5,775	1.23%	4,480	1.83%	0.19%	27.76%	1.96%	0.15%	1.07%
	26	658	0.14%	610	0.49%	0.00%	7.21%	0.06%	1.88%	7.25%
18	18	355,160	76.52%	264,527	43.72%	89.43%	25.52%	70.83%	1.38%	3.26%
	10	46,928	10.11%	33,869	18.98%	4.97%	23.45%	8.33%	0.66%	2.98%
	12	43,708	9.41%	33,802	16.56%	4.32%	38.90%	13.79%	0.44%	2.35%
	16	11,597	2.49%	9,560	8.02%	0.59%	55.24%	5.54%	0.04%	0.77%
	21	6,354	1.36%	4,414	17.78%	0.60%	32.01%	1.48%	6.84%	7.40%
	13	341	0.07%	318	27.98%	0.06%	1.88%	0.00%	0%	0.22%

S000S9004 Compare New District Core to the Current Districts										
District	Current Dist	Common Pop	Pop of Part	Common VAP	Black VAP	% of the Black	Hispanic VAP	% or the Hispanic	Haitian POP	W. Indies POP
19	19	227,972	48.90%	165,310	57.18%	68.64%	20.28%	46.99%	9.26%	15.84%
	9	167,059	35.84%	125,455	21.26%	19.37%	22.58%	39.71%	1.60%	4.10%
	22	61,388	13.16%	46,518	32.13%	10.85%	17.65%	11.51%	0.53%	1.86%
	20	9,701	2.08%	6,853	22.61%	1.12%	18.45%	1.77%	0.23%	1.28%
20	20	212,338	45.14%	183,004	5.53%	28.87%	4.31%	30.97%	0.12%	0.59%
	7	126,570	26.90%	98,794	7.28%	20.50%	7.21%	27.98%	0.02%	0.58%
	3	79,366	16.87%	60,719	11.23%	19.44%	12.85%	30.65%	0.07%	1.33%
	14	51,047	10.85%	40,951	26.63%	31.08%	6.43%	10.34%	0.05%	0.25%
	1	1,056	0.22%	897	3.67%	0.09%	1.11%	0.03%	0%	0.98%
21	21	276,705	59.12%	223,018	4.69%	33.83%	9.55%	49.61%	0.77%	1.15%
	10	83,625	17.86%	60,578	22.02%	43.14%	19.34%	27.28%	0.19%	0.79%
	15	74,529	15.92%	56,965	9.60%	17.69%	13.75%	18.24%	0.20%	0.75%
	17	27,952	5.97%	21,704	6.69%	4.69%	8.03%	4.06%	0.12%	0.22%
	18	2,802	0.59%	2,254	8.29%	0.60%	13.79%	0.72%	0.46%	0.52%
	23	2,398	0.51%	2,283	0.26%	0.01%	1.18%	0.06%	0%	0.70%
22	22	282,804	59.66%	222,503	8.21%	59.48%	17.14%	61.54%	0.31%	2.02%
	24	118,880	25.07%	92,469	9.71%	29.23%	18.90%	28.20%	0.33%	1.80%
	9	47,761	10.07%	36,457	5.34%	6.34%	11.49%	6.76%	0.33%	1.37%
	20	24,574	5.18%	18,764	8.07%	4.93%	11.51%	3.48%	0.17%	0.83%
23	23	413,208	88.96%	350,613	3.91%	82.54%	5.80%	84.38%	0.36%	0.97%
	21	51,229	11.03%	41,759	6.95%	17.45%	9.01%	15.61%	0.06%	0.87%
24	19	214,857	45.83%	160,193	13.03%	42.06%	54.76%	50.19%	0.87%	3.11%
	15	100,627	21.46%	70,216	22.44%	31.74%	49.58%	19.92%	3.51%	7.69%
	9	92,905	19.81%	70,028	10.49%	14.79%	46.21%	18.51%	1.38%	3.08%
	26	27,297	5.82%	20,225	11.91%	4.85%	48.21%	5.57%	1.13%	3.27%
	24	24,702	5.26%	19,238	11.93%	4.62%	38.98%	4.29%	1.22%	3.60%
	22	4,733	1.00%	3,642	10.62%	0.77%	43.35%	0.90%	1.50%	3.38%
	17	3,674	0.78%	2,328	24.14%	1.13%	44.11%	0.58%	2.00%	4.27%
25	25	382,222	82.23%	326,823	6.68%	83.09%	11.04%	82.32%	1.76%	2.85%
	28	54,116	11.64%	44,269	1.83%	3.08%	9.12%	9.21%	0.07%	0.87%
	29	20,070	4.31%	18,120	10.55%	7.27%	14.58%	6.02%	3.29%	4.65%
	27	8,371	1.80%	6,906	24.93%	6.55%	15.47%	2.43%	5.39%	8.77%
26	26	265,429	56.10%	212,389	7.66%	46.16%	8.68%	59.57%	1.00%	3.01%
	24	207,654	43.89%	166,715	11.38%	53.83%	7.50%	40.42%	0.16%	1.11%
27	27	256,622	55.18%	209,215	5.18%	33.68%	15.15%	57.35%	0.67%	2.06%
	21	104,498	22.47%	83,240	7.61%	19.68%	13.81%	20.79%	1.65%	2.24%

S000S9004 Compare New District Core to the Current Districts										
District	Current Dist	Common Pop	Pop of Part	Common VAP	Black VAP	% of the Black	Hispanic VAP	% or the Hispanic	Haitian POP	W. Indies POP
	37	83,971	18.05%	67,201	21.57%	45.03%	17.11%	20.79%	3.45%	3.96%
	23	19,930	4.28%	18,068	2.86%	1.60%	3.22%	1.05%	0%	0.89%
28	28	321,653	68.36%	264,031	6.27%	41.03%	9.29%	65.18%	0.92%	2.04%
	26	146,267	31.08%	114,075	20.83%	58.90%	11.45%	34.69%	2.46%	3.84%
	17	2,598	0.55%	2,533	0.82%	0.05%	1.77%	0.11%	0%	0%
29	29	376,245	79.44%	280,104	64.08%	89.72%	13.75%	69.36%	13.55%	22.63%
	32	35,091	7.40%	27,698	48.15%	6.66%	13.63%	6.80%	4.91%	24.78%
	31	33,364	7.04%	28,260	10.94%	1.54%	22.18%	11.28%	2.41%	4.46%
	30	10,630	2.24%	8,708	24.52%	1.06%	19.03%	2.98%	8.53%	10.05%
	27	8,843	1.86%	6,890	7.03%	0.24%	66.73%	8.27%	0.39%	1.43%
	25	8,070	1.70%	6,475	13.32%	0.43%	8.84%	1.03%	6.90%	8.31%
	34	1,333	0.28%	994	64.38%	0.31%	14.38%	0.25%	25.71%	52.62%
30	30	263,694	55.84%	220,829	8.18%	42.06%	16.28%	45.31%	3.95%	5.55%
	27	207,692	43.98%	160,302	15.45%	57.65%	27.01%	54.55%	5.27%	7.97%
	29	829	0.17%	671	18.03%	0.28%	16.39%	0.13%	8.37%	10.18%
31	31	332,582	69.89%	265,708	12.19%	61.42%	28.05%	64.69%	1.71%	5.14%
	34	140,880	29.60%	103,977	19.40%	38.25%	38.17%	34.45%	4.29%	10.66%
	35	2,396	0.50%	1,818	9.35%	0.32%	53.46%	0.84%	1.90%	5.49%
32	32	390,970	82.57%	300,919	22.42%	85.76%	21.50%	83.04%	5.27%	11.76%
	30	50,773	10.72%	41,587	12.32%	6.51%	15.48%	8.26%	4.83%	6.88%
	34	31,738	6.70%	26,215	23.19%	7.72%	25.83%	8.69%	4.06%	14.14%
33	33	231,652	48.89%	171,439	70.01%	59.05%	24.84%	43.23%	19.56%	28.38%
	35	133,230	28.11%	99,287	44.84%	21.90%	30.21%	30.44%	16.39%	25.60%
	31	60,362	12.74%	45,723	40.15%	9.03%	36.20%	16.80%	6.62%	17.53%
	34	48,404	10.21%	35,369	57.29%	9.96%	26.43%	9.48%	10.94%	31.35%
	40	151	0.03%	151	50.99%	0.03%	18.54%	0.02%	1.26%	3.53%
34	34	137,629	28.97%	98,450	8.35%	16.85%	40.21%	45.98%	1.09%	4.55%
	30	133,606	28.13%	104,386	5.98%	12.79%	13.76%	16.69%	1.47%	3.36%
	27	64,252	13.52%	48,292	17.42%	17.24%	23.32%	13.08%	2.70%	6.21%
	28	63,813	13.43%	47,533	15.79%	15.38%	17.20%	9.49%	1.89%	7.20%
	25	38,106	8.02%	27,963	14.90%	8.53%	19.56%	6.35%	1.32%	4.96%
	39	28,333	5.96%	20,094	65.39%	26.92%	24.48%	5.71%	6.60%	11.40%
	31	6,341	1.33%	4,825	16.82%	1.66%	35.87%	2.01%	1.00%	6.93%
	32	2,837	0.59%	2,165	13.53%	0.60%	25.81%	0.64%	0.56%	7.52%
35	35	299,585	62.96%	251,947	7.70%	52.24%	48.04%	62.19%	2.17%	3.39%
	36	90,686	19.05%	70,011	7.12%	13.42%	56.04%	20.15%	0.79%	3.18%

Proposed Senate Districts

District Descriptions (S000S9004)

The descriptions and professional staff comments in this report do not reflect the intent or official position of persons submitting plans or the Florida Senate.

District 1 preserves the core of an existing district that has long elected an African-American member to the Senate. The district connects communities in the northeastern portion of the state from the St. Johns River basin to Interstate 95 between Daytona Beach and Jacksonville.

The committee heard testimony in Jacksonville that urged the maintenance of such a district in order to preserve minority voting opportunities in Northeast Florida. District 1 has a black voting-age population of 47.9%, comparable to that of the existing district. The Legislature received several submissions from the public that proposed districts of a similar configuration and demographic composition. (See Plans HPUBS0090, SPUBS0142, SPUBS0148, and SPUBS0155).

District 2 links the rural communities of the Florida Panhandle in Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton, Bay, Washington, Holmes, and Jackson Counties, utilizing political and geographical boundaries for nearly the entire length of its perimeter. It follows the boundaries of the state on the western, northern, and eastern sides of the district. The district's southern boundary follows the intercoastal waterway, the Yellow River, Interstate 10, the eastern boundary of Bay County and the southern boundary of Jackson County, and the outer boundaries of Pensacola to the west and Lynn Haven to the east.

The committee heard testimony at the Pensacola, Panama City and Fort Walton Beach public hearings and at the October 5, 2011, Senate Reapportionment Committee meeting that rural and agricultural interests in the north part of the Panhandle have different traditions and representational needs than the urban and tourism interest in the south. Additionally the committee heard testimony pointing out that commerce and communication flow east to west along the main transportation corridors of the region, Interstate 10 and U.S. Highway 98, and not north to south. The Legislature received several submissions that proposed a similar orientation in the Panhandle. (See Plans HPUBS0007, HPUBS0080, HPUBS0099, HPUBS0090, SPUBS0105, and SPUBS0142.)

District 3 combines rural communities in North Florida and the Nature Coast. The plan makes extensive use of political boundaries, incorporating all of Citrus, Levy, Gilchrist, Lafayette, Suwannee, Columbia, Union, and Baker Counties. In Marion County, the district uses Interstate 75 and the western boundary of Ocala as its western boundary. In addition, District 3 enables District 6 to the west and District 14 to the east to consist entirely of whole counties.

At the public hearing in Gainesville, members of the public supported keeping rural counties such as Gilchrist and Union separate from major metropolitan areas like Jacksonville. At its meeting on October 18, 2011, the Senate Reapportionment Committee heard public support for keeping the Nature Coast region largely intact. The testimony pointed out that Dixie and Levy Counties and the rest of the region have a rural-industry focus quite different from urban areas like Gainesville. Plan SPUBS0143 contains a district similar to District 3.

District 4 unites the coastal communities of the Florida Panhandle in Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton, and Bay Counties. Like District 2, District 4 uses political and geographical boundaries for nearly the entire length of its perimeter. It follows the boundaries of the state on its west, the eastern boundary of Bay County on its east, and the Gulf of Mexico on its south. The northern boundary of the district follows the intercoastal waterway, the Yellow River, Interstate 10, and the outer boundaries of Pensacola to the west and Lynn Haven to the east.

District 4 is supported by the same testimony as District 2. Its horizontal configuration recognizes the differences between the rural North and the urban South. District 4 honors the request of members of the public who called for representation that reflects their distinct communities. Plans HPUBS0007, HPUBS0080, HPUBS0099, HPUBS0090, SPUBS0105, and SPUBS0142 all have a similar alignment in the Panhandle.

District 5 contains all of Nassau County and a portion of Duval County necessary to attain the population. The district is bounded by the State of Georgia on the west and north, the Atlantic Ocean on the east, and uses the Duval County line for most of its southern boundary. Part of its boundary is adjacent to the northeast Florida minority opportunity district (District 1).

Several participants at the Jacksonville hearing requested that Nassau County be kept whole. In the benchmark plan, District 5 divided Nassau, Clay, and St. Johns Counties. Public plans HPUBS0056, SPUBS0066, and HPUBS0095 contain districts of a similar orientation, keeping Nassau County whole and combining it with a portion of Duval County to equalize populations.

District 6 combines the counties of the Capitol Region. The district consists entirely of whole counties, following political boundaries for its entire perimeter. The district includes Gadsden, Calhoun, Gulf, Liberty, Franklin, Taylor, Wakulla, Jefferson, Leon, Madison, and Hamilton Counties. Its location is largely dictated by District 2 and District 4 to its west.

District 6 combines communities that associate with Tallahassee, which lies near the geographic center of the district. Many residents in the surrounding counties travel to Tallahassee for work and recreation, and the district is traversed by Interstate 10, which promotes intra-district travel and commerce. At the public hearing in Tallahassee, several members of the public urged the elimination of “fingers” like the one in District 3 of the benchmark plan that pushes into Tallahassee. Public plan SPUBS0143 includes a district that is identical to District 6.

District 7 combines the communities south and west of Daytona Beach in Volusia County with northern Brevard County and eastern Orange County. The district follows the western border of Volusia County, the northern border of Orange County, the Econlockhatchee River, and, as its southern boundary, the Beachline Expressway through Orange and Brevard Counties, and the northern boundary of the City of Cocoa. Its eastern boundary is the Atlantic Ocean.

The Committee heard testimony from the public at the Dayton Beach hearing requesting at least one district based primarily in Volusia County. Additionally members of the public requested that cities in Volusia County be kept whole. Consistent with this testimony, District 7 does not divide cities, and it follows the boundaries of DeBary, Port Orange, Daytona Beach, and Daytona Beach Shores for portions of its boundary. Public plans HPUBS0084 and SPUBS0146 each contain a district similar to District 7.

District 8 combines the coastal communities of Northeast Florida from the Jacksonville beaches south of the mouth of the St. Johns River to Daytona Beach. The district is adjacent to the northeast Florida minority-access district to its west and is bordered by the Atlantic Ocean on the east.

The district is connected through common interests along the northeast coast of Florida, from Atlantic Beach to St. Augustine Beach and Daytona Beach. Interstate 95 runs through most of District 8 and thus facilitates commerce and transportation across the district. Public plan SPUBS0155 includes a district similar to District 8.

District 9 includes communities along the Florida Turnpike from Leesburg to Orlando. Its western boundary is the western boundary of Lake County, and its southern boundary is the southern boundary of Lake and Orange Counties. On the east, the district abuts two minority opportunity districts in Central Florida.

District 9 contains closely united territory. Travel through the district is facilitated not only by the Florida Turnpike, but by Interstate 4 to the east and Highway 441 to the north. The communities it unites are connected with Orlando, as well as Lake Buena Vista and Winter Park, in several respects. Residents of Mount Dora, Clermont, Minneola, Leesburg, and other municipalities throughout the district frequently travel to Orlando for work and recreation. Public plans SPUBS0146 and SPUBS0147 each contain districts of a similar configuration.

District 10 links the communities east of Tampa, partially encircling the city. On the north and south, District 10 follows the boundaries of Hillsborough County. On the west, it abuts Interstate 275 and a minority-opportunity district that generally follows Interstate 75 and Tampa Bay. On the east, District 10 follows State Road 39 and the western and northern outskirts of Plant City. The district is contained wholly within Hillsborough County.

District 10 consists of the closely united territory along the eastern periphery of greater Tampa. Communities such as Brandon, Sun City Center, and Apollo Beach are associated by geographical proximity and shared interests. At the public hearing in Tampa, the committee heard from members of both communities requesting that they be kept whole.

District 11 connects the communities of northern and western Pasco County with all of Hernando County and most of Sumter County. The district is bounded by the Gulf of Mexico on the west, the boundaries of Hernando and Sumter County on the north and east, and State Road 52 in Pasco County along most of its southern border.

At the public hearing in Wesley Chapel the Committee heard from several members of the public who pointed out the similarities between the rural portions of Hernando, Pasco, and Sumter Counties. This district combines the common interests described at the Wesley Chapel hearing. Members of the public also pointed out the growing interest of The Villages in Sumter County. District 11 does not include the portion of The Villages that falls within Sumter County. Instead, The Villages and its separate interests are preserved whole within District 20.

District 12 links the communities in northwest Hillsborough County with south-central and southeast Pasco County. In Hillsborough County, the district is bounded on the west by the boundary between Pinellas and Hillsborough Counties and on the east by a minority opportunity district and Interstate 275.

In Pasco County, the district is bounded chiefly by State Road 52. The district does not divide any cities in Pasco County, following the boundaries of St. Leo and San Antonio.

At the public hearing in Wesley Chapel the Committee heard from members of the public who pointed out the division between East Pasco, which has a coastal focus, and West Pasco, which is more rural. One member of the public suggested that communities like Wesley Chapel, Zephyrhills, and Lutz form a contiguous zone of common interest. The district provides ease of travel along Veterans Expressway, Suncoast Parkway, Interstates 75 and 275, and State Roads 52 and 56.

District 13 contains communities in northern Pinellas County. The district is bounded by the Pinellas County line on the north and east and by the Gulf of Mexico and Intracoastal Waterway on the west. On the south, the boundary crosses Pinellas County without dividing any municipalities. Parts of the boundary follow the municipal boundaries of St. Petersburg, Pinellas Park, Largo, Seminole, Indian Shores, Indian Rocks Beach, Belleair, Belleair Beach, and Clearwater.

District 13 contains, in its entirety, the related communities of Largo, Belleair, Belleair Bluffs, Clearwater, Safety Harbor, Oldsmar, Dunedin, and Tarpon Springs. Many of the submissions received from the public contained districts that united the communities of northern Pinellas County. (See Plans HPUBS0007, HPUBS0056, HPUBS0083, SPUBS0091, HPUBS0092, and HPUBS0095)

District 14 consists of three whole counties—Alachua, Bradford, and Clay Counties—and therefore follows political boundaries for the entire extent of its perimeter. The regular shapes of the counties that compose District 14 result in a district of closely united territory.

At the public hearings in Gainesville and Jacksonville, the committee heard from several members of the public urging the Legislature, to the extent possible, to avoid the division of the many communities in Alachua, Bradford, and Clay Counties. By maintaining Alachua, Bradford, and Clay Counties as whole counties, District 14 preserves each of the municipalities in the three counties. Public plan SPUBS0143 has a district similar to District 14.

District 15 links the mostly rural communities of Osceola, Polk, and Orange Counties. A portion of its boundary is defined by Hispanic-majority District 24. On the northwest, the district follows the boundary of Polk County. On the east, it follows the boundary of Osceola County. Along much of its northern and southern boundaries, it follows the Beachline Expressway and State Road 60, respectively. From its north-central point, the district is connected to the east by the Beachline Expressway, to the southeast by the Florida Turnpike, and to the southwest by Interstate 4.

At the public hearing in Lakeland, the committee heard testimony pointing out the rural nature of the majority of Polk County outside of Lakeland, and the interests in the agricultural industry that the region shares, differentiating it from the urban areas in Tampa to the west and Orlando to the northeast. The portion of Osceola County contained in District 15 shares these rural and agricultural interests.

District 16 connects the southern and beach communities in Pinellas County with south Tampa. It is bounded on the west by the Gulf of Mexico, on the north by Interstate 275 and municipal boundaries across Pinellas County, and on the east and south by a minority opportunity district.

The district unites the beach communities in western Pinellas County from Belleair Beach to St. Pete Beach. These communities share economic interests and contribute significantly to the economic life of Pinellas County. Interstate 275 provides easy transportation throughout the district.

District 17 includes the predominantly rural, agricultural areas from the Kissimmee basin to Lake Okeechobee. The district includes all of Hardee, Desoto, Glades, Highlands, and Okeechobee Counties, as well as the largely rural parts of southern Polk County, northern Charlotte County, and eastern Martin and St. Lucie Counties. It follows the western boundaries of Hardee and Desoto Counties, the southern boundaries of Glades and Martin Counties, and the northern boundaries of St. Lucie and Okeechobee Counties. The district also follows State Road 60 through much of Polk County and County Road 74 through most of Charlotte County.

At the public hearing in Wauchula, several members of the public urged the committee to group the inland communities in counties like Hardee, Highlands, and Glades with each other, rather than with coastal and urban communities. District 17 attempts to give effect to the expressed desire for an agricultural district. Public plan HPUBS0072 contains a similar district.

District 18 preserves the core of a minority access district for Tampa Bay that was created by the Florida Supreme Court in 1992 and has consistently elected the candidate of choice of minority voters. The district connects African-American and Hispanic communities in Hillsborough, Manatee, and Pinellas Counties, but also unites urban populations in Tampa, St. Petersburg, and Bradenton. The district follows geographical boundaries along portions of its perimeter, using Tampa Bay at its center and Interstate 75 on the east.

At the Tampa public hearing, the committee heard from a number of members of the public who argued for a minority access district in Hillsborough County. District 18 has a black voting-age population of 37.3% and a Hispanic voting-age population of 27.5%. Public plans HPUBS0085, SPUBS0123, SPUBS0142, and SPUBS0155 each have a district substantially similar to District 18.

District 19 unites urban, largely minority communities in Orange and Seminole Counties. It includes parts of Orlando, Ocoee, Winter Garden, Apopka, Maitland Winter Park, and Sanford, as well as the historic City of Eatonville. At the public hearing in Orlando, the Committee heard from representatives of the minority communities in the Orlando area who argued for their junction in a single district. District 19 has a black voting-age population of 40%.

District 20 connects the largely rural area north of Central Florida. The district follows most of the boundaries of Putnam County and, on the east, part of the eastern boundary of Lake County. On the west, District 20 follows Interstate 75 and the western boundary of Ocala through Marion County. It includes The Villages in Marion and in the northern portion of Sumter and Lake Counties.

District 20 includes The Villages, Ocala, rural areas in eastern Marion County and Putnam County, and most of central and east Lake County. At the public hearing in The Villages, the committee heard from many members of the public who expressed a desire to keep that community together, pointing out the common culture, lifestyle and interest shared by the people that live in The Villages.

District 21 combines the large share of Manatee County not in the Tampa Bay minority access district with communities in eastern Hillsborough and western Polk County. The district follows the boundaries of Manatee County adjacent to the minority access district. In Hillsborough County it follows Highways

579, 674, and 39 and the outskirts of Plant City. The boundary crosses into Polk County on Highway 582 and through the City of Lakeland using Interstate 4, U.S. 92, and U.S. 98. The district boundary passes between Mulberry, which is entirely inside the district, and Bartow, which is entirely outside the district.

At the Tampa hearing, the committee heard from members of the public who testified that the rural communities in eastern Hillsborough County around Plant City associate more closely with each other than with neighboring Tampa. At the public hearing in Sarasota the committee heard similar testimony about the rural communities of eastern Manatee including testimony that the rural communities of Myakka Head, Old Myakka and Myakka City in Manatee share similarities with rural areas of southern Polk and eastern Hillsborough Counties.

District 22 combines the majority of Seminole County, excepting portions of northern and western Seminole County that are part of a minority opportunity district, with parts of northern Orange County as necessary to equalize the district population. The eastern boundary of the district consists of the eastern boundary of Seminole County and the Econlockhatchee River.

The district includes like communities such as Longwood, Casselberry, Winter Springs, Oviedo, and most of Lake Mary and Altamonte Springs. Public Plans SPUBS0064, HPUBS0072, SPUBS0146 and SPUBS0147 contain districts with an orientation similar to that of District 22.

District 23 includes all of Sarasota County and the eastern portion of Charlotte County. It follows the Gulf of Mexico on the west, the boundary of Sarasota County on the north and east, and Charlotte Harbor in the south.

At the Sarasota public hearing, the committee heard testimony asking that Sarasota County be kept in a single district. Additionally, members of the public advocated combining Sarasota and western Charlotte counties, pointing out the common interest they share as coastal communities. District 23 ties the communities of Longboat Key, Sarasota, Venice, North Port, and Port Charlotte. It is intersected by Interstate 75, which runs from the northern to the southeastern boundary of the district. Public plan HPUBS0092 contains a district similar to District 23.

District 24 unites the predominantly Puerto-Rican Hispanic communities of Orange, Osceola, and Polk Counties. The communities in this region have similar commercial and economic interests.

The committee received testimony from many members of the public at the Orlando public hearing and through email that pointed out the growing Hispanic population in Central Florida and the common culture, language, and business interests shared among the community. More than 50% of the voting-age population of District 24 is Hispanic. Plans HPUBS0092, HPUBS0095, HPUBS0102, SPUBS0123, and SPUBS0147 all have districts with the same general orientation and demographics as District 24.

District 25 connects the coastal communities of Broward and Palm Beach Counties. It is adjacent to the minority opportunity district to its west and the Atlantic Ocean to the east. In the northwest, the district follows the municipal boundaries of West Palm Beach and Palm Beach Gardens. In the northeast, it crosses through Jupiter following the Loxahatchee River. On the south, it follows the Ft. Lauderdale city boundary.

The committee heard from many members of the public at the Davie and Boca Raton public hearings who emphasized the shared interests among coastal residents in the two counties, including tourism and affordable property insurance. A number of maps submitted by the public contain districts substantially similar to District 25. (See Plans HPUBS0089, SPUBS0123, SPUBS0147 and SPUBS0155.)

District 26 combines southern Brevard County with northern and western Indian River County, including the cities of Sebastian and Fellsmere. The district follows the borders of Brevard and Indian River Counties on the west and south, and is bounded on the east by the Atlantic Ocean and Interstate 95. On the north, District 26 generally follows the Beachline Expressway, the municipal boundaries of Cocoa, and the barge canal that crosses Merritt Island and empties to the Atlantic at Port Canaveral.

District 26 ties communities along the barrier islands of the Space Coast with similar communities along U.S. 1 and Interstate 95. The Committee heard testimony at the Melbourne hearing noting that the population of Brevard County must be divided into two Senate districts. In this plan, the majority of the county is in a single district, with a small remainder in District 7. Public plan HPUBS0085 contains a district similar to District 26.

District 27 combines eastern Lee and southern Charlotte Counties. The district follows the county boundaries of Lee and Charlotte Counties on the east and south and the Caloosahatchee River, the municipal boundary of Cape Coral, and Charlotte Harbor on the west. The district is traversed by Interstate 75 from Punta Gorda in the north to Fort Myers and Bonita Springs in the south.

At the Lehigh Acres public hearing, many testified about their desire to see Lehigh Acres kept in a single district. The committee also heard multiple requests that the City of Bonita Springs be put in a mostly Lee County district. District 27 ties all of Lehigh Acres, Fort Myers, and Punta Gorda with almost all the population of Bonita Springs in a single district.

District 28 connects the Treasure Coast communities of Indian River, St. Lucie, Martin, and northern Palm Beach Counties. On the east, the district is bounded by the Atlantic Ocean. On the west, it is generally bounded by the Florida Turnpike and Interstate 95.

At the Stuart meeting, the committee received testimony that described the similar interests shared by the communities of northern Palm Beach County and the rest of the Treasure Coast. SPUBS0123 contains a district that is substantially similar to District 28.

District 29 unites communities along Interstate 95 and U.S. 1 in Palm Beach and Broward Counties. It also preserves the core of an existing district that has consistently elected candidates preferred by minority voters. The district includes all of Lauderhill and Lauderdale Lakes and is bounded on the south in part by the municipal boundaries of Plantation, Fort Lauderdale, and Dania Beach.

The Committee heard from several members of the public at both the Boca Raton and Davie hearings that expressed concerns that the African-American communities continue to have a voice. District 29 has a black voting-age population of 55.7%. A number of public maps contain similar districts. (Plans HPUBS0084, HPUBS0089, SPUBS0091, SPUBS0123, and SPUBS0155.)

District 30 includes communities in southern and central Palm Beach County between Interstate 95 on and the Florida Turnpike. District 30 is adjacent to the minority opportunity district to the east. In places, the district follows the municipal boundaries of Boca Raton, Greenacres, and other cities.

The district combines the Century Village retirement communities in Palm Beach County as well as western Boca Raton and suburbs. It is oriented along the principal transportation routes that run from north to south through heavily populated areas in Palm Beach County.

District 31 includes communities of south Broward County. Its southern boundary follows the southern boundary of Broward County and the northern boundary of the minority-majority district in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties. Its eastern boundary is the Atlantic Ocean, and its northern boundary generally follows the city boundaries of Hallandale Beach, Hollywood, Dania Beach, Plantation, Pembroke Pines, and Miramar, as well as Interstate 595.

Travel through the district is facilitated by Interstates 75, 95, and 595, and several major thoroughfares that cross the district east-to-west. The district unites most of Cooper City and Davie, which the committee heard at the public hearing in Davie share a single chamber of commerce and interest in equestrian issues. HPUBS0007 and SPUBS0105 both contain districts that combine the municipalities of Davie, Cooper City, and Diana Beach, and are substantially similar to District 31.

District 32 combines the inland municipalities in the northern portion of Broward County. The district is bounded on the west by the Sawgrass Expressway, on the north by the Broward County line, on the east and south by the minority opportunity district that parallels Interstate 95, and further along the south, by the Tamarac and Sunrise city lines. The district closely follows political boundaries and consists of a geographically concentrated area entirely within Broward County.

The district includes, in their entirety, the municipalities of Tamarac, North Lauderdale, Coral Springs, Margate, Coconut Creek, and Parkland. It unites similar communities traversed through the center of the district by the Florida Turnpike, on the east of the district by Interstate 95, and on the west of the district by the Sawgrass Expressway. The committee received testimony at the public hearing in Davie requesting that these communities be grouped together because they share many interest and amenities, including schools, hospitals and Chambers of Commerce. This same testimony argued that these interests were distinct from those in the neighboring communities of Cooper City and Weston.

District 33 includes the core of a majority-black district that has a history of electing the candidate preferred by minority voters. The district includes all of Miami Gardens, Opa-Locka, Biscayne Park, West Park, and Pembroke Park, plus portions of North Miami, North Miami Beach, Hallandale Beach, Hollywood, Miramar, and Pembroke Pines.

The district combines similar communities located in a geographically concentrated area of Miami-Dade and Broward Counties. It is intersected by Interstate 95 and the Florida Turnpike. The Committee heard considerable testimony at the Miami public hearing about the cohesiveness of the African-American community in Miami-Dade County, calling special attention to the similarities in the communities in Miami Gardens and the eastern part of Miramar in Broward County. The district has a black voting-age population of 57.8%. A number of publicly submitted maps, including Plans HPUBS0056, HPUBS0072, HPUBS0095, HPUBS0113, and SPUBS0155, include districts similar to District 33.

District 34 includes western portions of Palm Beach and Broward County. On the north, south, and west, the district follows the county boundaries of Broward and Palm Beach Counties. It includes the cities of Southwest Ranches, Weston, Wellington, Loxahatchee Groves, Royal Palm Beach, portions of Pembroke Pines, Davie, and Sunrise, plus a small portion of Cooper City. It also includes the entire

Everglades Agricultural Area and conservation areas in western Broward and Palm Beach Counties. The Florida Turnpike, Sawgrass Expressway, Interstate 75, and U.S. 98 are major transportation arteries connecting communities within the district. It also utilizes the western boundaries of Miramar, Plantation, Tamarac, Coconut Creek, Parkland, Greenacres, West Palm Beach, and Palm Beach Gardens.

In Boca Raton, the committee heard that the areas of Palm Beach County west of the Florida Turnpike had an agricultural interest distinct from the economic focus of communities east of the Turnpike, and that the western communities should be grouped with similar communities. In District 34, these communities are grouped with communities in Broward County situated along a similar longitudinal line.

District 35 includes the coastal communities of Miami-Dade County. The district generally encompasses areas east of U.S. 1 from the Miami-Dade County boundary on the north to Homestead in the south. Along its western boundary, the district follows the boundaries of Aventura, Miami Shores, El Portal, South Miami, Pinecrest, Palmetto Bay, and Cutler Bay, and the Homestead Extension of the Florida Turnpike. The district is bounded on the east by the Atlantic Ocean.

The committee received testimony at the public hearing in Miami and by email requesting that the coastal communities of Miami-Dade County be apportioned to a single district. The committee also received significant amounts of testimony that Miami Shores be included in a coastal district. These communities share concerns about oil drilling, tourism, and beach renourishment. The district has a Hispanic voting-age population of 50.5%. Public Plans HPUBS0084, HPUBS0085 and HPUBS0089 all have similarly oriented coastal districts in Miami-Dade County.

District 36 includes the Allapatah and Little Havana neighborhoods in Miami, Coral Gables north of U.S. 1, all of South Miami and West Miami, and unincorporated areas of Miami-Dade County south of Miami International Airport and east of SW 107th Avenue (State Road 985). On the southeast, the district follows U.S. 1, the South Miami and Coral Gables city lines, and Coral Way (State Road 972).

The neighborhoods in District 36 form a cohesive Hispanic community, with a shared culture, shared interests, and shared language. At the South Miami hearing, the committee heard testimony that the area around Kendall, Sunset, and Westchester formed a collection of like communities that should be grouped together. District 36 has a Hispanic voting-age population of 83.4% and is located exclusively within Miami-Dade County. Public plans SPUBS0108 and SPUBS0144 contain districts that similarly connect South Miami and West Miami and have a majority-Hispanic voting-age population.

District 37 combines coastal communities in Lee and Collier Counties. It is bounded on the west by the Gulf of Mexico, on the north by the Charlotte County line, and on the south by the Monroe County line. In Collier County it is adjacent to a minority opportunity district (District 39, which is covered by Section 5 of the federal Voting Rights Act, and it includes all of Naples and Marco Island. In Lee County, the district includes the barrier islands west of the Intracoastal Waterway, plus the entire City of Cape Coral (Sanibel and Fort Myers Beach also are wholly included in the district).

Travel through the district is facilitated by Interstate 75 and the Tamiami Trail. Public plans HPUBS0083 and HPUBS0089 contain similar districts. Unlike those maps, District 37 keeps both Cape Coral (within the district) and Fort Myers (outside the district) whole.

District 38 includes Hispanic communities in western Miami-Dade County. As its boundaries, it utilizes the Tamiami Trail and Dolphin Expressway on the north, State Road 985 (West 107th Avenue) and the Homestead Extension on the east, and State Road 997 (Krome Avenue) on the west. The district consists of a geographically concentrated area entirely within Miami-Dade County.

The committee received public testimony at the Miami public hearing pointing out that the communities of West Kendall and Hammocks share a distinct identity and should not be divided. District 38 has a Hispanic voting-age population of 83.5% and includes neighborhoods with a shared culture, shared interests, and shared language. Public plan HPUBS0085 includes a district in Miami-Dade County with a similar orientation and majority-Hispanic population.

District 39 preserves the core of an existing district that has consistently elected the candidate preferred by minority voters, and which is covered by Section 5 of the federal Voting Rights Act. The district includes all of Hendry and Monroe Counties plus agricultural and conservation areas in Collier and Miami-Dade Counties, including Everglades National Park and the Big Cypress National Preserve. Like the current Senate District 39, it also includes Brownsville, Liberty City, Little Haiti, and Overtown neighborhoods in Miami. It then extends north to include the City of El Portal and Gladeview and Pinewood neighborhoods.

The committee heard testimony in Miami expressing that the desire for the African-American communities in existing District 39 to continue to have a voice in the region. The proposed District 39 has a black voting-age population of 35.1% and Hispanic voting-age population of 39.5%. Public plans HPUBS0084 and SPUBS0155 both contain similar districts.

District 40 is a geographically concentrated district in northwest Miami-Dade County. It follows the Miami-Dade County boundary on the north and State Road 997 (Krome Avenue) on the west. On the south, it is adjacent to District 39 (a minority opportunity district covered by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act) and Miami International Airport. On the east, the district generally follows the municipal boundaries of Miami Gardens, Miami Lakes, Hialeah, Opa-Locka, and Miami Springs.

District 40 ties together similar, predominantly Hispanic communities, including the municipalities of Hialeah, Hialeah Gardens, Miami Springs, Medley, Miami Lakes, Virginia Gardens, and most of Doral. At the Miami public hearing, the committee received testimony that Hialeah Gardens, Miami Lakes, Miami Springs, and Medley share many of the same services and have the same needs, like the Enterprise Zones and Historically Underutilized Business Zones, that are best addressed by being combined in a distinct district. These municipalities draw together a cohesive Hispanic community that shares common values and interests. The district is intersected by the Homestead Extension of the Florida Turnpike and Interstate 75. District 40 has a Hispanic voting-age population of 86.9%. A number of publicly submitted maps contain similar districts. (See Plans HPUBS0083, HPUBS0089, HPUBS0095, SPUBS0105, and SPUBS0155).

