1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	THE FLORIDA SENATE
11	SENATE SPECIAL SESSION B FLOOR DEBATE
12	AUGUST 10, 2015
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Transcribed by:
21	CLARA C. ROTRUCK
22	Court Reporter
23	
24	
25	

TAPED SESSION

2.2

SENATE SECRETARY: All Senators, please indicate your presence. A quorum is present, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: The Senate will be in order. Senators and guests in the gallery will please rise for the opening prayer to be given this afternoon by Senator Richter. I don't see Senator Altman with us. So Senator Richter, you are always good for an opening prayer.

SENATOR RICHTER: Let's bow our heads.

Eternal God, our Father, we are gathered once again to do the work for Florida. Lord, we are not sure what district we are in yet, but I am sure you will help us figure it out.

Lord, we thank you for the friendship that surrounds conversations that are within the hall. We thank you for our health and we thank you for our families. We ask that you guide our thoughts as we proceed with the task at hand and that, Lord, you put this task at rest when we are done. In your name we pray, Amen.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Amen. Please remain standing for the Pledge of Allegiance to be led by Senator Brandes. Senator Brandes, you are

recognized.

SENATOR BRANDES: I pledge allegiance to to the flag of the United States of America, to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Madam Secretary, please read the proclamation.

SENATE SECRETARY: To the Honorable members of the Florida Senate and the Florida House of Representatives. We, Andy Gardiner, President of the Florida Senate and Steve Crisafulli, Speaker of the Florida House of Representatives by virtue of the authority vested in us by Article 3, Section 3, (c), Florida Constitution, and Section 11.011, Florida Statutes, do hereby proclaim.

One, that the Legislature of the State of Florida is convened in Special Session pursuant to Article 3, Section 3 (c), Florida

Constitution and Section 11.011, Florida

Statutes, at the Capitol in Tallahassee,

Florida, beginning at 3:00 p.m. on Monday, the

10th day of August, 2015, for a period of 12

days, ending at 12:00 o'clock noon on Friday,

the 21st day of August 2015.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Two, that the Legislature is convened for the sole and exclusive purpose of considering revisions to Chapter 8, Florida Statutes, as amended by Chapters 2012-2 and 2014-255, Laws of Florida, which establishes that Congressional Districts of the state to amend Congressional Districts 5, 13, 14, 21, 22, 25, 26 and 27 consistent with the Florida Supreme Court opinion in League of Women Voters of Florida and Others versus Detzner and Others, SC14-1905, paren, (Florida, July 9, 2015) and to make conforming changes to districts that are a direct result of the changes to the referenced Congressional Districts, signed, Andy Gardiner, President, the Florida Senate, signed Steve Crisafulli, Speaker, Florida House of Representatives. Duly filed with and received by the Florida Department of State this 20th day of July, 2015, by signed Ken Detzner, Secretary of State.

The reading of the Proclamation,
Mr. President.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: We will now proceed with the reading of the Bills filed within the

call. The Secretary will read each Bill the first time by the number, introduce by title and announce the presence referenced.

2.2

SENATE SECRETARY: Senate Bill 2(b) by

Senator Galvano. An act establishing the

Congressional Districts of the Florida Senate,

President's reference, the Senate Committee on

Reapportionment.

The reading of the Bills, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senate of the 10th
District, Senator Simmons. Rules Chairman, you
are recognized for a motion.

SENATOR SIMMONS: Mr. President, I move that the Senate concurrent resolution 4(b) be taken up instanter. The concurrent resolution allows each House to determine its respective schedule of sitting dates and times for adjourning and reconvening.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Without objection show the motion adopted.

Please read the concurrent resolution the first time by title and second time in full.

READING CLERK: Senate concurrent resolution 4(b). A concurrent resolution providing for adjourning and reconvening of

each House of the Legislature and providing for adjournment sine die during the 2015 Special Session B.

Senate Concurrent Resolution 4(b). A concurrent resolution providing for adjourning or reconvening of each House of the Legislature, providing for adjournment sine die during the 2015 Special Session B.

Be it resolved by the Senate of the State of Florida, the House of Representatives concurring that pursuant to Section 3, paren (e) of Article 3 of the State Constitution, each House of the Legislature may without further consent from the other House determine its respective dates and times for adjourning and reconvening during the 2015 Special Session B of the Legislature beginning Monday, August 10, 2015, and ending Friday, August 21, 2015.

Be it further resolved that the

Legislature shall adjourn sine die Friday,

August 21, 2015, at noon or upon motion after

final passage by the Legislature of a Bill

establishing Congressional Districts in this

state.

2.2

The reading of the resolution,
Mr. President.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Are there questions?

Senator of the 19th District, Senator Joyner,

you are recognized for a question.

SENATOR JOYNER: Thank you, Mr. President.

Does this mean notwithstanding <u>Joyner versus</u>

<u>the Florida House of Representatives</u> that now

neither Chamber will have to report every 72

hours prior to -- will have to convene every 72

hours during session, a Special Session?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Simmons, you are recognized to respond to the question.

SENATOR SIMMONS: Senator Joyner, yes, you are correct.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Are there additional questions, additional questions? Any objections? Seeing no objections show it adopted.

Senator Galvano, you are recognized, excuse me, Senators, Senator Galvano will now explain in reference to the committee meetings and just a general outline.

Senator Galvano of the 26th, you are recognized.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President, and Senators. You have received by now a Joint Memorandum from both myself and Chairman Simmons with regard to the schedule and some of the unique aspects of this Special Session.

Mr. President, I want to take just a couple of moments and highlight some of it and then go into the schedule and then if you would recognize me for a series of motions.

First of all this is a unique session. are here in response to an opinion rendered by the Florida Supreme Court to address infirmities that they found in the drawing of the congressional maps.

We are here in a remedial posture, and unlike most times when you come back after a court has found a law or a Bill unconstitutional, you still have a cloak or a presumption that of correctness going forward. In this case we do not.

In this case as a Senate, as a Legislature, it is incumbent upon us to show the Court why what we produce is in fact compliant with the Constitution, both the Tier 1 principles as well as the Tier 2 principles.

We have to prove up our map and justify the decisions in the districts that are drawn. So in that regard we are going to be highly vigilant, very cognizant about the process that we have going forward and put, we have recommended and put into that Memorandum and into the procedures certain safeguards to make sure that we are meeting this standard.

We will have, first of all very frank, open discussions. We do have a Bill that has been filed to be, but it is simply a starting point. It is not a final decision. In fact, no decision has been made. In fact, we can say here today to be or not to be. It will be fully vetted starting tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. with a Joint Committee and we will hear testimony from legal counsel, we will hear from staff, and there will be opportunity to ask questions and to understand any aspect of what is this base map so that going forward we as the Senate and the Legislature as a whole can draw the map.

I invite every member, whether or not you are on the committee, to attend this meeting.

To be there and to understand where we are and what we are doing going forward. There will not be a vote on this Bill tomorrow. Again, it will simply be work shopped.

The schedule then will be, after Tuesday, tomorrow, we will then not go back into committee until Thursday. Wednesday at 6:00 p.m. is the amendment deadline for amendments to be filed for Senate Bill 2(b) or the committee meeting that is on Thursday. And I ask that you all give consideration to the time it takes to draft, process and file amendments. We have been through this before.

Jay Ferrin, the Staff Director for the Reapportionment Committee and his team are great at what they do, but they need time and they need ample opportunity to review any proposed and alternative maps.

On Thursday at 10:00 a.m. the Senate

Committee on Reapportionment will meet to

consider 2(b) and any amendments thereto or

other changes that have been filed.

Again, there will be opportunity for full discussion to debate the merits of alternative maps. The committee will also offer an

opportunity for feedback from citizens and anyone else in the public that wants to have

their ideas heard and considered.

2.2

A caveat to that is though that for a vote to be taken on an amendment or an alternative it has to come from a member of this body. The President has reserved time on Friday should we not be able to complete our work on Thursday, and we will be very meticulous.

We are not going to err on the side of trying to get something done by a certain, a certain time on Thursday. If we need Friday we are going to use it all and we also have all day Monday that we will use for this committee should we need to consider to vet through certain proposals.

The deadlines for amendments on Friday and Monday will be 8:00 a.m. for a noon meeting.

On Tuesday, we will establish a 5:00 p.m.

amendment deadline for the Bills on Special

Order, and then come into the full Senate on

Wednesday to consider Senate Bill 2(b) on

Special Order.

Now, given the unique nature of this Special Session and the directives that the Supreme Court has put before us, I need to make clear that the following process will be required for submission of Redistricting Bills and Amendments.

2.2

First of all, any Bill or Amendment must be a contiguous and complete map. It cannot be piecemeal to be properly considered, and any Senator wishing to offer a Bill or Amendment should be prepared to explain in committee or on the floor the identity of every person who played a part in the drafting and developing, reviewing and directing of that particular proposal.

The criteria used by the map drawers, the source of any data in the creation of the map other than data contained in My District Builder or District Builder, and also a non-partisan, this is very important, a non-partisan incumbent neutral justification for the proposed configuration of each districts.

The results of any functional analysis with regard to the ability to elect minorities in the district, we will not be considering general functional and performance analysis or

non minority districts.

And finally how this proposal or the proposal satisfies both, complies with the Tier 1 components, not to favor a party or incumbent, but also complies with the Tier 2 requirements of compactness and following jurisdictional lines.

The staff, as I mentioned, is available to all of you. However, if you are working with the staff in drawing lines, that meeting will be recorded and preserved for future review.

So that is an overview, Mr. President, and I am prepared to answer any questions and I know Chairman Simmons is prepared as well.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Okay. We will take some questions and then we do have a series of motions as well. So Senator of the 9th District, Senator Gibson, you are recognized for a question.

SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. President.

And maybe I didn't quite hear it the way I

thought I heard it. In terms of justifying

what we have done, I think those were the words

you used, are you saying we now have to justify

what we have done in terms of the base maps, or

we have to justify what we have done in our second drawing of the map?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano, you are recognized to respond.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. First of all, let me make

abundantly clear. The base map is simply a

starting point for discussion. That is not a

product of decision-making of the Senate, the

House or the Legislature as a whole.

With regard to justification, we need to be able to go through this process and the product that we render or any amendment that we make along the way needs to be able to have supporting or corroborating evidence or testimony so that later, and we are going back to Court on this, that is part of what this process that we find ourselves in, so that later we can point to that and say, here is the justification. Here is why this was done and why it makes the map more compliant with the Constitution.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: The Senator of the 9th District, Senator Gibson for a follow up.

SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. President.

How much time -- how much time does it take to draw up a new map given the amendment that my -- or 6:00 o'clock on Wednesday, how much time does it take to build a map I guess I would say?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator of the 26th, Senator Galvano to respond.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. I think what you are asking is

how much, how much time in general terms, but

it really is dependent upon how much of the map

is changing. And so if you are just making a

modification to a certain district,

understanding though that you can't -- nothing

is in a vacuum. It could be fairly, fairly

quick.

If you are proposing a whole new configuration it could take some time, and that is the challenge we have. Unfortunately, the time frame that we find ourself in is part of the order of the Supreme Court. So we have certain obligations to meet including going back to Court, but hopefully that time frame will work and that is why we have at least a base map for discussion.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Any additional questions? Senator of the 34th District, Senator Sachs for a question.

SENATOR SACHS: Thank you very much,

Mr. President, and thank you, Chair Galvano,

for taking on this task. I know that we

labored long years ago on this issue and I know

it is -- it is a vigorous one and tough.

My question concerns the scheduling for next week. We know you gave us a time schedule for amendments. We are scheduled for session next week three days. Do we have any indication as to what our schedule will look like or will that come as amendments are filed and reworked in terms of our scheduling for next week?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: If you don't mind,
Senator Galvano, Senator Sachs, we are
scheduled to be here through next Friday, and
we intend to, if necessary, use every minute
necessary to make sure that this is properly
vetted.

As was mentioned, we are not scheduled to be back here on the floor for floor time until next Wednesday, and then at that point Senator

Simmons and Senator Galvano will give us the best indication as to when we finish up. But it is important that we, just as when we come on to the floor, we also have as much committee time as necessary and we will leave it to the Chairman's discretion if he feels as though they need to use every minute of that.

President Lee, did you have a question?

SENATOR LEE: Yes, Mr. President. Sort of maybe a series of questions depending on how they go, if you don't mind, sir. I was not here when these maps were originally drawn and I know Senator Galvano wasn't either.

And I am -- I am somewhat troubled by the extent to which I feel like my First Amendment Rights as a sitting member of this Senate have been impacted by the Court's decision. That is not for us to get into today. It is more for me to grapple with personally.

And so -- and as an elected member of the Senate the extent to which my authority as a sitting member of this institution has been relegated to a staff member to draw a map somewhere in the basement of this Capitol on both Chambers. Is there any presumption as to

the accuracy of the base product that we are working with?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator of the 26th, Senator Galvano.

SENATOR GALVANO: The answer to that is no. I mean, no. We are using that simply as a start for discussion. I mean, you have to begin somewhere, and whether it is an outline of the state of Florida, maybe it is an outline with the counties identified in it.

We -- the President and the Speaker thought it prudent to at least have a base for discussion, but we give no presumption of correctness to that map.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Lee.

SENATOR LEE: Okay, so, and that is good. So, you know, having worked in that process back in 2002, I know that the challenge for us is in a very short period of time to react to this map and then go through all the complications necessary.

There have been a variety of public reactions to the base map at various levels and different communities around the state. We have all read about them publicly.

And now we are placed in this difficult position of having to amend a map that has been drawn by our staff in a very short period of time and try to come up with alternatives, all of which should be done in a public process according to the outlines set forth by the Supreme Court, and so that is what it is. I don't know that I have a better alternative for the one that you and the presiding officers have come up with.

But now we are being placed under an obligation in item two through, A through F to prove up every piece of information that we have come up with as an alternative. Is not that same burden going to be on our staff to prove up and identify every person involved in drawing, reviewing, directing and approving their proposal?

All of the things that went into the drawing their base map, do they not have the same burden to this institution and the public that we would have if we went to amend it?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. Absolutely. I would suspect

that that is the first series of questions that we are going to get starting at 9:00 a.m. in the morning. We are going to start with the lawyers and then the staff, and I will expect those questions to be absolutely answered.

2.2

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator of the 3rd District, Senator Montford for a question.

SENATOR MONTFORD: Thank you,
Mr. President, and thank you, Mr. President,
for the opportunity to serve on this committee.
I had the opportunity to serve on the original
committee as well.

Thank you, too, Senator Galvano, for making sure that we understand that this is a suggested map, it is a base map, a place to start.

With that being said, I have some serious concerns about the proposed map that we got last week, and with that being said, I am reluctant quite frankly to seek any kind of assistance outside this building, if you will, other than our staff. I don't want to be a part of nor accused of being a part of anything that would be questionable in terms of how the lines are drawn.

But quite frankly, I don't have the expertise. I don't have the knowledge, I don't have the experience to be able to sit down with this proposed map and make suggested changes that affect the entire state and be able to defend that.

So my question then is, how much time do we have with our own staff, our professional staff here knowing that all 40 of us may be calling on them all at the same time? So how do we mechanically, how do we avail ourselves of the excellent staff that we have to be able to understand it and be able to make suggestions and defend those suggestions in a short timeline?

It is not -- it is not your -- I mean, I understand the constraints that you have, but my, I know my constituents are expecting me to do something or make some suggestions. So how do we do that with the limited amount of the excellent staff that we have?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano, your are recognized.

SENATOR GALVANO: Yes, thank you,

Mr. President. The procedure that we have laid

out is just as much about being able to do that as anything else, and that is why we had the base map, for example, so that we would at least have the benefit of our professional staff and our legal counsel's input in terms of a recommendation or a discussion point.

2.2

The meeting tomorrow is a joint meeting.

We will meet, be meeting with the House and its

Chairman and the idea is that we begin to

really vet through all of this, and the hope is

that through the course of a day, and I would

suspect that we will use every minute until

6:00 p.m., and through the course of the day we

will get to a point where the members are

comfortable and the focus on changes becomes

less.

Remember that we are dealing with a Court opinion that has identified eight districts with specific recommendations. Some of them are very clear. For example, CD 5, we know how that configuration came out in this most recent, in this base map based on some of the recommendations that were, or the specific recommendations made by the Court.

So the idea is that through tomorrow we

will be able to narrow the focus and then with the ample time that the President has afforded if we need to go into Monday or use Friday, we will do that, and I can assure you as Chairman I am going to be as accommodating as I possibly can. If you feel like you are working with staff but your time is running out or you need additional, additional help, let's see what we need to do to make sure that your voice is heard. Just understand that we have to be in Court in September.

2.3

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Montford for a follow up.

SENATOR MONTFORD: Thank you,

Mr. President. In with -- this is one time I

stayed away from everybody. I stayed away from

staff, there were phone calls I haven't

returned quite frankly, because I don't want to

put somebody's name on a list. That makes me

nervous.

So but in -- in the working of the staff are there -- is there a plan B or C? I mean, when, usually I believe when we worked through these plans there are different alternatives.

Does the staff have alternatives to this plan?

In other words, this is what they recommended, but is there a second alternative or a second choice or a third choice, something else that we can begin working on that may be different than the plan that we received last week?

2.2

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. No, again, this is just a
suggested starting discussion point. The
alternatives will derive from the members and
the committee and that process. That is where
the proposed maps and the alternatives will
come from.

We are going to start tomorrow with an overview of where we are legally and then a discussion of how that was at least interpreted by professional staff. We will have testimony from experts and then the alternatives should generate from the members.

And frankly, you are wise to be cautious in who you are communicating with. There is no mystery about that at all, and I would assume the rest of the members are equally prudent.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Montford for a follow up.

SENATOR MONTFORD: Thank you,

Mr. President. Without putting you and the staff on the spot, too much on the spot, are they allowed to say, well, have you thought about this, Senator Montford? I mean, they didn't walk in one day and all of a sudden a map popped out. They looked at different alternatives and they looked at different, the implications it would have, the impact it would have on districts throughout the state.

Is that available that we can, I can look at before I meet with them or something that I can get my hands around and just look at what would happen if we did this or if we did that?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. And the answer is yes, and I would fully expect you to ask those questions tomorrow, ask them if there were alternatives, where they got these ideas, how it was complying with the Constitution and the Court opinion.

Absolutely, there should be nothing but the highest level of candor tomorrow and then we as the elected officials will begin to make

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the decisions.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator of the 32nd District, Senator Negron for a question.

SENATOR NEGRON: Thank you very much, Mr. President. I want to talk first of all just to make sure that I heard you correctly. If a member of the Senate is meeting with our professional staff, did you say that had to be recorded?

> PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano.

Thank you, SENATOR GALVANO:

Mr. President. That will be recorded. Yes.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Negron for a follow up.

SENATOR NEGRON: And what will be the technique of that recording? Is it going to be videotaped, audio taped, and can you walk me through exactly how that is going to work?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. And just so, so you know where that is coming from. If you look at the Court's opinion, I believe it is page 104. Court makes a very specific reference to non-public meetings and the very strong

suggestion and that is probably, you know, soft of a word is that they be preserved for the future. And so what we anticipate is that if you are actually going into drawing a line that the staff will make you aware that there will be a recording, audio most likely, and you can have that discussion and then it will go forward, and there will be a record of that meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Negron, you are recognized.

Thank you, Mr. President. SENATOR NEGRON: Is that -- my understanding was that there is normally a privilege that we have with our staff to talk back and forth. Is there any other instance where we could be in a situation where we are talking to our own staff that we are making a permanent recording record of it and do you -- and do you have any concern that that could have a chilling effect on our ability to function in the process, particularly thinking forward to the Senate maps potentially being redrawn at some later point this year if that procedure was also being contemplated, and do you have any

concerns about the message that it sends about the free exchange of information if we are saying well, before we talk we have to record it, do you have any concern that that creates a presumption that something nefarious would have been going on but for the recording?

2.2

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano, you are recognized.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. First of all, I agree with you,

but unfortunately we are dealing with an

opinion from the Supreme Court of Florida that

makes a very specific recommendation.

The session is not just going to end and we go back to our districts. The session is followed by already pre-scheduled discovery.

So those meetings are going to be addressed one way or another through the discovery process.

We are outside of the separate legislative process and living within the judicial process right now, Chairman Negron.

And so to take the Court at its recommendation and have a record of this meeting, of these meetings is only going to help facilitate the -- what we do after the

session and help us substantiate what we did.

Will it have a chilling effect? Well, perhaps it will, but that is, that is the decision the Supreme Court made when it made these recommendations.

 $\label{eq:president_gardiner} \mbox{ PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Negron for a}$ $\mbox{ follow up.}$

SENATOR NEGRON: Thank you, Mr. President, this is my final question. My understanding is based on your answer they are not requiring us nor do I think they have the legal authority to require us to record our meetings with our staff.

But my final question is, the Supreme

Court and I wouldn't support this, for the

Supreme Court or any Appellate Court, they are

not required to record their discussions with

their law clerks as they are drafting opinions

or they also have a privilege when they meet as

a group to make a final decision in a case.

That is a sacrosanct process and I respect that

and would support that and think that that is

legitimate.

So I just want to for the record state my misgivings to the idea that when we are talking

to our staff, that somebody has to push a record button as if we were at a police station or something. We have a right to conduct our business just the way the Court has a right to conduct its business which I respect. So if there is anyway to reconsider that, particularly as we get into the Senate maps, speaking as one Senator I just think this idea of we have now gotten to a position where we walk in to talk to our staff and someone says don't say anything, who is here, let's push a button and record. We would never impose that on the Courts.

2.2

We would never impose that on the Executive Branch and I don't think that should become a new standard just because we are in civil litigation.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano to respond.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. It is not becoming a new

standard. As I said in the beginning, this is

a unique situation that we find ourselves in.

We are in a remedial process answering the

opinion of the Florida Supreme Court. We are

still within the judicial process, and I understand what you are saying. To me it is, it goes too far to begin with. I don't agree with the opinion of the Supreme Court. But we are going to follow the recommendations and we are going to produce a map based on the guardrails that have been established for us and close this chapter.

2.2

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Dean, did you have a question?

SENATOR DEAN: One of the issues that bothers me like Senator Montford said a while ago, is having served on the committee before and I would really have more good feeling about where we are going to be in this process if we are notified as to what was acceptable as for many of the things that are being pointed out that were not acceptable.

I think we lose a lot of valuable time and expertise that went into what we did before if somehow or another the Court determines, okay, that didn't meet their standard of sufficiency, that we don't have a choice. We have to stand up and say, okay, we accept where you are, we will try to do better.

But how much of that that we did accomplish is going to be taken and would we then be given specifics as to what areas we were going to a district and what-have-you in that term.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. The opinion of the Court identified eight specific districts. And so out of the 27 we are dealing with specifically eight. The reality is though when you deal with one you deal with others.

And so even on the discussion map as I will call it, I think it impacts 22 districts to address the concerns of the Court with regard to the eight. But there is some specific, there are specific recommendations by the Court or specific infirmities identified by the Court in the opinion with regard to eight districts.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Bradley for a question.

SENATOR BRADLEY: Thank you,

Mr. President. And I want to thank you, sir,

for the privilege of serving on this committee

and I appreciate all the work you have done, Mr. Chairman, thus far.

2.2

I want to first say that I share with President Lee and Chairman Negron's deep, deep concerns about where we find ourselves right now.

I am concerned about my First Amendment
Rights as both a citizen and as a Senator who
has been duly elected to represent 475,000
people in my area of the state. I am very
concerned about separation of powers.

That is a delicate balance in our system of government and when I perceive that one of the other two branches is encroaching on what is the legislative prerogative, then I pay very close attention and I frankly, I appreciate Chairman Negron's statement that he would not impose such restrictions on the Court when it comes to taping their deliberations and so forth.

I frankly am not prepared to make such a commitment at this point in time about what we would or would not do with regards to how the Court conducts its business based on how I perceive they are expecting us to conduct our

business, but nevertheless that is a debate and discussion for another day.

2.2

My question for you, sir, is does, you mentioned CD 5 and I read the opinion and they went so far and they have done this before, actually printing maps in their opinions now, and telling us, this is what we like and this is how you shall do it.

When the five Justices, you know, signed this opinion and the majority opinion it included maps, it included specific directions about how districts are to be done. Are they required to follow the Constitution when they prepare these lines and make these basically conclusions about where districts are going to be? Are they required to follow the Constitution?

And let me be specific when I say that.

Are they required to not -- to comply with Tier

1, not to favor or disfavor a particular

incumbent or political party? Are they

required to follow the Constitution when they

draw the maps which basically they have done?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano, you are recognized.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. And yes, the Constitution has its requirements and that is what should be followed regardless of how it is approached, and the Court has, in doing, in rendering its opinion interpreted the Constitution and is -has rendered an opinion that it feels supports and addresses the issues within the Constitution, and that is where we find ourselves.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Bradley for a follow up question.

SENATOR BRADLEY: If I were to perceive that the Court in drawing the map as they have in certain areas now, has not followed Tier 1, do I have any remedy on behalf of the people that I represent and on behalf of one of the three co-equal branches of government to inquire, as they are expecting us to do, take depositions of them, expect them to be on the record? Do I have those tools at our disposal to protect our branch?

Senator Galvano, you PRESIDENT GARDINER: are recognized.

> SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. We are going to draw the map.

Certainly there is an opinion that has recommendations as to process, procedure, specifies certain aspects of districts, but at the end of the day we are going to draw this map based on the findings of this Court.

If you feel like at any time in the process that a recommendation or something identified by the Court is not compliant with the Constitution or you want to question it, that is your prerogative, and you should question it as a member of the Legislature.

We are the ones who are going to draw it.

They have made their opinion and we are back

here but we are going to go through the

process.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Any additional questions? Senator Sobel for a question.

SENATOR SOBEL: Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you to all of the committee members who will be serving.

Is there any process in place to make sure the people who appear before the committee to tell the truth such as being sworn in and taking an oath? Has that been contemplated?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano, you are recognized.

2.2

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. And yes, we have that ability
as a committee and we may be utilizing it.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Sachs, did you have one more question? You are recognized.

SENATOR SACHS: Correct me if I am wrong, but what we are proceeding to do on this new adventure is not necessarily a committee on redistricting as we had a couple of years ago under Chairman Gaetz, but rather a process under the auspices of the Florida Supreme Court so that we are not operating under, and I know lawyers are concerned about these issues under what rules are we operating under.

I know we are still under the rules of the Senate. However, it is also under the umbrella of the Order of the Supreme Court. Is that -- is that -- is that the way that we need to look at this, Chairman, because it appears that there are certain strictures that we have as Senators and those on the committee especially that were not usual for committees in the

Senate. But this is an extraordinary committee where they have, they are giving up or we are giving up certain rights so that we can comply with the rules of the Florida Supreme Court.

2.2

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. And I think you articulated that very well and that is -- that is the whole point of the unique process and unique procedures that we have. We are operating under an opinion, an Order from the Florida Supreme Court, and one that doesn't just involve what we do over the next two weeks, but already has tee'd up what we will do in the judicial branch following.

So I would submit to you that certain things such as a recording that Chairman Negron has concerns of should not be a precedence for any session going forward. We are dealing in the next couple of weeks with a very specific order relative to the congressional maps of the state of Florida.

So what we do is there, what we do over the next couple of weeks is remedial in nature and based on that.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Braynon for a question. Senator Braynon with the 36th District.

SENATOR BRAYNON: Thank you,

Mr. President. So I have a question, two
questions. One of the questions is we are

using the discussion map and I guess maybe the
question would be, why not use the previous map
as our base maps since that is what the Court

used to decide what -- where the issues were?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano, you are recognized.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

Mr. President. Because the natural response to
the opinion would be to have professional staff
together with the lawyers to comb through this
lengthy opinion and look at the issues raised
with regard to the eight districts and begin to
put it -- put it together.

I think I heard from a couple of you already about how difficult it is to actually get in and draw a map. This is the type process that is naturally dependent upon professional staff. And so if we can have somewhat of a head start at least in terms of

1 discussion

2.2

discussion then it is a good place to begin.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Braynon for a follow up.

SENATOR BRAYNON: Thank you,

Mr. President. And the second question is

about the committee meeting dates. If, I know

that there is a public comments portion, and

being from South Florida and maybe people have

things that they want to, people that want to

come up from South Florida.

Do we have solid times and dates that these people can say, I am going to come up from South Florida or from the panhandle that they can be assured that they will have an opportunity to speak?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you, thank you,
Mr. President. First of all, I will tell you
what we have put on in process already. The
submissions that we already have, we are
sending invitations to the persons or entities
who have submitted so that they have an
opportunity to come and present, as well as the
Plaintiffs in the case.

If there is a particular group or

individual that needs specific time, I am more than happy to work with you or any other member on that. I want to make sure we have a fully vetted map when we are finished that is unassailable.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Braynon for a follow up.

SENATOR BRAYNON: And the last question was, I forgot that one. No, I think that is good. Thanks.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Gibson for another question.

SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. President.

I just have to, and I think I got a partial
answer to one.

So we got the e-mail about the public maps that have been submitted, and I think you just said that any individual who submitted a map with the data will have an opportunity to present that map and the stats to the committee.

However, no public map would be -- could be filed unless it were filed by a member. So -- so the public will be able to come and bring a map if it is in the system, right?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

2.2

Mr. President. The public will have had an opportunity to present before the committee.

If there is a map in the system, they can come and talk about the value or merits of that particular map.

If we are going to take a vote on an alternative or an amendment or a map it is going to have to be because a member of this body has deemed it appropriate.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Gibson, you are recognized.

SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. President.

I just want to go back to this point, because obviously there are many members of the public who may want to come and weigh in.

So in terms of who submitted the map, you said the public will be able to weigh in on the maps that have been submitted. So the person who makes the presentation to the committee, is that the person who submitted the map to the system, or the person who submitted the map will present the map to the committee and then every member of the public who may be in

attendance will then be able to come up and 1 2 talk about that, if you understand what I am 3 saying? PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano to 4 5 respond. 6 SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you, 7 Mr. President. If you are asking me will there 8 also be general public testimony, the answer is 9 yes. We are going to try and make time for 10 that as well. 11 PRESIDENT GARDINER: Any -- Senator Detert 12 for a question. 13 SENATOR DETERT: Thank you, Mr. President. 14 Senator Galvano, talking about using this map 15 as a base map, a starting point, our staff drew 16 this up as you said based on the lawsuit. 17 So does that tell us that the Supreme Court of the State of Florida thinks this is a 18 19 perfect map? 20 PRESIDENT GARDINER: Senator Galvano. 21 SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you, 2.2 Mr. President. I don't think the Supreme Court 23 will ever think any map that comes out of here 24 is a perfect map, and they have not opined on 25 the map in front of you. What they have done

is identify certain characteristics that they 1 2 felt violated the Constitution and the existing 3 map, 9057. So that discussion map, as I call it, is an initial response to those concerns 4 5 raised. 6 PRESIDENT GARDINER: Okay, members, we have a series of motions. Senator Galvano, you 7 are recognized for a motion. 8 9 SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you, 10 Mr. President. I move the rules be waived to 11 establish the amendment deadline of 6:00 p.m. on Wednesday, August 12th, for the committee 12 13 meeting scheduled for Thursday, August 13th. 14 PRESIDENT GARDINER: Are there questions? Any objections? Seeing none, show the motion 15 16 adopted.

Senator Galvano, you are recognized.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you,

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Mr. President. I move the rules be waived to establish the amendment deadline of 8:00 a.m. on Friday, August 14th, for the committee meeting scheduled noon on the same day.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Are there questions?

Any objections? Seeing none, show it adopted.

Senator Galvano, you are recognized.

SENATOR GALVANO: Thank you, 1 2 Mr. President. I move the rules be waived to establish the amendment deadline of 8:00 a.m. 3 4 on Monday, August 17th, for the committee 5 meeting scheduled at noon the same day. 6 PRESIDENT GARDINER: Okay. Are there any 7 objections? Are there any questions, any 8 objections? Seeing none, show it adopted. 9 Senator Simmons, you are recognized. 10 SENATOR SIMMONS: Thank you, 11 Mr. President. The Special Order Calendar 12 Group will meet 15 minutes upon adjournment in 13 Room 401, Senate Office Building. And further, 14 as a reminder, the amendment deadlines for Bills on the Special Order Calendar to be 15 16 considered on Wednesday, August 19, is 5:00 17 p.m. on Tuesday, August 18. 18 PRESIDENT GARDINER: Are there any 19 questions? 20 Okay, Senators, we are about to complete 21 our business for the day. We do have a couple 2.2 of announcements. 23 Senator Simpson, you have a quest. 24 you like to introduce your guest? 25 Yes, thank you, SENATOR SIMPSON:

Mr. President. I do have a guest today. My son, Wilton, Jr. The last time he was here I think I misdiagnosed him. I almost said he was a basketball player when I know he is a baseball player and he reminds me of that every time. He said, well, you misspoke on the floor, I am actually a baseball player.

2.3

And we had a great weekend of baseball this last weekend and I think we are going to have another great weekend coming up here pretty soon, but he is here with me and he will be driving me home.

His name is Wilton, Jr., and he will be driving me home today so I can get a lot of work done. He is finally of age of driving so we are in good shape. Thank you,

Mr. President.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Welcome to the

Florida Senate. Are there any other

announcements before I recognize Leader Joyner?

Seeing none, Leader Joyner, you are recognized.

SENATOR JOYNER: All right, thank you

Mr. President, Senator Galvano. Members, I

would like to inform you that Leader Smith had

emergency surgery. He had his gall bladder removed, he is doing well and he has been released from the hospital, and he is at home. This just occurred yesterday and he hopes to join us before the session is over. I guess my advice I would stay home and rest, because we want him to heal and he is asking for your prayers. But I want him to be ready for the next Special Session.

So I would advise with my non doctor degree that he stay home and recuperate. That is right, let the record reflect I am not a medical doctor but I just want him to heal and he wants all of you to know that he is doing well. I spoke with him this morning, and you know, he is tough, he will be fine.

But could we have a short prayer for our member and Leader Smith, Mr. President?

PRESIDENT GARDINER: May we please stand and just for a moment to think about Senator Smith.

(Brief pause.)

PRESIDENT GARDINER: Thank you, members. Senator Joyner.

SENATOR JOYNER: I would be remiss if I

did not indicate that President Margolis is also out, and I am not privy to her reason, except on the blogs it was reported that she is also having some surgery. So, hip, that is what the blog says and that is purely hearsay as far as I am concerned, but I know that she has been excused from the session and she will not be here.

2.3

PRESIDENT GARDINER: If it is on the blogs it must be true.

Any other announcements, any other announcements? Senator of the 10th District, Rules Chairman Simmons, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR SIMMONS: I guess first,

Mr. President, for a warning to all the other

citizens that since Senator Simpson's son will

be driving him home, he is okay but the rest of

the citizens of the State of Florida are at

risk. But for a motion, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT GARDINER: You are recognized.

SENATOR SIMMONS: Mr. President, I move that the Senate adjourn until 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, August 19th, or upon the call of the President for the purpose of holding committee

meetings and conducting other Senate business. PRESIDENT GARDINER: Objection? Senate is now adjourned for the day. (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript
5	is of a tape-recording taken down by the undersigned,
б	and the contents thereof were reduced to typewriting
7	under my direction;
8	That the foregoing pages 2 through 49 represent
9	a true, correct, and complete transcript of the tape-
10	recording;
11	And I further certify that I am not of kin or
12	counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the
13	regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor
14	am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.
15	Dated this th day of August, 2015.
16	
17	
18	
19	CLARA C. ROTRUCK
20	Notary Public
21	State of Florida at Large
22	Commission Expires:
23	November 13, 2018
24	Commission NO.: FF 174037
25	