

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

1. State Agency:  
**Elder Affairs**

2. State Program (or Type of Program):  
Alzheimer's Disease Initiative

3. Project Title:  
Alzheimer's Caregiver Program

4. Recipient name and address:  
Alliance For Aging, Inc.  
760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214  
Miami, FL 33172  
Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

5. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:  
Private Non-Profit

6. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 162,568	\$ 0	\$ 162,568	404

7. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):  
None.

8. Project Purpose/Description:  
Provides funding to coordinate care and training to caregivers to enable Alzheimer's patients to remain in their homes.

9. Number of years this project has received state funding:  
>15

10. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded?  
(Explain):  
Yes.

11. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met?  
(Explain):  
Yes.

12. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

13. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable client groups;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are very likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community-based services.

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA)'s performance of these measures will be documented in the Department's annual monitoring reports.

14. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

15. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

16. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

17. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

18. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

19. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

20. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

21. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by the Department

22. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

23. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

24. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

25. State Program (or Type of Program):

Alzheimer's Disease Initiative

26. Project Title:

Alzheimer's Community Care Association

27. Recipient name and address:

Area Agency on Aging (AAA) of Palm Beach/Treasure Coast, Inc.

4400 N. Congress Avenue

West Palm Beach, FL 33407

Location county/counties: Palm Beach and Martin Counties

28. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

29. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 1,500,000	\$ 0	\$ 1,500,000	404

30. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

Non-recurring funds of \$150,000.

31. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides for dementia-specific day service programs for individuals with Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. Avoids institutionalization for patients.

32. Number of years this project has received state funding:

13

33. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded?

(Explain):

Yes.

34. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met?

(Explain):

Yes.

35. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

36. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable client groups;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are very likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community-based services.

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA)'s performance of these measures will be documented in the Department's annual monitoring reports.

37. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

38. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

39. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

40. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

41. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

42. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

43. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

\$45,735 of Local Matching Funds

44. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

45. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 230 clients.

46. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

47. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

48. State Program (or Type of Program):

Alzheimer's Disease Initiative

49. Project Title:

Silver Alert

50. Recipient name and address:

Memory Disorder Clinics

Location county/counties: Statewide

51. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit and Private For-Profit entities

52. FUNDING: FY 2012-13 Recurring General Revenue	FY 2012-13 Recurring Trust Funds	FY 2012-13 Recurring TOTAL FUNDS	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 36,249	\$ 0	\$ 36,249	404

53. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

54. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides for cognitively impaired individuals who become lost while driving a car or lost while on foot. Silver Alert is a plan to help local law enforcement in the rescue of missing persons who have a cognitive impairment, such as Alzheimer's disease or dementia.

55. Number of years this project has received state funding:

2

56. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded?

(Explain):

Yes.

57. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met?

(Explain):

Yes.

58. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The major program goal of the Silver Alert Coordination and Support Project is for the funded Memory Disorder Clinics (MDC) to assist in the development of protocols to provide coordination of services for persons reported missing and returned through the Silver Alert program. The project will provide:

- (1) Standardization of aging network protocols, and
- (2) Training, outreach, public awareness information and education for MDCs, AAAs, Elder Helplines and the general public.

59. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The service units for this contract are as follows:

- (1) Convene meeting(s);
- (2) Number of contributions to Silver Alert Website – [floridasilveralert.com](http://floridasilveralert.com);
- (3) Number of media kits replicated and disseminated;
- (4) Number of human interest stories submitted to media referenced; and
- (5) Number of letters to the editor submitted to media.

60. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?  
Bi-monthly reports as required by the contract.

61. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?  
Yes.

62. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?  
Yes.

63. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):  
Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

64. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):  
Yes.

65. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:  
The Department reviews the bi-monthly reports to ensure services are met according to the terms of the contract.

66. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?  
None.

67. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

68. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:



69. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

70. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

71. State Program (or Type of Program):

Alzheimer's Disease Initiative

72. Project Title:

Alzheimer's Family Care Center of Broward County

73. Recipient name and address:

Aging and Disability Resource Center of Broward County

5300 Hiatus Road

Sunrise, FL 33351

Location county/counties: Broward County

74. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

75. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 220,454	\$ 0	\$ 220,454	404

76. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

Vetoed: non-recurring funds of \$250,000.

77. Project Purpose/Description:

Coordinates and delivers in-home services and respite for Alzheimer's clients and their caregivers.

78. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

79. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded?

(Explain):

Yes.

80. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met?

(Explain):

Yes.

81. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

**82. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?**

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable client groups;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are very likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community-based services.

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA)'s performance of these measures will be documented in the Department's annual monitoring reports.

**83. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?**

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

**84. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?**

Yes.

**85. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?**

Yes.

**86. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):**

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

**87. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):**

Yes.

**88. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:**

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

89. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

90. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

91. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

92. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

93. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

94. State Program (or Type of Program):

Alzheimer's Disease Initiative

95. Project Title:

Alzheimer's Memory Mobile

96. Recipient name and address:

Alzheimer's Association - Florida Gulf Coast Chapter

14010 Roosevelt Blvd, Suite 709

Clearwater, FL 33762

Location county/counties: Collier, Charlotte, DeSoto, Hendry, Glades, Lee, Sarasota

97. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

98. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 100,000	\$ 0	\$ 100,000	404

99. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

100. Project Purpose/Description:

Funding to provide services from a mobile van for free memory screening, literature on Alzheimer's disease, training videos for caregivers, and a program specialist to talk with families about specific needs.

101. Number of years this project has received state funding:

2

102. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

103. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

104. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

105. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable client groups;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are very likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community-based services.

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA)'s performance of these measures will be documented in the Department's annual monitoring reports.

106. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

107. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

108. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

109. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

110. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

111. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

112. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

113. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

114. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

115. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

116. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

117. State Program (or Type of Program):

Alzheimer's Disease Initiative

118. Project Title:

Alzheimer's Respite Services

119. Recipient name and address:

Area Agencies on Aging

Location county/counties: Statewide

120. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

121. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 6,408,506	\$ 0	\$ 6,408,506	404

122. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

123. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides funds for Alzheimer's Respite Care Services.

124. Number of years this project has received state funding:

25

125. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

126. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

127. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.



128. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable client groups;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are very likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community-based services.

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA)'s performance of these measures will be documented in the Department's annual monitoring reports.

129. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

130. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

131. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

132. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

133. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

134. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

135. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

136. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by the Department

137. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 1,296 clients.

138. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

139. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

140. State Program (or Type of Program):

Alzheimer's Disease Initiative

141. Project Title:

Dan Cantor Center - Alzheimer's Project

142. Recipient name and address:

Aging and Disability Resource Center of Broward County  
5300 Hiatus Road  
Sunrise, FL 33351

Location county/counties: Broward County

143. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

144. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 169,287	\$ 0	\$ 169,287	404

145. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

146. Project Purpose/Description:

This senior center provides day care for Alzheimer's clients.

147. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

148. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

149. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

150. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

151. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable client groups;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are very likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community-based services.

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA)'s performance of these measures will be documented in the Department's annual monitoring reports.

152. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

153. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

154. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

155. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?

(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

156. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

157. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and

validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

158. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

\$224 of Local Matching Funds

159. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

160. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

161. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

162. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

163. State Program (or Type of Program):

Alzheimer's Disease Initiative

164. Project Title:

Deerfield Beach Day Care Center

165. Recipient name and address:

Aging and Disability Resource Center of Broward County

5300 Hiatus Road

Sunrise, FL 33351

Location county/counties: Broward County

166. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

167. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 195,150	\$ 0	\$ 195,150	404

168. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

169. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides funding for the Northeast Focal Point Senior Center to provide day care to Alzheimer's clients.

170. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

171. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

172. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

173. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

174. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable client groups;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are very likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community-based services.

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA)'s performance of these measures will be documented in the Department's annual monitoring reports.

175. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

176. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

177. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

178. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

179. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

180. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and

validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

181. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

\$54,521 of Local Matching Funds

182. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

183. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

184. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013



## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

185. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

186. State Program (or Type of Program):

Alzheimer's Disease Initiative

187. Project Title:

Memory Disorder Clinics

188. Recipient name and address:

Area Agencies on Aging

Location county/counties: Statewide

189. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

190. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 3,283,664	\$ 0	\$ 3,283,664	404

191. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

192. Project Purpose/Description:

Memory disorder clinics provide diagnostic and referral services for persons with Alzheimer's disease and related dementia, conduct service-related research and develop caregiver training materials and educational opportunities.

193. Number of years this project has received state funding:

25

194. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

195. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

196. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

197. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable client groups;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are very likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community-based services.

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA)'s performance of these measures will be documented in the Department's annual monitoring reports.

198. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

199. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

200. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

201. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?

(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

202. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

203. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and

validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

204. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

205. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

206. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

207. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

208. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

209. State Program (or Type of Program):

Alzheimer's Disease Initiative

210. Project Title:

Day Care Projects

211. Recipient name and address:

Area Agencies on Aging

Location county/counties: PSA 3, 6, and 11

212. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

213. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 340,065	\$ 0	\$ 340,065	404

214. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

215. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides funding for adult day care projects.

216. Number of years this project has received state funding:

25

217. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

218. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

219. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

220. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable client groups;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are very likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community-based services.

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA)'s performance of these measures will be documented in the Department's annual monitoring reports.

221. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

222. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

223. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

224. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

225. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

226. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

227. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

228. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

229. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 113 clients.

230. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

231. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

232. State Program (or Type of Program):

Alzheimer's Disease Initiative

233. Project Title:

University of South Florida Policy Exchange

234. Recipient name and address:

University of South Florida

4202 East Fowler Avenue

Tampa, FL 33260

Location county/counties: Statewide

235. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Governmental Entity

236. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 73,935	\$ 0	\$ 73,935	404

237. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

238. Project Purpose/Description:

The Alzheimer's Training Approval Program provides services related to the review and approval of Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders (ADRD) training providers and training curricula for nursing homes, assisted living facilities, home health agencies, adult day care centers, and hospices.

239. Number of years this project has received state funding:

12

240. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

241. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

242. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Contractor shall conduct applied, service-related research and training that address diagnostic technique, therapeutic interventions and supportive services for persons suffering from AD and related disorders, and their caregivers.

243. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Contractor's monthly status report shall be due by the 15th day of each month. The report should include:

- (1) The final status numbers for the month for Nursing Home, Assisted Living Facility, Home Health Agency, Adult Day Care Center, and Hospice Care Facility trainer and curriculum applications received for approval and the action taken on each application;
- (2) Copies of all letters sent documenting that each trainer application or request and training curriculum application or request has been reviewed and approved, disapproved, or provided with notification of a need for more information;
- (3) Documentation that the Area Agency on Aging (AAA) has responded to public questions or requests for information, duplication charges shall be subject to s.119.07, Florida Statutes; and
- (4) Documentation of a website available for public viewing on the Area Agency on Aging (AAA)'s website, updated weekly, with the names of approved trainers and approved curricula

244. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Monthly reports provided by the Contractor as required by the contract.

245. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

246. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

247. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?

(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

248. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

249. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager.

250. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?



None.

251. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

<http://www.myflorida.com/audgen/pages/subjects/university.htm>

252. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

253. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: [manaloj@elderaffairs.org](mailto:manaloj@elderaffairs.org)

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

254. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

255. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

256. Project Title:

Congregate & Homebound Meals for At-Risk Elderly, Non-Ambulatory, & Handicapped Residents

257. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

258. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

259. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 361,543	\$ 0	\$ 361,543	412

260. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

261. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides funding for the Allapattah Community Action Inc. to deliver 133 congregate meals to at risk elders and 343 home bound meals per day to non-ambulatory or handicapped unduplicated eligible participant elders.

262. Number of years this project has received state funding:

11

263. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

264. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

265. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

266. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

267. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

268. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

269. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

270. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

271. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

272. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and

validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

273. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

274. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

275. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 301 clients.

276. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

277. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

278. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

279. Project Title:

Aging and Disability Resource Center of Broward and Alliance for Aging, Inc.

280. Recipient name and address:

Area Agencies on Aging

Location county/counties: Broward , Miami-Dade and Monroe Counties

281. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

282. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 272,163	\$ 0	\$ 272,163	412

283. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

284. Project Purpose/Description:

This project delivers meals to the elderly.

285. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

286. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

287. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

288. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

289. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

290. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

291. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

292. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

293. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

294. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

295. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

296. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?  
None.

297. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):  
Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by the Department

298. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

299. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:  
Name: Jon Manalo  
Title: Chief Financial Officer  
Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org  
Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

300. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

301. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

302. Project Title:

Area Agency on Aging (AAA) of North Florida, Inc., Mid-Florida Area Agency on Aging, Inc., and Area Agency on Aging (AAA) of Pasco-Pinellas, Inc.

303. Recipient name and address:

Area Agencies on Aging

Location county/counties: Central Panhandle Counties, Northeast Gulf Coast Counties, and Pasco and Pinellas Counties.

304. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

305. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 316,713	\$ 0	\$ 316,713	412

306. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

307. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides expanded adult day care hours to support caregivers and promote independence. No similar program exists in these Planning and Service Areas (PSAs).

308. Number of years this project has received state funding:

10

309. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

310. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.



311. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

312. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

313. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

314. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

315. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

316. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

317. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

318. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

319. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

320. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

321. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

322. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

323. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

324. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

325. Project Title:

Areawide Council on Aging of Broward County

326. Recipient name and address:

Aging and Disability Resource Center of Broward County

5300 Hiatus Road

Sunrise, FL 33351

Location county/counties: Broward County

327. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

328. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 167,293	\$ 0	\$ 167,293	412

329. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

330. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides kosher meals to low-income elders with special dietary needs at the Dan Cantor Center.

331. Number of years this project has received state funding:

18

332. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

333. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

334. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

335. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

336. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

337. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

338. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

339. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

340. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

341. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

342. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

343. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

344. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

345. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

346. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

347. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

348. Project Title:

Austin Hepburn Senior Mini-Center - City of Hallandale Beach

349. Recipient name and address:

Aging and Disability Resource Center of Broward County

5300 Hiatus Road

Sunrise, FL 33351

Location county/counties: Broward County

350. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

351. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 82,080	\$ 0	\$ 82,080	412

352. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

353. Project Purpose/Description:

Funds programs including educational, crime prevention, food and nutrition services, hot meals, and nutritional training for senior citizens. Assists seniors in keeping appointments.

354. Number of years this project has received state funding:

11

355. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

356. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

357. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

358. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

359. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

360. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

361. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

362. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

363. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

364. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

365. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

366. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

367. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

368. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013



## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

369. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

370. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

371. Project Title:

City of Hialeah

372. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Hialeah

373. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

374. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 250,000	\$ 0	\$ 250,000	412

375. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

376. Project Purpose/Description:

Community-based services for elders.

377. Number of years this project has received state funding:

3

378. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

379. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

380. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

381. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

382. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

383. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

384. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

385. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

386. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

387. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

388. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

389. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

390. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 313 clients.

391. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

392. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

393. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

394. Project Title:

City of Sweetwater Elderly Activities Center (Mildred & Claude Pepper Senior Center)

395. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

396. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

397. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 418,242	\$ 0	\$ 418,242	412

398. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

399. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides congregate meals, home-delivered meals, socialization and recreational activities, transportation services, and counseling to senior citizens.

400. Number of years this project has received state funding:

11

401. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

402. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

403. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

404. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

405. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

406. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

407. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

408. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

409. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

410. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

411. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

412. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

413. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 283 clients.

414. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

415. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

416. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

417. Project Title:

Elder at Risk Meals (Marta Flores High Risk Nutritional Programs for Elders) - Miami-Dade County

418. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

419. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

420. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 623,877	\$ 0	\$ 623,877	412

421. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

422. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides hot evening and weekend meals to elderly assessed as high risk or in danger of malnutrition. Meals to be provided to 3,263 identified at-risk clients.

423. Number of years this project has received state funding:

11

424. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

425. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

426. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

427. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

428. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

429. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

430. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

431. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

432. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

433. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:



The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

434. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

435. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

436. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

437. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

438. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

439. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

440. Project Title:

Elderly House Call Program - Mount Sinai Medical Center

441. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami Beach

442. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

443. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 164,160	\$ 0	\$ 164,160	412

444. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

445. Project Purpose/Description:

Regular physician house calls and nursing therapy are provided to homebound elderly. Targets homebound, frail elderly unable to attend doctor.

446. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

447. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

448. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

449. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

450. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

451. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

452. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

453. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

454. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

455. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

456. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

457. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

458. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

459. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

460. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

461. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

462. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

463. Project Title:

Faith in Action (FIA)-Strong For Life - Faith in Action of Upper Pinellas

464. Recipient name and address:

Area Agency on Aging (AAA) of Pasco-Pinellas

9887 4th Street North, Suite 100

St. Petersburg, FL 33702

Location county/counties: Pinellas County

465. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

466. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 6,972	\$ 0	\$ 6,972	412

467. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

468. Project Purpose/Description:

An exercise program designed specifically for the elderly which rebuilds lost muscle. It is conducted at congregations, mobile home parks, senior centers, Assisted Living Facilities (ALFs), and individual residences.

469. Number of years this project has received state funding:

8

470. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

471. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

472. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

473. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

474. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

475. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

476. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

477. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

478. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

479. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and

validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

480. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

481. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

482. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

FIA's Board of Directors has voted to dissolve their incorporation as of June 30, 2013.

483. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

484. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

485. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

486. Project Title:

Federation Transportation Services

487. Recipient name and address:

Area Agency on Aging (AAA) of Palm Beach/Treasure Coast, Inc.

4400 N. Congress Avenue

West Palm Beach, FL 33407

Location county/counties: Palm Beach County

488. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

489. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 143,640	\$ 0	\$ 143,640	412

490. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

491. Project Purpose/Description:

Transportation for elderly in the community attending congregate meal programs and medical appointments, food shipping, and other life sustaining activities.

492. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

493. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

494. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.



495. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

496. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

497. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

498. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

499. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

500. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

501. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

502. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

503. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

504. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

505. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

506. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

507. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

508. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

509. Project Title:

Feed the Elderly - 55 Years & Up, Inc.

510. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

511. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

512. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 37,178	\$ 0	\$ 37,178	412

513. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

514. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides home-delivered hot meals to elders in need at evening time.

515. Number of years this project has received state funding:

8

516. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

517. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

518. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

519. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

520. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

521. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

522. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

523. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

524. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

525. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

526. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

527. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

528. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 33 clients.

529. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

530. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

531. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

532. Project Title:

Hialeah Gardens Elderly - Dade County

533. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

534. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

535. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 46,468	\$ 0	\$ 46,468	412

536. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

537. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides evening and weekend meals and entertainment to elders.

538. Number of years this project has received state funding:

11

539. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

540. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

541. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

542. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

543. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

544. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

545. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

546. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

547. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

548. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

549. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

550. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

551. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 150 clients.

552. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013



## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

553. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

554. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

555. Project Title:

Holocaust Survivors Assistance Program - Boca Raton Jewish Federation

556. Recipient name and address:

Area Agency on Aging (AAA) of Palm Beach/Treasure Coast, Inc.

4400 N. Congress Avenue

West Palm Beach, FL 33407

Location county/counties: Palm Beach County

557. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

558. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 92,946	\$ 0	\$ 92,946	412

559. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

560. Project Purpose/Description:

This project allows the Ruth Rales Jewish Family Services to offer services to the Holocaust Survivor population in the county.

561. Number of years this project has received state funding:

8

562. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

563. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

564. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

565. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

566. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

567. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

568. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

569. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

570. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

571. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

572. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

573. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

574. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 31 clients.

575. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

576. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

577. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

578. Project Title:

Jewish Community Center

579. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

580. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

581. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 39,469	\$ 0	\$ 39,469	412

582. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

583. Project Purpose/Description:

In Home Respite Services

584. Number of years this project has received state funding:

9

585. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

586. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

587. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

588. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

589. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

590. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

591. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

592. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

593. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

594. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

595. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

596. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

597. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 46 clients.

598. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

599. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

600. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

601. Project Title:

Lippman Senior Center

602. Recipient name and address:

Aging and Disability Resource Center of Broward County

5300 Hiatus Road

Sunrise, FL 33351

Location county/counties: Broward County

603. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

604. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 228,000	\$ 0	\$ 228,000	412

605. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

606. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides funding for recreational activities for seniors.

607. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

608. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

609. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

610. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

611. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

612. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

613. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

614. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

615. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

616. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

617. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the



Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

618. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

619. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

620. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

621. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

622. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

623. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

624. Project Title:

Little Havana Activities & Nutrition Centers of Dade County, Inc.

625. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

626. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

627. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 334,770	\$ 0	\$ 334,770	412

628. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

629. Project Purpose/Description:

This is a home-delivered meals program providing meals to isolated, frail, homebound elders living at or below poverty level and at-risk for malnutrition and early institutionalization. Funds also provide respite care.

630. Number of years this project has received state funding:

9

631. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

632. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

633. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

634. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

635. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

636. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

637. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

638. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

639. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

640. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and

validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

641. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

642. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

643. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 1,900 clients.

644. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

645. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

646. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

647. Project Title:

Manolo Piniero Homebound Diabetes Services - First Quality Home Care, Inc.

648. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

649. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

650. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 139,414	\$ 0	\$ 139,414	412

651. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

652. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides insulin to homebound dependent diabetics that are unable to self administer insulin due to a secondary diagnosis such as blindness.

653. Number of years this project has received state funding:

11

654. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

655. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

656. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

657. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

658. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

659. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

660. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

661. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

662. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

663. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

664. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

665. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

666. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

667. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

668. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

669. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

670. Project Title:

Miami Beach Senior Center - Jewish Community Services of South Florida, Inc.

671. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

672. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

673. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 158,367	\$ 0	\$ 158,367	412

674. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

675. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides activities within the Miami Beach Senior Center, including transportation services, nutritional supplementation, shopping assistance, and various educational and recreational opportunities.

676. Number of years this project has received state funding:

11

677. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

678. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.



679. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

680. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

681. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

682. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

683. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

684. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

685. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

686. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

687. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

688. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

689. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 43 clients.

690. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

691. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

692. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

693. Project Title:

Michael-Ann Russel Jewish Community Center - Sr. Wellness Center

694. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

695. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

696. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 83,647	\$ 0	\$ 83,647	412

697. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

698. Project Purpose/Description:

The Senior Wellness Project serves to enhance physical and mental well-being of seniors through a coordinated program of fitness and educational activities.

699. Number of years this project has received state funding:

10

700. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

701. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

702. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

703. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

704. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

705. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

706. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

707. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

708. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

709. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

710. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

711. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

712. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

713. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

714. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

715. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

716. Project Title:

Neighborhood Pharmacy Program - Neighborhood Care Network

717. Recipient name and address:

Area Agency on Aging (AAA) of Pasco-Pinellas

9887 4th Street North, Suite 100

St. Petersburg, FL 33702

Location county/counties: Pasco and Pinellas Counties

718. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

719. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 83,647	\$ 0	\$ 83,647	412

720. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

721. Project Purpose/Description:

Pharmacy to provide prescription medications to clients at cost.

722. Number of years this project has received state funding:

9

723. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

724. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

725. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

726. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

727. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

728. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

729. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

730. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

731. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

732. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

733. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

734. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

735. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

736. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013



## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

737. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

738. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

739. Project Title:

North Miami Intergenerational Activity Center

740. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

741. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

742. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 46,468	\$ 0	\$ 46,468	412

743. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

744. Project Purpose/Description:

To serve needy Haitian population with personal care, home-making, in-home services, and limited meals outreach.

745. Number of years this project has received state funding:

8

746. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

747. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

748. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

749. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

750. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

751. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

752. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

753. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

754. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

755. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

756. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

757. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

758. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 30 clients.

759. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

760. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

761. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

762. Project Title:

Provider Service Area (PSA) 10

763. Recipient name and address:

Aging and Disability Resource Center of Broward County

5300 Hiatus Road

Sunrise, FL 33351

Location county/counties: Broward County

764. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

765. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 681,079	\$ 0	\$ 681,079	412

766. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

767. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides adult day care and transportation.

768. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

769. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

770. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

771. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

772. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

773. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

774. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

775. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

776. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

777. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

778. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

779. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

780. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by the Department

781. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 1,369 clients.

782. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

783. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

784. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

785. Project Title:

Provider Service Area (PSA) 11

786. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Dade County

787. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

788. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 693,455	\$ 0	\$ 693,455	412

789. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

790. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides meals to the elderly.

791. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

792. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

793. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

794. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

795. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

796. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

797. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

798. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

799. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

800. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

801. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the



Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

802. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

803. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by the Department

804. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

805. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

806. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

807. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

808. Project Title:

Provider Service Area (PSA) 5

809. Recipient name and address:

Area Agency on Aging (AAA) of Pasco-Pinellas

9887 4th Street North, Suite 100

St. Petersburg, FL 33702

Location county/counties: Pasco and Pinellas Counties (PSA 5)

810. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

811. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 1,046,465	\$ 0	\$ 1,046,465	412

812. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

813. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides meals to the elderly.

814. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

815. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

816. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

817. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

818. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

819. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

820. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

821. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

822. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

823. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

824. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

825. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

826. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by the Department

827. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

828. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

829. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

830. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

831. Project Title:

Provider Service Area (PSA) 6

832. Recipient name and address:

Area Agency on Aging (AAA) of Pasco-Pinellas

5905 Breckenridge Pkwy., Suite F

Tampa, FL 33610

Location county/counties: Hillsborough, Polk, Manatee, Hardee, Highlands

833. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

834. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 113,000	\$ 0	\$ 113,000	412

835. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

836. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides home maker services.

837. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

838. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

839. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

840. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

841. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

842. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

843. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

844. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

845. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

846. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

847. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

848. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?  
\$12,131 of Local Matching Funds

849. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):  
Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by the Department

850. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:  
Last year, program served 46 clients.

851. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:  
Name: Jon Manalo  
Title: Chief Financial Officer  
Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org  
Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

852. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

853. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

854. Project Title:

Seymour Gelber Adult Day Care Program - Jewish Community Services of South Florida, Inc.

855. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

856. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

857. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 23,234	\$ 0	\$ 23,234	412

858. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

859. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides a supportive environment for memory and physically impaired seniors. Funding is used to transport clients to the Adult Day Care Program.

860. Number of years this project has received state funding:

8

861. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

862. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.



863. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

864. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

865. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

866. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

867. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

868. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

869. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

870. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

871. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

872. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

873. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

874. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

875. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

876. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

877. Project Title:

Southwest Focal Early Bird P. M. Nutrition Center - City of Pembroke Pines

878. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Broward County

879. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

880. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 23,234	\$ 0	\$ 23,234	412

881. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

882. Project Purpose/Description:

This center provides nutritionally balanced evening meals at an affordable price to individuals age 60 or older.

883. Number of years this project has received state funding:

8

884. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

885. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

886. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

887. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

888. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

889. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

890. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

891. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

892. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

893. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

894. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

895. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

896. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

897. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

898. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

899. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

900. Project Title:

Southwest Social Services

901. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade and Monroe Counties

902. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

903. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 653,501	\$ 0	\$ 653,501	412

904. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

905. Project Purpose/Description:

Provides congregate meals, home delivered meals, transportation services, and recreation and educational services.

906. Number of years this project has received state funding:

>15

907. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

908. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

909. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

910. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

911. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

912. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

913. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

914. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

915. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

916. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

917. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

918. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

919. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 335 clients.

920. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013



## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

921. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

922. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

923. Project Title:

St. Ann's Nursing Center

924. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

925. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

926. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 65,084	\$ 0	\$ 65,084	412

927. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

928. Project Purpose/Description:

This center provides funds for facility based respite care.

929. Number of years this project has received state funding:

9

930. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

931. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

932. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

933. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

934. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

935. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

936. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

937. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

938. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

939. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the

Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

940. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

941. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

942. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

943. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013

## Community Issue Performance Evaluation

944. State Agency:

**Elder Affairs**

945. State Program (or Type of Program):

Local Services Program

946. Project Title:

West Miami Community Center - City of West Miami

947. Recipient name and address:

Alliance For Aging, Inc.

760 NW 107th Avenue, Suite 214

Miami, FL 33172

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade County

948. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:

Private Non-Profit

949. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring General Revenue</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring Trust Funds</b>	FY 2012-13 <b>Recurring TOTAL FUNDS</b>	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$ 69,702	\$ 0	\$ 69,702	412

950. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any):

None.

951. Project Purpose/Description:

This project provides congregate hot meals, homebound daily meals, educational classes, recreation services, social programs, transportation services, and screening and assessment for senior citizens.

952. Number of years this project has received state funding:

18

953. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain):

Yes.

954. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? (Explain):

Yes.

955. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall ensure services provided under this contract are in accordance with the current Department of Elder Affairs Programs and Services Handbook.

956. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

The Area Agency on Aging (AAA) shall develop and document strategies in the Area Plan to support the performance achievement of the following:

- (1) Percent of most frail elders who remain at home or in the community instead of going into a nursing home;
- (2) Average monthly savings per consumer for home and community-based care versus nursing home care for comparable group clients;
- (3) Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score;
- (4) Percent of new service recipients with high-risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved;
- (5) Percent of new service recipients whose Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (6) Percent of new service recipients whose Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) assessment score has been maintained or improved;
- (7) Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers who self-report they are likely to provide care;
- (8) Percent of caregivers whose ability to provide care is maintained or improved after one year of service intervention (as determined by the caregiver and the assessor); and
- (9) Percent of customers who are at imminent risk of nursing home placement who are served with community based services.

957. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

Performance data is entered into the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) by the provider.

958. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

959. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient?

Yes.

960. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services?  
(EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY):

Unit costs are comparable and not more costly compared to similar services in other programs.

961. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain):

Yes.

962. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated:

The Department ensures the program's effectiveness by its monitoring from the contract manager, the Department's monitoring unit and annual monitoring reports by the AAA.

963. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources?

None.

964. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available):

Audited Annual Financial Statements; Annual Monitoring report by Area Agencies on Aging (AAA)

965. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:

Last year, program served 291 clients.

966. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Jon Manalo

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Phone: 850-414-2077 email address: manaloj@elderaffairs.org

Date: January 18, 2013