

## LIP and IGT Basics

<b>Low Income Pool (LIP)</b> <i>Payment Method</i>	<b>Intergovernmental Transfers (IGTs)</b> <i>Financing Method</i>
<p><u>Waiver required:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To make provider payments outside of capitation;</li> <li>• Waivers are not subject to specific federal rules;</li> <li>• Federal policies have been inconsistent over time and for different states;</li> <li>• No time limits on negotiations.</li> </ul>	<p><u>Federal requirements:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Must come from public sources;</li> <li>• Payments can't exceed costs;</li> <li>• No hold harmless provisions or practices.</li> </ul>
<p><u>Florida LIP structure:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Used primarily to repay IGT donors;</li> <li>• Policy silos: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Special LIP (six categories including rural, trauma, primary care, etc)</li> <li>○ LIP 4 = financing silo (8.5% ROI)</li> <li>○ LIP 6 = replacement for self-funded rates (\$964 m)</li> <li>○ LIP 7 = new silo in 2015 Senate plan</li> <li>○ Provider Access Systems (PAS) = funding for health departments, FQHCs, etc. (\$117 m)</li> <li>○ Physician UPL = supplemental payments for medical schools (\$204 m)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Because LIP repays IGTs, these sources are also available to fund Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) and enhanced rates: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DSH funding = \$239 m in hospital payments;</li> <li>○ IGT funded rate enhancements = \$797 m</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><u>Local requirements:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sources must be local taxes or other public revenue.</li> <li>• Fiduciary responsibilities to local taxpayers prohibit donations that do not yield a net benefit.</li> </ul>
<p><u>Without LIP supplemental payments</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Net benefits cannot be guaranteed to donors and without these guarantees, donations may not continue.</li> <li>• Payments can only be made through rates; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Base rate increases benefit all hospitals;</li> <li>○ Facility adjusters can be used to benefit selected hospitals.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Differential rates drive patients to lower cost hospitals in a managed care environment.</li> </ul>	<p><u>Without IGTs</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hospital payments are reduced by \$1.3 b or 15% below current levels; hospital payments currently average 49% of costs.</li> <li>• Federal approval of hospital rates may be withheld if the rates are not "adequate".</li> <li>• Lower hospital rates reduce managed care capitation rates.</li> <li>• Below-market funding of hospital rates may cause capitation rates to fall below actuarially sound levels.</li> <li>• Continuation of statewide managed care depends on actuarially sound rates.</li> </ul>
<p><u>Spending Alternatives</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allow hospital payments to be reduced.</li> <li>• Maintain current levels with other funds.</li> <li>• Mitigate reductions with other funds.</li> </ul>	<p><u>Funding Alternatives</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GR, through allocations and reprioritizations</li> <li>• Provider assessments</li> </ul>